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## Post Event Analysis

## 2013-2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Triple Crown of Cutting

This post-event analysis is intended to evaluate the short-term tax benefits to the state through review of tax revenue data to determine the level of incremental tax impact to the state of Texas from the event. A primary purpose of this analysis is to determine the reasonableness of the initial incremental tax estimate made by the Comptroller's office prior to the event.

The National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Triple Crown of Cutting was added to the Major Event Trust Fund (METF) program by the Texas legislature during the $82^{\text {nd }}$ Legislative session (2011). The Triple Crown of Cutting is comprised of the NCHA Futurity (November-December), NCHA Super Stakes (MarchApril), and Summer Spectacular (July). These events are approximately 20 days in length.

The dates for the three events making up the 2013-2014 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting (per the City of Fort Worth) were:

Futurity - November 18 - December 14, 2013
Super Stakes - March 24 - April 19, 2014
Summer Spectacular - July 7 - August 2, 2014

This event, while authorized under the METF statute, does not attract a large number of people, particularly when compared with the population of the area in which it was held. Tarrant County has a population of more than 1.8 million people. The impact of a several thousand "additional" people over several days in a population of 1.9 million is difficult to measure. Simply put, smaller events create fewer "ripples in the pond" than large events, and the larger the pond, the more difficult to measure the ripples. Larger events, particularly "premier events" with heavy promotion, corporate sponsorship and spending, and "luxury" spending by visitors will tend to create larger ripples, and are therefore, straightforward and less problematic to validate.

Additionally, while an analysis of tax data may shed some light on tax impact, some factors must be considered when looking at tax collections data during the time of the event. The event market area is host to many national, regional and local events during any given month. These events, while they might not bring in a significant number of out-of-state visitors, might entice many in-state residents to travel and spend their dollars in this area. Additionally, by the event dates, Texas had emerged from the recession and regained many of the jobs that were lost, which boosted consumer confidence and fueled even more endogenous (local) spending, particularly when compared to the lackluster spending during the depths of the recession.

For the 2013-14 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting, the Comptroller analyzed data provided by the City of Fort Worth as well as independent research and analysis to determine the estimated incremental tax
increase to the State of Texas as defined by the METF statute. It was estimated that the total incremental increase should be:

Direct tax gain to the state: $\$ 1,432,958$
Indirect tax gain to the state: \$1,172,107
Estimate total tax gain to the state: $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 0 5 , 0 6 5}$
Local contribution: \$416,810
Total value of the METF: $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 2 1 , 8 7 5}$

## Tax Growth in Fort Worth Annual Averages (Cutting Horse "Year")



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

The METF statute states, "After the conclusion of an event [the requestor of an METF] must provide information" that the comptroller considers necessary to evaluate the success of the trust fund program. In this case, the third party post-event independent research was prepared by Gerald L. Grotta, PhD of Grotta Marketing Research and submitted by the City of Fort Worth. Post-event analysis reports were provided for the Futurity and Super Stakes events, but one was not provided for the Summer Spectacular. These studies indicated that the first two events of the 2013-14 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting accounted for:

Event Specific Visitor Days (not residents of this state): 160,218
Total Spending by participants and visitors: \$7,493,162
Estimated Direct tax gain to the state: \$1,614,243

It is important to note that in some cases the Comptroller determines that there is no incremental increase in tax receipts to the state associated with certain expenditures that a consultant calculates as having a direct tax gain.

Summary of the events making up the 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting:

## 2013 Futurity

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | 4Q 2013 Actual <br> minus 4Q Average <br> and Standard <br> Deviation (2002-13) | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 474,775$ | $\$ 908,092$ | $\$ 523,806$ |
| HOT | $\$ 109,429$ | $\$ 123,233$ | $\$ 121,042$ |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 45,738$ | $-\$ 115,524$ | $\$ 58,119$ |
| Total | $\$ 629,942$ | $\$ 915,801$ | $\$ 702,967$ |

2014 Super Stakes

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | Avg. 1Q \& 2Q 2014 <br>  <br> 2Q Avg. and <br> Standard Deviation <br> $(2002-14)$ | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 236,603$ | $\$ 1,390,356$ | $\$ 275,451$ |
| HOT | $\$ 44,773$ | $\$ 221,829$ | $\$ 70,389$ |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 35,387$ | $(\$ 778,593)$ | $\$ 14,411$ |
| Total | $\$ 316,763$ | $\$ 833,591$ | $\$ 360,251$ |

2014 Summer Spectacular

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | 3Q 2014 Actual <br> minus 3Q Average <br> and Standard <br> Deviation (2002-14) | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 287,155$ | $\$ 1,500,082$ | NA |
| HOT | $\$ 68,623$ | $\$ 109,108$ | NA |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 36,226$ | $(\$ 825,497)$ | NA |
| Total | $\$ 392,004$ | $\$ 783,693$ | NA |

The following pages break down this estimate and illustrate the 2013-14 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting's actual impact to the State of Texas by looking at the three separate sub-events. This report will show that considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event's host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.
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## Post Event Analysis

## 2013 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) FUTURITY - Fort Worth, Texas

## I. Introduction

This post-event analysis is intended to evaluate the short-term tax benefits to the state through review of tax revenue data to determine the level of incremental tax impact to the state of Texas from the event. A primary purpose of this analysis is to determine the reasonableness of the initial incremental tax estimate made by the Comptroller's office prior to the event. The initial estimate is included in Appendix A.

Determining the tax impact of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Triple Crown of Cutting - FUTURITY is affected by the timing of the event, which coincides with the recovery from the economic recession. The agency's analysis shows that the sales and use tax increases in the market area were higher than the statistical model would have predicted, as well as higher than the percentage statewide increases. While some of this increase is certainly due to the event, it also appears that some of the increase was due to other factors, most likely the economic rebound.

Determining the tax impact from an event is dependent upon how many out-of-state participants and visitors attend the event. The tax type that is the largest contributor to the economy is the sales and use tax, but the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) tax most likely is the best indicator of how many out of market and out-of-state visitors an event may have attracted.

An assessment of November and December HOT revenues from 2002 to 2013 shows that the state received $\$ 123,233$ above-average tax collections (including adjustments for atypical fluctuations that periodically occur over any given period). A post-event study provided by the event organizer estimated that 4,616 contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators attended the event. The agency conducted a simple analysis to determine the reasonableness of the reported out-of-state attendee number, using the following assumptions:

1. Each room would cost an average of roughly $\$ 104$ per day; and
2. There were 22,212 room nights paid for by out-of-state participants and visitors (the event was a total of 24 days).
This simple analysis yields an amount of approximately $\$ 138,603$ in additional taxes to the state ((number of room nights X average room rate) X state HOT rate). This amount is more than the initial estimate and it is also higher than the actual increase of state HOT taxes for the event months.

While it is impossible to attribute increased economic activity to event participants and attendees given the data readily available to the Comptroller's Office, it is reasonable to assume that they did contribute some amount to the overall increase, and provides credence to the third party estimate of 4,616 contestants, vendors and out-of-state visitors.

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed HOT data, it could be inferred that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event. However, it is unknown:

- how much each of these out-of-state visitors spent;
- how many nights they stayed; and thus
- exactly how much they contributed to observed increases in tax revenues to the state from attending the event.
While the above example using actual HOT tax receipts provides a reasonable assessment that the event performed in line with expectations, the agency also performed additional analysis' on the various state taxes to further study the tax performance during the event period.


## Overview of the Local Economy

The state of Texas has led the nation in job growth and has weathered the economic downturns better than most states. Buoyed by a diverse economy, even while the oil and gas industry has experienced lower prices per barrel, Texas is still more economically viable than other states. Due in part to these and other factors, Texas experienced solid population growth over the past decade.

> Texas and Tarrant County Indexed Population Growth, 2000 = Base Year


Source: U.S. Census Bureau.
From 2000 to 2013, Texas' population grew at an average of roughly two percent per year. By 2013, the Texas and Tarrant County populations had grown by 26.28 and 31.37 percent, respectively, from their levels in 2000. The graph above illustrates that Texas and Tarrant County populations grew at a steady
pace at almost the same rate for the past thirteen years. And, as the population grew, more working state residents contributed to the Texas economy.

The growing population created an expanding Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and more employed people in Texas and Tarrant County. According to the Texas Workforce Commission, from 2000 to 2013, the CLF in Texas and Tarrant County had grown by 24.71 and 24.27 percent respectively. While the number of employed persons didn't keep pace with the CLF, from 2000 to 2013, employed persons in Texas and Tarrant County grew by 22.31 and 21.19 percent respectively. The graph below illustrates that the growth rates of Texas and Tarrant County CLF and employed track very close to each other. Only once during this period did the number of employed persons experience slower growth, which occurred between 2008 and 2009. The growing population and CLF provided some additional fuel to the economic engine of Texas.

## Texas and Tarrant County Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and Employment Growth Index, 2000 = Base Year



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

One measure of output for a state or local government area is Gross State Product (GSP) or Gross Regional Product (GRP). This is a measure of all of the value added by industries within the geographic area and is a counterpart to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) ${ }^{1}$. As the population increases and more people go to work for various industries, output grows and so does the corresponding measure of GDP. The graph below illustrates that industry output in Texas and the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington (DFW) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) has been on an upward trend for the past decade. While Texas and

[^0]the DFW MSA experienced a year of slower growth in output, overall growth in industrial output over this period has been similar to growth in population and CLF.

# Texas and DFW Metropolitan Statistical Area Real GDP (2009 Chained Dollars) Growth Index, 2001 = Base Year 



Source: U.S Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis.

When the growth index for population, CLF and GDP are compared for Texas, Tarrant County and the DFW MSA it becomes apparent how closely they track together over time. Growth for these three measures is about 20 to 40 percent higher than the base year, which also illustrates how closely these three measures are tied together.

The graph below illustrates the previous point that the Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA population and GDP grew at similar rates over the past decade. While population indices show a steady growth over time, GDP indices reflect the changes that occur in a more volatile and dynamic environment like the economy. The diverse economy in Texas is able to weather shocks to one or a few industry sectors and still remain viable. As one industry sheds workers and productivity, another is there to pick up some of those workers and productivity that was lost.

While taxable sales and revenues to the state may appear to rise due to a major event, the fact is that the Texas economy has been growing in terms of output and employment for many years now. Even
during the recession the Texas economy was still growing relative to where it was earlier in the same decade, though that growth occurred at a slower rate. So, it is important to remember that the Texas economy has been on an upward trend. And in a state with steady population and civilian labor force growth, it's not hard to realize steady gains in output as well.

## Growth Index for Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA for Population and Real GDP (Base year = 2001)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis.

While Fort Worth and Tarrant County undoubtedly benefitted from hosting a major event, gauging that benefit within the backdrop of a growing economy can be challenging.

## II. Methodology

The METF statute requires the Comptroller's office to estimate the incremental increase in taxes for both the state and any municipality (or county) that contributes local matching funds. For the purposes of this analysis, staff attempted to determine if there was a measurable change in state taxes due to the
event. This presents challenges for two primary reasons: the size and population of the state and the fact that taxes are remitted to the state based on receipts from 30-90 day periods, depending on the tax type. The incremental tax increase in the state due to an event in a large and dynamic tax system may not be readily distinguishable from incremental changes due to other factors.

Also, measuring the actual incremental tax increase produced as a result of a particular event with accuracy requires certain information that is not readily available. The primary determinants that would be required to accurately measure the incremental increase in taxes are:

- the number of out-of-state visitors;
- the length of stay for those visitors; and
- the expenditures per day for those visitors.

The incremental taxes attributable to the out-of-state fans for the event make up the majority of the estimate (approximately 65 to 75 percent of the total estimated incremental taxes).

Since the exact number of out-of-state visitors, length of stay, and expenditures per day is not known with certainty, our analysis relies on overall changes in tax collections to make an informed judgment as to whether the initial estimate was reasonable.

Incremental tax impact to the state, for these purposes, is estimated by gauging exogenous or out-ofstate spending. It is assumed that all attendees have limited disposable income for entertainment, and that Texas residents would likely have chosen to spend their entertainment dollars at another Texas venue or event in the absence of the event in question. While it is impossible to track all out-of-state visitors and their spending habits, a noticeable increase in taxable sales points to a positive economic impact due to a major event. This analysis uses two methods for examining tax data.

Method 1 looks at the past 12 years of quarterly (or monthly) tax data to determine average collections for any given quarter (or month). Standard deviations are calculated and if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales upward. The more the sales deviate from the average plus the standard deviation or outside the normal distribution, the stronger the indication of the magnitude of the impact.

Method 2 looks at only the quarter (or month) in question for the past 12 years (2002 to 2013). Based on sales subject to tax, average collections and standard deviations are calculated. Like the method described previously, if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales upward. The magnitude of the impact can be gauged by measuring the amount of state taxes collected over the average plus the standard deviation.

The methodology used for this analysis focuses on direct taxes, since indirect and induced impacts are calculated using a dynamic modeling software package, and are dependent on the direct taxes.

The Comptroller's Office also ran statistical tests (Appendix B) on the three tax types that generated the most revenue which could be verified (Sales and Use, Hotel Occupancy, and Mixed Beverage). The test
indicated at the 99 percent confidence level, the amount subject to state tax was not normal during the period the event occurred and is statistically different from similar collections from the same time period in previous years. This statistical difference implies that the event was likely responsible for the difference in tax collection levels for the period.

## Analysis

The METF statute requires that the Comptroller estimate the incremental tax increase that is expected for an event for five specific tax types:

1. Sales and Use Tax
2. Hotel Occupancy Tax
3. Rental Car tax
4. Mixed Beverage Tax
5. Title 5, Alcoholic Beverage Code tax

Of these 5 taxes, the estimate of general Sales and Use tax, Hotel Occupancy tax (HOT), and Mixed Beverage tax are the largest verifiable tax types. In the case of the event in question, the direct Sales and Use tax and the HOT attributed to this event made up roughly 71 and 16 percent respectively, while the Mixed Beverage made up approximately 7 percent. Based on figures used for initial estimates, the Rental Car tax made up nearly 6 percent and the Title 5 Alcohol tax made up four tenths of one percent.

Rental car taxes are paid by rental car companies at the state-wide level and are not attributable to specific geographic locations. Title 5 Alcohol taxes make up a small percentage of the overall estimate and due to the small amount, any change due to a specific event is difficult to calculate. Due to these challenges involved and relatively minor amounts of rental car tax and Title 5 alcohol, this analysis uses initial estimates and does not attempt to quantify changes in these two tax types.

## II A Analysis—All Tax Types



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

The above chart illustrates that sales tax makes up the majority of additional estimated revenue to the state from major events. Taxes collected from sales tax, mixed beverage tax, and hotel occupancy tax make up roughly 94 percent of the funds generated by the event for the 5 specific tax types that are addressed in the statute.

## II B Analysis--Hotel Occupancy Tax:

The charts below depict HOT taxable receipts, mixed beverage tax allocations, and gross sales subject to state tax.

# Monthly Hotel Occupancy Taxable Receipts - Fort Worth 



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
This graph does not represent all monthly HOT receipts, but only those that are taxable. The dollar value that corresponds to any one month represents the HOT taxable receipts that are attributable to that month. This graph includes HOT taxable receipts for the event market area. The event in question took place from November 18 to December 14, 2013.

The average monthly HOT taxable receipts for this area from January 2002 to June 2014 were $\$ 14,656,645$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,822,043$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 24,598,979$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month represented in this graph and going back to January 2002. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 18,478,687$, which is $\$ 975,267$ more than the average receipts for the months when the event occurred (November and December 2013).

Considering only November and December HOT receipts for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2013, the average amount subject to state tax (November and December average) was $\$ 12,346,528$ with an average standard deviation of $\$ 3,103,013$. The average taxable receipts plus the average standard deviation is $\$ 15,449,541$, which is $\$ 2,053,879$ less than the average receipts during the months when the event occurred. This represents additional tax revenue of $\$ 123,233$ during this period.

While an analysis of tax revenues might not tell how much of this spending came from out-of-state visitors, a comparison of other direct spending estimates, using different assumptions and methodologies, can help put these numbers into perspective. Unlike other tax types, this tax is not affected as much by population and economic growth, and these numbers are mainly driven by visitors from outside of the area. Also, based on numbers provided by the event organizers, a less-sophisticated method of analysis estimated a tax benefit of $\$ 138,603$ in additional taxes to the state. As stated previously, an analysis of additional HOT revenues to the state was estimated to be $\$ 123,233$. The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 109,429$ in additional HOT revenue, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state HOT revenues of \$121,042.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOT | $\$ 109,429$ | $\$ 121,042$ |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II C Analysis--Mixed Beverage Tax:

## Monthly Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts for Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
This graph includes only historical mixed beverage taxable receipt amounts by calendar month. The monthly mixed beverage taxable amounts correspond to the month in which they were distributed. This graph includes amounts for Fort Worth.

The average monthly mixed beverage gross receipts for this area, from January 2002 to June 2014, were $\$ 13,259,534$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,328,140$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 21,376,024$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month going back to January 2002. The average monthly taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 16,587,674$, which is $\$ 2,239,526$ less than the average monthly receipts during the two months when the event occurred (average of November and December 2013).

The second method of analysis considered mixed beverage taxes for only the months when the event took place for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2013 . The average receipts subject to tax, which are 14 percent of gross receipts for the two months combined (average of November and December), were $\$ 2,051,133$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 695,199$. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 2,746,333$ which is $\$ 110,524$ more than receipts during the combined two months when the event occurred $(\$ 2,635,808)$. This represents a reduction of expected revenue of $\$ 115,524$ during the months of the event. The state gets roughly 79 percent of taxable receipts (except for October 2011 to July 2013
when the state share was 83 percent), which are 14 percent of gross receipts prior to January 1, 2014. After January 1, 2014, taxable receipts will be 6.7 percent of gross receipts.

The second method of analysis of mixed beverage revenues estimated that state collections were $\$ 115,524$ less than the average plus the standard deviation, or what would have normally been expected during this time period. The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 45,738$ in additional revenue, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of \$58,119.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 45,738$ | $\$ 58,119$ |

## II D Analysis--Sales and Use Tax:

The graph below is the sum of taxable sales for those industries most affected by out-of-state visitors for the event market area within a subset of 2-and 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code categories to include: NAICS code 447 (Gasoline Stations); NAICS code 448 (Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores); NAICS code 4521 (Department Stores); NAICS code 71 (Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation); NAICS code 721 (Accommodation); and NAICS code 722 (Food Service and Drinking Places). The graph includes data only from holders of sales tax permits; businesses that sell only goods that are outside the sales tax base are not covered by these reports. A business that files yearly reports will show up only in a single quarter. The peak in this graph occurs in the fourth quarter of 2013.

## Quarterly Gross Sales Subject to State Tax in Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

The average amount (reported quarterly) subject to sales tax for this area from 1Q 2002 to 3Q 2014 was $\$ 560,002,710$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 92,411,099$. The amount subject to sales tax in the quarter during when the event took place (fourth quarter of 2013) was $\$ 744,411,561$, which is $\$ 91,997,753$ more than the average amount subject to sales tax and the standard deviation.

The second method of analysis of sales tax data that looks at only the fourth quarter of each year illustrates a slightly different picture. Average collections subject to sales taxes for the industries mentioned above for the fourth quarter of each year (from 2002 to 2013) were averaged. Average
collections subject to sales taxes for the fourth quarter were $\$ 620,503,599$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 79,879,251$. The average plus the standard deviation is $\$ 700,382,850$. Collections subject to sales taxes for the fourth quarter of 2013 were $\$ 744,411,561$, which is $\$ 44,028,711$ more than the average plus the standard deviation. At the state sales tax rate ( $6.25 \%$ ), this amounts to an additional $\$ 2,751,794$ to the state as a result of increased sales.

The second method used to analyze the impact of the event that estimated a drastic jump in state revenues during the fourth quarter of 2013 can partially be explained by an overall growth in taxable sales in the state of Texas. Fort Worth and Tarrant County host many events during a given quarter, and more people from in-state and out-of-state spending their dollars at these events push tax collections up. Coupled with an overall increase in taxable sales, endogenous and exogenous spending can appear to have an even greater impact on state tax revenues.

While there clearly was increased sales tax revenue collected during the same time an event occurred in a given area, the increase is almost certainly not all due to one major occurrence (see section below titled "Texas and Fort Worth Average $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed, $2002=0$ "). The entire event occurred for less than one-third of the quarter and holiday shopping could have also had a positive effect on sales tax collections.

## Texas and Fort Worth Average 4th Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed (2002 = 0)



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
The graph above illustrates the fourth quarter taxable sales in Fort Worth and the state as a whole were growing at comparable rates using 2002 as a base year. In fact, from 2003 through 2005, Fort Worth's taxable sales subject to sales and use tax actually grew at a faster rate than Texas'. While growth in
taxable sales and corresponding tax revenue might seem uncharacteristically large for Fort Worth, the rest of the state was also experiencing this same growth.

Based on the rapid growth of the event market area in comparison to the state at large, the relatively small number of contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators in a very populous event market area and the fact that the event took place for about one-third of the quarter, the agency estimates that as much as two-thirds of the calculated increase may have been due to the general economic growth and other factors in the region as well as a new sales tax on mixed beverages, leading to an estimate of $\$ 908,092$ to the state as a result of increased sales. A pre-event analysis estimated that the state would receive an additional $\$ 474,775$ in sales and use tax due to the event, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of $\$ 523,806$.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 474,775$ | $\$ 523,806$ |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II E Analysis--Rental Car Tax:

The state of Texas imposes a tax on motor vehicle rentals, but the rate is based on the length of the rental contract. For contracts of 1-30 days, the state rate is 10 percent of gross receipts less discount and separately stated fees for insurance, fuel, and damage assessments.

Unlike the other tax types, rental car tax is not reported to the Comptroller by location address. For example, if a corporate taxpayer has multiple locations in the state, they file one return for all gross rental tax. Taxpayers do not report to the Comptroller's Office based on location and the Comptroller's Office does not permit the taxpayer based on each location. For this reason, an examination of this tax type by location provides no useful data for these analyses.

In order to provide a complete estimate of direct-spending tax revenue from this tax type, the CPA initial estimate number was used for this post-event analysis. A third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of $\$ 8,282$.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental Car | $\$ 38,107$ | $\$ 8,282$ |

## II F Analysis--Title V Alcoholic Beverage Code Tax:

The state of Texas also imposes a tax on the amount of alcohol that manufacturers sell to retail establishments. The tax rate varies by class on the amount of alcoholic content of beverages by class per gallon. Distilled spirits are taxed at the highest rate at $\$ 2.40$ per gallon, while beer that is $4 \%$ alcohol by volume and lower is taxed at $\$ 0.193548$ per gallon.

While wholesalers are not reporting wholesale alcohol sales by location, they do submit how much volume they sold to retail establishments. Determining how much volume each retail establishment in the event market area purchased for each class of alcohol, then deriving how much tax was paid based on the volume and class would be extensive. Therefore, given how much state revenue is derived from this tax type (about one-fourth of one-percent of the total of all taxes collected for this analysis) comparative to the other tax types, for the purpose of this analysis, the initial estimate was used. A third-party post-event analysis offered no estimate as to the impact to state revenues from this tax type.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title V ABC Tax | $\$ 2,596$ | NA |

## III. Conclusion

## 1. Third-Party Post-Event Analysis

Post event analysis conducted by a third-party vendor estimated economic impact based on direct spending. Based on their analysis, they estimated that the total impact to the state of Texas from direct expenditures was $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathbf{7 1 1}, \mathbf{2 4 9}$ in additional state tax revenues.

## 2. Comptroller Analysis

The Comptroller estimate of direct impact prior to the event was $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 0 , 6 4 4}$.
Comptroller data suggest that the Fort Worth metro area experienced increased economic activity during the event. The precise state share of this increased economic activity due to out-of-state consumption is unknown given the inability of available data to identify the amount contributed directly from out-of-state visitors. Additionally, it is worth noting that while a large metropolitan area that hosts an event will almost certainly see a noticeable increase in tax revenues, the additional revenues are not as identifiable with smaller events such as this one. That being said, the amount of additional tax revenue the state received from the major tax types does exceed the initial estimates. This office concludes that the initial estimate of direct, indirect, and induced tax impact of \$1,229,458 is reasonable based on the tax increases that occurred in the market area during the period in which the event occurred.

## APPENDIX A

The tables below show the various tax types and the additional revenue amount estimates for the Futurity. The first table illustrates the three largest verifiable tax revenue generators and the amount estimated by three different analyses. The last three tables show the analysis of additional revenue to the state from all 5 tax types as estimated by three different methods.

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | 4Q 2013 Actual <br> minus 4Q Average <br> and Standard <br> Deviation (2002-13) | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 474,775$ | $\$ 908,092$ | $\$ 523,806$ |
| HOT | $\$ 109,429$ | $\$ 123,233$ | $\$ 121,042$ |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 45,738$ | $-\$ 115,524$ | $\$ 58,119$ |
| Total | $\$ 629,942$ | $\$ 915,801$ | $\$ 702,967$ |


| Initial Analysis (Pre-event) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of <br> Tax | Percent <br> of Total |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 474,775$ | $70.79 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 109,429$ | $16.32 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax | $\$ 38,107$ | $5.68 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax | $\$ 45,738$ | $6.82 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax | $\$ 2,596$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 670,645$ | $100 \%$ |


\left.| Third-Party Post-Event Analysis |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Percent of |
| Total |  |  |$\right]$| State Share of Tax |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | | Type |
| :---: |


| (2002-13) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of Tax | Percent of <br> Total |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 908,092$ | $94.94 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 123,233$ | $12.88 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax* | $\$ 38,107$ | $3.98 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax** | $-\$ 115,524$ | $-12.08 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax* | $\$ 2,596$ | $0.27 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 956,504$ | $100 \%$ |

*Initial estimate used due to how this tax is reported. See "Analysis" section (II E and II F) for further explanation.
**State share was derived using Gross Collections for November \& December (2002-13); not quarterly

Historical averages and standard deviations were calculated and subtracted from 2013 actual figures. The tax rate was then applied to the difference to arrive at the "State Share of Sales Tax" figure.

## APPENDIX B

Given the historical amount subject to state tax, is it statistically feasible that the event caused the observed increase in taxable sales? A single sample test of hypothesis was conducted to answer this question. The steps used in conducting these tests for each tax type are as follows:

## Sales and Use Tax:

1. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
2. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{2}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. $0.1(10 \%)$ for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. $0.01(1 \%)$ for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
3. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
4. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value $\left(t^{*}\right)$ is less than -3.106 or greater than 3.106.
5. Test

| Sales and Use Tax | Are observed tax <br> collections <br> statistically <br> probable? | Using Nominal <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Null (Ho) | $\mathrm{u}=\$ 744$ Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not $=\$ 744$ <br> Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule |  |  |
| Action (result) | Reject Ho if | $\mathrm{t}^{*}<-3.106$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t}^{*}>3.106$ |  |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ <br> Rased on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in <br> quen is not statistically probable. This means that the sales and use tax <br> collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |

[^1]
## Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT):

1. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis ( Ha ): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
2. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{3}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. 0.1 (10\%) for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. $0.01(1 \%)$ for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
3. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
4. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value $\left(t^{*}\right)$ is less than -3.106 or greater than 3.106.
5. Test

| Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $u=\$ 17.5$ Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not = \$17.5 Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $t^{*}<-3.106$ |
|  |  | $t^{*}>3.106$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | t* | 5.7570 |
| Action (result) |  | Reject Ho |
| Based on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in question is not statistically probable. This means that the hotel occupancy tax collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |

## Mixed Beverage Tax:

1. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.

[^2]b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The increase in the state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
2. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{4}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. $0.1(10 \%)$ for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. 0.01 (1\%) for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
3. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
4. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.106 or greater than 3.106.
5. Test

| Mixed Beverage Tax | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $u=\$ 2.1$ Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | $\text { u not }=\$ 2.1$ <br> Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $\mathrm{t}^{*}$ <-3.106 |
|  |  | t* > 3.106 |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | t* | 2.7508 |
| Action (result) |  | Accept Ho |
| Based on the test, the state share of gross receipts for the period in question is statistically probable. This means that the state share of gross receipts for the mixed beverage tax for the period is normal given historical data. |  |  |

[^3]
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## Post Event Analysis

2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) SUPER STAKES - Fort Worth, Texas

## IV. Introduction

This post-event analysis is intended to evaluate the short-term tax benefits to the state through review of tax revenue data to determine the level of incremental tax impact to the state of Texas from the event. A primary purpose of this analysis is to determine the reasonableness of the initial incremental tax estimate made by the Comptroller's office prior to the event. The initial estimate is included in Appendix A.

Determining the tax impact of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Triple Crown of Cutting - SUPER STAKES is affected by the timing of the event, which coincides with the recovery from the economic recession. The agency's analysis shows that the sales and use tax increases in the market area were higher than the statistical model would have predicted, as well as higher than the percentage statewide increases. While some of this increase is certainly due to the event, it also appears that some of the increase was due to other factors, most likely the economic rebound.

Determining the tax impact from an event is dependent upon how many out-of-state participants and visitors attend the event. The tax type that is the largest contributor to the economy is the sales and use tax, but the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) tax most likely is the best indicator of how many out of market and out-of-state visitors an event may have attracted.

An assessment of the average of March and April HOT revenues from 2002 to 2014 shows that the state received $\$ 221,829$ above-average tax collections (including adjustments for atypical fluctuations that periodically occur over any given period). A post-event study provided by the event organizer estimated that 7,203 contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators attended the event. The agency conducted a simple analysis to determine the reasonableness of the reported out-of-state attendee number, using the following assumptions:
3. Each room would cost an average of roughly $\$ 115$ per day; and
4. There were 37,492 room nights paid for by out-of-state participants and visitors (the event was a total of 24 days).
This simple analysis yields an amount of approximately $\$ 258,695$ in additional taxes to the state ((number of room nights X average room rate) X state HOT rate). While this amount is much more than the initial estimate, it is also more than the actual increase of state HOT taxes for the event months.

While it is impossible to attribute increased economic activity to event participants and attendees given the data readily available to the Comptroller's Office, it is reasonable to assume that they did contribute some amount to the overall increase, and provides credence to the third party estimate of 7,203 contestants, vendors and out-of-state visitors.

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed HOT data, it could be inferred that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event. However, it is unknown:

- how much each of these out-of-state visitors spent;
- how many nights they stayed; and thus
- exactly how much they contributed to observed increases in tax revenues to the state from attending the event.
While the above example using actual HOT tax receipts provides a reasonable assessment that the event performed in line with expectations, the agency also performed additional analysis' on the various state taxes to further study the tax performance during the event period.


## Overview of the Local Economy

While the rest of the United States was falling into a recession, the state of Texas was weathering the economic downturn better than most. Buoyed by the oil and gas industry and avoiding the housing crisis made Texas more economically viable than other states. Due in part to these and other factors, Texas experienced solid population growth over the past decade.

# Texas and Tarrant County Indexed Population Growth, 2000 = Base Year 



Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

From 2000 to 2013, Texas' population grew at an average of roughly two percent per year. By 2013, the Texas and Tarrant County populations had grown by 26.28 and 31.37 percent, respectively, from their
levels in 2000. The graph above illustrates that Texas and Tarrant County populations grew at a steady pace at almost the same rate for the past thirteen years. And, as the population grew, more working state residents contributed to the Texas economy.

The growing population created an expanding Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and more employed people in Texas and Tarrant County. According to the Texas Workforce Commission, from 2000 to 2014, the CLF in Texas and Tarrant County had grown by 26.71 and 25.97 percent respectively. While the number of employed persons didn't keep pace with the CLF, from 2000 to 2014, employed persons in Texas and Tarrant County grew at a similar rate by 25.78 and 24.15 percent respectively. The graph below illustrates that the growth rates of Texas and Tarrant County CLF and employed track very close to each other. Only once during this period did the number of employed persons experience slower growth, which occurred between 2008 and 2009. The growing population and CLF provided some additional fuel to the economic engine of Texas.

## Texas and Tarrant County Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and Employment Growth Index, 2000 = Base Year



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

One measure of output for a state or local government area is Gross State Product (GSP) or Gross Regional Product (GRP). This is a measure of all of the value added by industries within the geographic
area and is a counterpart to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) ${ }^{5}$. As the population increases and more people go to work for various industries, output grows and so does the corresponding measure of GDP. The graph below illustrates that industry output in Texas and the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington (DFW) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) has been on an upward trend for the past decade. While Texas and the DFW MSA experienced a few years of slower growth in output, overall growth in industrial output over this period has been similar to growth in population and CLF.

## Texas and DFW Metropolitan Statistical Area Real GDP (2009 Chained Dollars) Growth Index, 2001 = Base Year



Source: U.S Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis.

When the growth index for population, CLF and GDP are compared for Texas, Tarrant County and the DFW MSA it becomes apparent how closely they track together over time. Growth for these three measures is roughly 30 percent higher than the base year, which also illustrates how closely these three measures are tied together.

The graph below illustrates the previous point that the Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA population and GDP grew at similar rates over the past decade. While population indices show a steady growth over time, GDP indices reflect the changes that occur in a more volatile and dynamic environment like the economy. The diverse economy in Texas is able to weather shocks to one or a few industry sectors and still remain viable. As one industry sheds workers and productivity, another is there to pick up some of those workers and productivity that was lost.

[^4]While taxable sales and revenues to the state may appear to rise due to a major event, the fact is that the Texas economy has been growing in terms of output and employment for many years now. Even during the recession the Texas economy was still growing relative to where it was earlier in the same decade, though that growth occurred at a slower rate. So, it is important to remember that the Texas economy has been on an upward trend. And in a state with steady population and civilian labor force growth, it's not hard to realize steady gains in output as well.

## Growth Index for Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA for Population and Real GDP (Base year = 2001)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis.

While Fort Worth and Tarrant County undoubtedly benefitted from hosting a major event, gauging that benefit within the backdrop of a growing economy can be challenging.

## V. Methodology

The METF statute requires the Comptroller's office to estimate the incremental increase in taxes for both the state and any municipality (or county) that contributes local matching funds. For the purposes of this analysis, staff attempted to determine if there was a measurable change in state taxes due to the event. This presents challenges for two primary reasons: the size and population of the state and the fact that taxes are remitted to the state based on receipts from 30-90 day periods, depending on the tax type. The incremental tax increase in the state due to an event in a large and dynamic tax system may not be readily distinguishable from incremental changes due to other factors.

Also, measuring the actual incremental tax increase produced as a result of a particular event with accuracy requires certain information that is not readily available. The primary determinants that would be required to accurately measure the incremental increase in taxes are:

- the number of out-of-state visitors;
- the length of stay for those visitors; and
- the expenditures per day for those visitors.

The incremental taxes attributable to the out-of-state fans for the event make up the majority of the estimate (approximately 65 to 75 percent of the total estimated incremental taxes).

Since the exact number of out-of-state visitors, length of stay, and expenditures per day is not known with certainty, our analysis relies on overall changes in tax collections to make an informed judgment as to whether the initial estimate was reasonable.

Incremental tax impact to the state, for these purposes, is estimated by gauging exogenous or out-ofstate spending. It is assumed that all attendees have limited disposable income for entertainment, and that Texas residents would likely have chosen to spend their entertainment dollars at another Texas venue or event in the absence of the event in question. While it is impossible to track all out-of-state visitors and their spending habits, a noticeable increase in taxable sales points to a positive economic impact due to a major event. This analysis uses two methods for examining tax data.

Method 1 looks at the past 13 years of quarterly (or monthly) tax data to determine average collections for any given quarter (or month). Standard deviations are calculated and if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales upward. The more the sales deviate from the average plus the standard deviation or outside the normal distribution, the stronger the indication of the magnitude of the impact.

Method 2 looks at only the quarter (or month) in question for the past 13 years (2002 to 2014). Based on sales subject to tax, average collections and standard deviations are calculated. Like the method described previously, if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales upward. The magnitude of the impact can be gauged by measuring the amount of state taxes collected over the average plus the standard deviation.

The methodology used for this analysis focuses on direct taxes, since indirect and induced impacts are calculated using a dynamic modeling software package, and are dependent on the direct taxes.

The Comptroller's Office also ran statistical tests (Appendix B) on the three tax types that generated the most revenue which could be verified (Sales and Use, Hotel Occupancy, and Mixed Beverage). The test indicated at the 99 percent confidence level, the amount subject to state tax was not normal during the period the event occurred and is statistically different from similar collections from the same time period in previous years. This statistical difference implies that the event was likely responsible for the difference in tax collection levels for the period.

## Analysis

The METF statute requires that the Comptroller estimate the incremental tax increase that is expected for an event for five specific tax types:
6. Sales and Use Tax
7. Hotel Occupancy Tax
8. Rental Car tax
9. Mixed Beverage Tax
10. Title 5, Alcoholic Beverage Code tax

Of these 5 taxes, the estimate of general Sales and Use tax, Hotel Occupancy tax (HOT), and Mixed Beverage tax are the largest verifiable tax types. In the case of the event in question, the Sales and Use tax and the HOT made up roughly 70 and 13 percent respectively, while the Mixed Beverage made up approximately 10.4 percent. Based on figures used for initial estimates, the Rental Car tax made up 6 percent and the Title 5 Alcohol tax made up six tenths of one percent.

Rental car taxes are paid by rental car companies at the state-wide level and are not attributable to specific geographic locations. Title 5 Alcohol taxes make up a small percentage of the overall estimate and due to the small amount, any change due to a specific event is difficult to calculate. Due to these challenges involved and relatively minor amounts of rental car tax and Title 5 alcohol, this analysis uses initial estimates and does not attempt to quantify changes in these two tax types.

II A Analysis-All Tax Types


Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

The above chart illustrates that sales tax makes up the majority of additional estimated revenue to the state from major events. Taxes collected from sales tax, mixed beverage tax, and hotel occupancy tax make up roughly 93 percent of the funds generated by the event for the 5 specific tax types that are addressed in the statute.

## II B Analysis--Hotel Occupancy Tax:

The charts below depict HOT taxable receipts, mixed beverage tax allocations, and gross sales subject to state tax.

# Monthly Hotel Occupancy Taxable Receipts - Fort Worth 



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
This graph does not represent all monthly HOT receipts, but only those that are taxable. The dollar value that corresponds to any one month represents the HOT taxable receipts that are attributable to that month. This graph includes HOT taxable receipts for the event market area. The event in question took place from March 24 to April 19, 2014.

The average monthly HOT taxable receipts for this area from January 2002 to June 2014 were $\$ 14,656,645$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,822,043$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 24,598,979$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month represented in this graph and going back to January 2002. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 18,478,687$, which is $\$ 4,913,818$ less than the average taxable receipts for the months when the event occurred (March and April 2014).

Considering only the average of March and April HOT receipts for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2014, the average amount subject to state tax was $\$ 15,661,622$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 4,033,739$. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 19,695,361$, which is $\$ 3,697,145$ less than the
average receipts for the months when the event occurred. This represents additional tax revenue of $\$ 221,829$ during this period.

While an analysis of tax revenues might not tell how much of this spending came from out-of-state visitors, a comparison of other direct spending estimates, using different assumptions and methodologies, can help put these numbers into perspective. Unlike other tax types, this tax is not affected as much by population and economic growth, and these numbers are mainly driven by visitors from outside of the area. Also, based on numbers provided by the event organizers, a less-sophisticated method of analysis estimated a tax benefit of $\$ 258,695$ in additional taxes to the state. As stated previously, an analysis of additional HOT revenues to the state was estimated to be $\$ 221,829$. The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 55,282$ in additional HOT revenue, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state HOT revenues of $\$ 252,608$.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOT | $\$ 44,773$ | $\$ 252,608$ |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II C Analysis--Mixed Beverage Tax:

## Monthly Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts for Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
This graph includes only historical mixed beverage taxable receipt amounts by calendar month. The monthly mixed beverage taxable amounts correspond to the month in which they were distributed. This graph includes amounts for Fort Worth.

The average monthly mixed beverage gross receipts for this area, from January 2002 to June 2014, were $\$ 13,259,534$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,328,140$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 21,376,024$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month going back to January 2002. The average monthly taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $16,587,674$, which is $\$ 3,380,584$ less than the average gross receipts during the months when the event occurred (March and April 2014).

The second method of analysis considered mixed beverage taxes for only the month when the event took place for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2014. The average receipts subject to tax, which are 14 percent of gross receipts for the month, were $\$ 1,799,587$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 469,111$. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 2,268,697$ which is $\$ 930,824$ more than receipts during the average for the months when the event occurred $(\$ 1,337,873)$. The state gets roughly 79 percent of taxable receipts (except for October 2011 to July 2013 when the state share was 83 percent), which are 14 percent of gross receipts prior to January 1, 2014. After January 1, 2014, taxable receipts will be 6.7 percent of gross receipts. This represents a reduction of revenue of $\$ 778,593$ during the months of the event.

The second method of analysis of mixed beverage revenues estimated that the state received \$778,593 less revenue that what would have normally been expected. This loss of revenue can partially be explained by a reduction in the taxable receipts rate, which was reduced by 52 percent (from 14 to 6.7 percent). Average gross receipts for March and April were higher in 2014 than any other previous year, but the amount of those receipts subject to tax was significantly lower. The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 35,387$ in additional revenue, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of $\$ 86,122$.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 35,387$ | $\$ 86,122$ |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II D Analysis--Sales and Use Tax:

The graph below is the sum of taxable sales for those industries most affected by out-of-state visitors for the event market area within a subset of 2- and 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code categories to include: NAICS code 447 (Gasoline Stations); NAICS code 448 (Clothing and

Clothing Accessories Stores); NAICS code 4521 (Department Stores); NAICS code 71 (Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation); NAICS code 721 (Accommodation); and NAICS code 722 (Food Service and Drinking Places). The graph includes data only from holders of sales tax permits; businesses that sell only goods that are outside the sales tax base are not covered by these reports. A business that files yearly reports will show up only in a single quarter. The peak in this graph occurs in the fourth quarter of 2012.

## Quarterly Gross Sales Subject to State Tax in Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
The average amount (reported quarterly) subject to sales tax for this area from 1Q 2002 to 3Q 2014 was $\$ 560,002,710$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 92,411,099$. The average amount subject to sales tax in the quarters during which the event took place (first and second quarter of 2014) was $\$ 700,177,690$, which is $\$ 47,763,882$ more than the average amount subject to sales tax and the standard deviation.

The second method of analysis of sales tax data that looks at only the second quarter of each year illustrates a slightly different picture. Collections subject to sales taxes for the industries mentioned above for the first and second quarter of each year (from 2002 to 2014) were averaged. Average collections subject to sales taxes for the first and second quarter were $\$ 543,626,991$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 89,139,522$. The average plus the standard deviation is $\$ 632,766,513$. Average collections subject to sales taxes for the first and second quarter of 2014 were $\$ 700,177,690$, which is $\$ 67,411,177$ more than the average plus the standard deviation. At the state sales tax rate ( $6.25 \%$ ), this amounts to an additional $\$ 4,213,199$ to the state as a result of increased sales.

The second method used to analyze the impact of the event that estimated a drastic jump in state revenues during the first and second quarter of 2014 can partially be explained by an overall growth in taxable sales in the state of Texas. Fort Worth and Tarrant County host many events during a given quarter, and more people from in-state and out-of-state spending their dollars at these events push tax collections up. Coupled with an overall increase in taxable sales, endogenous and exogenous spending can appear to have an even greater impact on state tax revenues.

While there clearly was increased sales tax revenue collected during the same time an event occurred in a given area, the increase is almost certainly not all due to one major occurrence (see section below titled "Texas and Fort Worth Average $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed, $2002=0$ "). The entire event occurred for less than one-third of the quarter and other events in the market area could have also had a positive effect on sales tax collections. This method of analysis estimates that the state of Texas received $\$ 4,213,199$ additional sales tax dollars from the event market area during the first and second quarter of 2014.

# Texas and Fort Worth Average 1st \& 2nd Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed (2002 = 0) 



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
The graph above illustrates the average first and second quarter taxable sales in Fort Worth and the state as a whole were growing at comparable rates using 2002 as a base year. In fact, from 2003 through 2005, Fort Worth's taxable sales subject to sales and use tax actually grew at a faster rate than Texas'. While growth in taxable sales and corresponding tax revenue might seem uncharacteristically large for Fort Worth, the rest of the state was also experiencing this same growth.

Based on the rapid growth of the event market area in comparison to the state at large, the relatively small number of contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators in a very populous event market area and the fact that the event took place for about one-third of the quarter, the agency estimates that as much as two-thirds of the calculated increase may have been due to the general economic growth and
other factors in the region as well as a new sales tax on mixed beverages, leading to an estimate of $\$ 1,390,356$ to the state as a result of increased sales. This is still over and above what would have been expected based on past performance. A pre-event analysis estimated that the state would receive an additional $\$ 236,603$ in sales and use tax due to the event, while a third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of \$515,867.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 236,603$ | $\$ 537,726$ |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II E Analysis--Rental Car Tax:

The state of Texas imposes a tax on motor vehicle rentals, but the rate is based on the length of the rental contract. For contracts of 1-30 days, the state rate is 10 percent of gross receipts less discount and separately stated fees for insurance, fuel, and damage assessments.

Unlike the other tax types, rental car tax is not reported to the Comptroller by location address. For example, if a corporate taxpayer has multiple locations in the state, they file one return for all gross rental tax. Taxpayers do not report to the Comptroller's Office based on location and the Comptroller's Office does not permit the taxpayer based on each location. For this reason, an examination of this tax type by location provides no useful data for these analyses.

In order to provide a complete estimate of direct-spending tax revenue from this tax type, the CPA initial estimate number was used for this post-event analysis. A third-party post-event analysis estimated a direct spending impact to state revenues of $\$ 26,540$.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental Car | $\$ 21,029$ | $\$ 26,540$ |

## II F Analysis--Title V Alcoholic Beverage Code Tax:

The state of Texas also imposes a tax on the amount of alcohol that manufacturers sell to retail establishments. The tax rate varies by class on the amount of alcoholic content of beverages by class per gallon. Distilled spirits are taxed at the highest rate at $\$ 2.40$ per gallon, while beer that is $4 \%$ alcohol by volume and lower is taxed at $\$ 0.193548$ per gallon.

While wholesalers are not reporting wholesale alcohol sales by location, they do submit how much volume they sold to retail establishments. Determining how much volume each retail establishment in the event market area purchased for each class of alcohol, then deriving how much tax was paid based on the volume and class would be extensive. Therefore, given how much state revenue is derived from this tax type (consistently less than one percent of the total of all taxes collected for this analysis) comparative to the other tax types, for the purpose of this analysis, the initial estimate was used. A third-party post-event analysis offered no estimate as to the impact to state revenues from this tax type.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title V ABC Tax | $\$ 2,008$ | NA |

## VI. Conclusion

## 3. Third-Party Post-Event Analysis

Post event analysis conducted by a third-party vendor estimated economic impact based on direct spending. Based on their analysis, they estimated that the total impact to the state of Texas was $\$ 902,994$ in additional state tax revenues.

## 4. Comptroller Analysis

The Comptroller estimate of direct impact prior to the event was $\boldsymbol{\$ 3 3 9 , 8 0 0}$.
Comptroller data suggest that the Fort Worth metro area experienced increased economic activity during the period during which the event occurred. The precise state share of this increased economic activity due to out-of-state consumption is unknown given the inability of available data to identify the amount contributed directly from out-of-state visitors. Additionally, it is worth noting that while a large metropolitan area that hosts a large event will almost certainly see a noticeable increase in tax revenues, the additional revenues are not as identifiable with smaller events such as this one. That being said, the amount of additional tax revenue the state received from the major tax types does exceed the initial estimates. This office concludes that the initial estimate of direct, indirect, and induced tax impact of $\$ 613,476$ is reasonable based on the tax increases that occurred in the market area during the period in which the event occurred.

## APPENDIX A

The tables below show the various tax types and the additional revenue amount estimates for the Super Stakes. The first table illustrates the three largest verifiable tax revenue generators and the amount estimated by three different analyses. The last three tables show the analysis of additional revenue to the state from all 5 tax types as estimated by three different methods.

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | Avg. 1Q \& 2Q 2014 <br>  <br> 2Q Avg. and <br> Standard Deviation <br> $(2002-14)$ | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 236,603$ | $\$ 1,390,356$ | $\$ 275,451$ |
| HOT | $\$ 44,773$ | $\$ 221,829$ | $\$ 70,389$ |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 35,387$ | $(\$ 778,593)$ | $\$ 14,411$ |
| Total | $\$ 316,763$ | $\$ 833,591$ | $\$ 360,251$ |


| Initial Analysis (Pre-event) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of <br> Tax | Percent <br> of Total |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 236,603$ | $69.63 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 44,773$ | $13.18 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax | $\$ 21,029$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax | $\$ 35,387$ | $10.41 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax | $\$ 2,008$ | $0.59 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 339,800$ | $100 \%$ |


| Third-Party Post-Event Analysis |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of Tax | Percent of <br> Total |
| Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 537,726$ | $59.55 \%$ |
| HOT | $\$ 252,608$ | $27.97 \%$ |
| Rental Car | $\$ 26,540$ | $2.94 \%$ |
| Mixed Bev | $\$ 86,122$ | $9.54 \%$ |
| Title 5 Alcohol | NA | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 902,996$ | $100 \%$ |

Avg. 1Q \& 2Q 2014 Actual minus 1Q \& 2Q Avg. and Standard

| Tax Type | State Share of Tax | Percent of <br> Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 1,390,356$ | $162.31 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 221,829$ | $25.90 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax* | $\$ 21,029$ | $2.45 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax** | $-\$ 778,593$ | $-90.89 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax* | $\$ 2,008$ | $0.23 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,509,550$ | $100 \%$ |

*Initial estimate used due to how this tax is reported. See
"Analysis" section (II E and II F) for further explanation.
**State share was derived using Gross Collections average for March and April (2002-14); not quarterly

Historical averages and standard deviations were calculated and subtracted from 2014 actual figures. The tax rate was then applied to the difference to arrive at the "State Share of Sales Tax" figure.

## APPENDIX B

Given the historical amount subject to state tax, is it statistically feasible that the event caused the observed increase in taxable sales? A single sample test of hypothesis was conducted to answer this question. The steps used in conducting these tests for each tax type are as follows:

## Sales and Use Tax:

6. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
7. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{6}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. $0.1(10 \%)$ for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. $0.01(1 \%)$ for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
8. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
9. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
10. Test

| Sales and Use Tax | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $\mathrm{u}=\$ 700.2$ Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{u} \text { not }=\$ 700.2 \\ \text { Million } \end{gathered}$ |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test $=2$-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $\mathrm{t}^{*}<-3.055$ |
|  |  | t* $>3.055$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | $\mathrm{t}^{*}$ | 6.3322 |
| Action (result) |  | Reject Ho |
| Based on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in question is not statistically probable. This means that the sales and use tax collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |

[^5]
## Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT):

6. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis ( Ha ): The increase in the amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
7. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{7}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. 0.1 (10\%) for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. $0.01(1 \%)$ for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
8. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
9. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
10. Test

| Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $\mathrm{u}=$ \$23.4 Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not $=\$ 23.4$ Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $t^{*}<-3.055$ |
|  |  | t* $>3.055$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | t* | 6.9102 |
| Action (result) |  | Reject Ho |
| Based on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in question is not statistically probable. This means that the hotel occupancy tax collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |

## Mixed Beverage Tax:

6. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The increase in the state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.

[^6]b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The increase in the state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
7. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{8}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. $0.1(10 \%)$ for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. 0.01 (1\%) for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
8. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
9. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
10. Test

| Mixed Beverage Tax Are observed tax <br> collections <br> statistically <br> probable? Using Nominal <br> Values <br> Hypothesis Null (Ho) $\mathrm{u}=\$ 1.1$ Million <br>  Alternative (Ha) u not $=\$ 1.1$ <br> Million <br>   0.01 (99\%) <br> Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test   <br> Decision Rule   <br> Action (result) Reject Ho if $\mathrm{t}^{*}<-3.055$ <br> Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ $\mathrm{t}^{*}$ -3.055 <br> Based on the test, the state share of gross receipts for the period in question is not <br> statistically probable. This means that the state share of gross receipts for the <br> mixed beverage tax for the period is not normally seen given historical data.   |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

[^7]
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## Post Event Analysis

## 2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) SUMMER SPECTACULAR - Fort Worth, Texas

## VII. Introduction

This post-event analysis is intended to evaluate the short-term tax benefits to the state through review of tax revenue data to determine the level of incremental tax impact to the state of Texas from the event. A primary purpose of this analysis is to determine the reasonableness of the initial incremental tax estimate made by the Comptroller's office prior to the event. The initial estimate is included in Appendix A.

Determining the tax impact of the 2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Triple Crown of Cutting - SUMMER SPECTACULAR is affected by the timing of the event, which coincides with the recovery from the economic recession. The agency's analysis shows that the sales and use tax increases in the market area were higher than the statistical model would have predicted, as well as higher than the percentage statewide increases. While some of this increase is certainly due to the event, it also appears that some of the increase was due to other factors, most likely the economic rebound.

Determining the tax impact from an event is dependent upon how many out-of-state participants and visitors attend the event. The tax type that is the largest contributor to the economy is the sales and use tax, but the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) tax most likely is the best indicator of how many out of market and out-of-state visitors an event may have attracted.

An assessment of July HOT revenues from 2002 to 2014 shows that the state received $\$ 109,108$ above-average tax collections (including adjustments for atypical fluctuations that periodically occur over any given period). Post-event figures provided by the event organizer estimated that 5,590 contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators attended the event.

While it is impossible to attribute increased economic activity to event participants and attendees given the data readily available to the Comptroller's Office, it is reasonable to assume that they did contribute some amount to the overall increase, and provides credence to the third party estimate of 5,590 contestants, vendors and out-of-state visitors.

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed HOT data, it could be inferred that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event. However, it is unknown:

- how much each of these out-of-state visitors spent;
- how many nights they stayed; and thus
- exactly how much they contributed to observed increases in tax revenues to the state from attending the event.

While the above example using actual HOT tax receipts provides a reasonable assessment that the event performed in line with expectations, the agency also performed additional analysis' on the various state taxes to further study the tax performance during the event period.

## Overview of the Local Economy

While the rest of the United States was falling into a recession, the state of Texas was weathering the economic downturn better than most. Buoyed by the oil and gas industry and avoiding the housing crisis made Texas more economically viable than other states. Due in part to these and other factors, Texas experienced solid population growth over the past decade.

# Texas and Tarrant County Indexed Population Growth, 2000 = Base Year 



## Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

From 2000 to 2013, Texas' population grew at an average of roughly two percent per year. By 2013, the Texas and Tarrant County populations had grown by 26.28 and 31.37 percent, respectively, from their levels in 2000. The graph above illustrates that Texas and Tarrant County populations grew at a steady pace at almost the same rate for the past thirteen years. And, as the population grew, more working state residents contributed to the Texas economy.

The growing population created an expanding Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and more employed people in Texas and Tarrant County. According to the Texas Workforce Commission, from 2000 to 2014, the CLF in Texas and Tarrant County had grown by 26.71 and 25.97 percent respectively. While the number of employed persons didn't keep pace with the CLF, from 2000
to 2014, employed persons in Texas and Tarrant County grew at a similar rate by 25.78 and 24.15 percent respectively. The graph below illustrates that the growth rates of Texas and Tarrant County CLF and employed track very close to each other. Only once during this period did the number of employed persons experience slower growth, which occurred between 2008 and 2009. The growing population and CLF provided some additional fuel to the economic engine of Texas.

Texas and Tarrant County Civilian Labor Force (CLF) and Employment Growth Index, 2000 = Base Year


Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

One measure of output for a state or local government area is Gross State Product (GSP) or Gross Regional Product (GRP). This is a measure of all of the value added by industries within the geographic area and is a counterpart to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) ${ }^{9}$. As the population increases and more people go to work for various industries, output grows and so does the corresponding measure of GDP. The graph below illustrates that industry output in Texas and the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington (DFW) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) has been on an upward trend for the past decade. While Texas and the DFW MSA experienced a few years of

[^8]slower growth in output, overall growth in industrial output over this period has been similar to growth in population and CLF.

## Texas and DFW Metropolitan Statistical Area Real GDP (2009 Chained Dollars) Growth Index, 2001 = Base Year



[^9]When the growth index for population, CLF and GDP are compared for Texas, Tarrant County and the DFW MSA it becomes apparent how closely they track together over time. Growth for these three measures is roughly 30 percent higher than the base year, which also illustrates how closely these three measures are tied together.

The graph below illustrates the previous point that the Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA population and GDP grew at similar rates over the past decade. While population indices show a steady growth over time, GDP indices reflect the changes that occur in a more volatile and dynamic environment like the economy. The diverse economy in Texas is able to weather shocks to one or a few industry sectors and still remain viable. As one industry sheds workers and productivity, another is there to pick up some of those workers and productivity that was lost.

While taxable sales and revenues to the state may appear to rise due to a major event, the fact is that the Texas economy has been growing in terms of output and employment for many years now. Even during the recession the Texas economy was still growing relative to where it was earlier in the same decade, though that growth occurred at a slower rate. So, it is important to remember that the Texas economy has been on an upward trend. And in a state with steady population and civilian labor force growth, it's not hard to realize steady gains in output as well.

# Growth Index for Texas, Tarrant County and DFW MSA for Population and Real GDP (Base year = 2001) 



Source: U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis.

While Fort Worth and Tarrant County undoubtedly benefitted from hosting a major event, gauging that benefit within the backdrop of a growing economy can be challenging.

## VIII. Methodology

The METF statute requires the Comptroller's office to estimate the incremental increase in taxes for both the state and any municipality (or county) that contributes local matching funds. For the purposes of this analysis, staff attempted to determine if there was a measurable change in state taxes due to the event. This presents challenges for two primary reasons: the size and population of the state and the fact that taxes are remitted to the state based on receipts from 30-90 day periods, depending on the tax type. The incremental tax increase in the state due to an event in a large and dynamic tax system may not be readily distinguishable from incremental changes due to other factors.

Also, measuring the actual incremental tax increase produced as a result of a particular event with accuracy requires certain information that is not readily available. The primary determinants that would be required to accurately measure the incremental increase in taxes are:

- the number of out-of-state visitors;
- the length of stay for those visitors; and
- the expenditures per day for those visitors.

The incremental taxes attributable to the out-of-state fans for the event make up the majority of the estimate (approximately 65 to 75 percent of the total estimated incremental taxes).

Since the exact number of out-of-state visitors, length of stay, and expenditures per day is not known with certainty, our analysis relies on overall changes in tax collections to make an informed judgment as to whether the initial estimate was reasonable.

Incremental tax impact to the state, for these purposes, is estimated by gauging exogenous or out-of-state spending. It is assumed that all attendees have limited disposable income for entertainment, and that Texas residents would likely have chosen to spend their entertainment dollars at another Texas venue or event in the absence of the event in question. While it is impossible to track all out-of-state visitors and their spending habits, a noticeable increase in taxable sales points to a positive economic impact due to a major event. This analysis uses two methods for examining tax data.

Method 1 looks at the past 13 years of quarterly (or monthly) tax data to determine average collections for any given quarter (or month). Standard deviations are calculated and if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales upward. The more the sales deviate from the average plus the standard deviation or outside the normal distribution, the stronger the indication of the magnitude of the impact.

Method 2 looks at only the quarter (or month) in question for the past 13 years (2002 to 2014). Based on sales subject to tax, average collections and standard deviations are calculated. Like the method described previously, if collections for the period when the event occurred are beyond the average and standard deviation, it is assumed that an event must have pushed sales
upward. The magnitude of the impact can be gauged by measuring the amount of state taxes collected over the average plus the standard deviation.

The methodology used for this analysis focuses on direct taxes, since indirect and induced impacts are calculated using a dynamic modeling software package, and are dependent on the direct taxes.

The Comptroller's Office also ran statistical tests (Appendix B) on the three tax types that generated the most revenue which could be verified (Sales and Use, Hotel Occupancy, and Mixed Beverage). The test indicated at the 99 percent confidence level, the amount subject to state tax was not normal during the period the event occurred and is statistically different from similar collections from the same time period in previous years. This statistical difference implies that the event was likely responsible for the difference in tax collection levels for the period.

## Analysis

The METF statute requires that the Comptroller estimate the incremental tax increase that is expected for an event for five specific tax types:
11. Sales and Use Tax
12. Hotel Occupancy Tax
13. Rental Car tax
14. Mixed Beverage Tax
15. Title 5, Alcoholic Beverage Code tax

Of these 5 taxes, the estimate of general Sales and Use tax, Hotel Occupancy tax (HOT), and Mixed Beverage tax are the largest verifiable tax types. In the case of the event in question, the Sales and Use tax and the HOT made up roughly 68 and 16 percent respectively, while the Mixed Beverage made up approximately 9 percent. Based on figures used for initial estimates, the Rental Car tax made up 7 percent and the Title 5 Alcohol tax made up nearly one-half of one percent.

Rental car taxes are paid by rental car companies at the state-wide level and are not attributable to specific geographic locations. Title 5 Alcohol taxes make up a small percentage of the overall estimate and due to the small amount, any change due to a specific event is difficult to calculate. Due to these challenges involved and relatively minor amounts of rental car tax and Title 5 alcohol, this analysis uses initial estimates and does not attempt to quantify changes in these two tax types.


Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

The above chart illustrates that sales tax makes up the majority of additional estimated revenue to the state from major events. Taxes collected from sales tax, mixed beverage tax, and hotel occupancy tax make up roughly 93 percent of the funds generated by the event for the 5 specific tax types that are addressed in the statute.

## II B Analysis--Hotel Occupancy Tax:

The charts below depict HOT taxable receipts, mixed beverage tax allocations, and gross sales subject to state tax.

## Monthly Hotel Occupancy Taxable Receipts - Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

This graph does not represent all monthly HOT receipts, but only those that are taxable. The dollar value that corresponds to any one month represents the HOT taxable receipts that are attributable to that month. This graph includes HOT taxable receipts for the event market area. The event in question took place from July 7 to August 2, 2014.

The average monthly HOT taxable receipts for this area from January 2002 to September 2014 were $\$ 14,771,866$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,876,104$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 24,598,979$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month represented in this graph and going back to January 2002. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 18,647,970$, which is $\$ 1,473,992$ less than the taxable receipts for the month when the event occurred (July 2014).

Considering only July HOT receipts for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2014, the average amount subject to state tax was $\$ 14,647,805$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,655,685$. The average
taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 18,303,490$, which is $\$ 1,818,472$ less than receipts during the month when the event occurred. This represents additional tax revenue of \$109,108 during this period.

While an analysis of tax revenues might not tell how much of this spending came from out-ofstate visitors, a comparison of other direct spending estimates, using different assumptions and methodologies, can help put these numbers into perspective. Unlike other tax types, this tax is not affected as much by population and economic growth, and these numbers are mainly driven by visitors from outside of the area. As stated previously, an analysis of additional HOT revenues to the state was estimated to be $\$ 109,108$. The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 68,623$ in additional HOT revenue. No third-party post-event analysis was provided for this event.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOT | $\$ 68,623$ | NA |

Considering the actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## Monthly Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts for Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts

This graph includes only historical mixed beverage taxable receipt amounts by calendar month. The monthly mixed beverage taxable amounts correspond to the month in which they were distributed. This graph includes amounts for Fort Worth.

The average monthly mixed beverage gross receipts for this area, from January 2002 to September 2014, were $\$ 13,363,626$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 3,378,934$. The peak in the graph represents taxable receipts of $\$ 21,376,024$ (March 2014), which is higher than any other month going back to January 2002. The average monthly taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 16,742,560$, which is $\$ 870,928$ less than the gross receipts during the month when the event occurred ( $\$ 17,613,488$ for July 2014).

The second method of analysis considered mixed beverage taxes for only the month when the event took place for Fort Worth from 2002 to 2014. The average receipts subject to tax, which are 14 percent of gross receipts for the month, were $\$ 1,741,470$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 436,129$. The average taxable receipts plus the standard deviation is $\$ 2,177,598$ which is $\$ 997,495$ more than receipts during the month when the event occurred $(\$ 1,180,104)$. The state gets roughly 79 percent of taxable receipts (except for October 2011 to July 2013 when the state
share was 83 percent), which are 14 percent of gross receipts prior to January 1, 2014. After January 1, 2014, taxable receipts will be 6.7 percent of gross receipts. The second method of analysis of mixed beverage revenues estimated that the state received $\$ 825,497$ less revenue that what would have normally been expected. This loss of revenue can partially be explained by a reduction in the taxable receipts rate, which was reduced by 52 percent (from 14 to 6.7 percent).

The initial pre-event estimate had predicted $\$ 36,226$ in additional revenue. No third-party postevent analysis was provided for this event.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 36,226$ | NA |

Considering actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II D Analysis--Sales and Use Tax:

The graph below is the sum of taxable sales for those industries most affected by out-of-state visitors for the event market area within a subset of 2-and 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code categories to include: NAICS code 447 (Gasoline Stations); NAICS code 448 (Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores); NAICS code 4521 (Department Stores); NAICS code 71 (Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation); NAICS code 721 (Accommodation); and NAICS code 722 (Food Service and Drinking Places). The graph includes data only from holders of sales tax permits; businesses that sell only goods that are outside the sales tax base are not covered by these reports. A business that files yearly reports will show up only in a single quarter. The peak in this graph occurs in the fourth quarter of 2013.

## Quarterly Gross Sales Subject to State Tax in Fort Worth



Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
The average amount (reported quarterly) subject to sales tax for this area from 1Q 2002 to 3Q 2014 was $\$ 560,002,710$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 92,411,099$. The amount subject to sales tax in the quarter during when the event took place (third quarter of 2014) was $\$ 696,093,405$, which is $\$ 43,679,597$ more than the average amount subject to sales tax and the standard deviation.

The second method of analysis of sales tax data that looks at only the third quarter of each year illustrates a slightly different picture. Average collections subject to sales taxes for the industries mentioned above for the third quarter of each year (from 2002 to 2014) were averaged. Average collections subject to sales taxes for the third quarter were $\$ 536,907,174$ with a standard deviation of $\$ 86,454,971$. The average plus the standard deviation is $\$ 623,362,144$. Collections subject to sales taxes for the third quarter of 2014 were $\$ 696,093,405$, which is $\$ 72,731,261$ more than the average plus the standard deviation. At the state sales tax rate (6.25\%), this amounts to an additional $\$ 4,545,704$ to the state as a result of increased sales.

The second method used to analyze the impact of the event that estimated a drastic jump in state revenues during the third quarter of 2014 can partially be explained by an overall growth in taxable sales in the state of Texas. Fort Worth and Tarrant County host many events during a given quarter, and more people from in-state and out-of-state spending their dollars at these events push tax collections up. Coupled with an overall increase in taxable sales, endogenous and exogenous spending can appear to have an even greater impact on state tax revenues.

While there clearly was increased sales tax revenue collected during the same time an event occurred in a given area, the increase is almost certainly not all due to one major occurrence (see section below titled "Texas and Fort Worth Average $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed, 2002 = $0^{\prime \prime}$ ). The entire event occurred for less than one-third of the quarter and other events in the market area could have also had a positive effect on sales tax collections. This method of analysis estimates that the state of Texas received $\$ 4,545,704$ additional sales tax dollars from the event market area during the third quarter of 2014.

# Texas and Fort Worth Average 3rd Quarter Sales Subject to Tax Indexed (2002 = 0) 



The graph above illustrates the third quarter taxable sales in Fort Worth and the state as a whole were growing at comparable rates using 2002 as a base year. In fact, from 2003 through 2005, Fort Worth's taxable sales subject to sales and use tax actually grew at a faster rate than Texas'. While growth in taxable sales and corresponding tax revenue might seem uncharacteristically large for Fort Worth, the rest of the state was also experiencing this same growth.

Based on the rapid growth of the event market area in comparison to the state at large, the relatively small number of contestants, vendors and out-of-state spectators in a very populous event market area and the fact that the event took place for about one-third of the quarter, the agency estimates that as much as two-thirds of the calculated increase may have been due to the general economic growth and other factors in the region as well as a new sales tax on mixed beverages, leading to an estimate of $\$ 1,500,082$ to the state as a result of increased sales. This is over and above what would have been expected based on past performance. A pre-event analysis estimated that the state would receive an additional $\$ 287,155$ in sales and use tax due to the event. No third-party post-event analysis was provided for this event.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 287,155$ | NA |

Considering the attendance figure from the third-party study and actual observed tax data, it could reasonably be stated that the event host city experienced positive economic activity due to this event.

## II E Analysis--Rental Car Tax:

The state of Texas imposes a tax on motor vehicle rentals, but the rate is based on the length of the rental contract. For contracts of 1-30 days, the state rate is 10 percent of gross receipts less discount and separately stated fees for insurance, fuel, and damage assessments.

Unlike the other tax types, rental car tax is not reported to the Comptroller by location address. For example, if a corporate taxpayer has multiple locations in the state, they file one return for all gross rental tax. Taxpayers do not report to the Comptroller's Office based on location and the Comptroller's Office does not permit the taxpayer based on each location. For this reason, an examination of this tax type by location provides no useful data for these analyses.

In order to provide a complete estimate of direct-spending tax revenue from this tax type, the CPA initial estimate number was used for this post-event analysis. No third-party post-event analysis was provided for this event.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental Car | $\$ 28,454$ | NA |

## II F Analysis--Title V Alcoholic Beverage Code Tax:

The state of Texas also imposes a tax on the amount of alcohol that manufacturers sell to retail establishments. The tax rate varies by class on the amount of alcoholic content of beverages by class per gallon. Distilled spirits are taxed at the highest rate at $\$ 2.40$ per gallon, while beer that is $4 \%$ alcohol by volume and lower is taxed at $\$ 0.193548$ per gallon.

While wholesalers are not reporting wholesale alcohol sales by location, they do submit how much volume they sold to retail establishments. Determining how much volume each retail establishment in the event market area purchased for each class of alcohol, then deriving how much tax was paid based on the volume and class would be extensive. Therefore, given how much state revenue is derived from this tax type (about one-half of one-percent of the total of all taxes collected for this analysis) comparative to the other tax types, for the purpose of this analysis, the initial estimate was used. No third-party post-event analysis was provided for this event.

| Tax Type | Initial <br> Estimate | Third-Party <br> Post-Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title V ABC Tax | $\$ 2,056$ | NA |

## IX. Conclusion

## 5. Comptroller Analysis

The Comptroller estimate of direct impact prior to the event was \$422,514.
Comptroller data suggest that the Fort Worth metro area experienced increased economic activity during the event. The precise state share of this increased economic activity due to out-of-state consumption is unknown given the inability of available data to identify the amount contributed directly from out-of-state visitors. Additionally, it is worth noting that while a large metropolitan area that hosts a large event will almost certainly see a noticeable increase in tax revenues, the additional revenues are not as identifiable with smaller events such as this one. That being said, the amount of additional tax revenue the state received from the major tax types does exceed most initial estimates. This office concludes that the initial estimate of direct, indirect, and induced tax impact of $\mathbf{\$ 7 6 2 , 1 3 1}$ is reasonable based on the tax increases that occurred in the market area during the period in which the event occurred.

## APPENDIX A

The tables below show the various tax types and the additional revenue amount estimates for the Summer Spectacular. The first table illustrates the three largest verifiable tax revenue generators and the amount estimated by different analyses. The next two tables show the analysis of additional revenue to the state from all 5 tax types as estimated by the different methods.

| Tax Type | Initial Estimate | 3Q 2014 Actual <br> minus 3Q Average <br> and Standard <br> Deviation (2002-14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 287,155$ | $\$ 1,500,082$ |
| HOT | $\$ 68,623$ | $\$ 109,108$ |
| Mixed Beverage | $\$ 36,226$ | $(\$ 825,497)$ |
| Total | $\$ 392,004$ | $\$ 783,693$ |


| Initial Analysis (Pre-event) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of <br> Tax | Percent <br> of Total |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 287,155$ | $67.96 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 68,623$ | $16.24 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax | $\$ 28,454$ | $6.73 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax | $\$ 36,226$ | $8.57 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax | $\$ 2,056$ | $0.49 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 394,041$ | $100 \%$ |


| 3Q 2014 Actual minus 3Q Average and Standard Deviation <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 1 4 )}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tax Type | State Share of Tax | Percent of <br> Total |
| 1. Sales and Use Tax | $\$ 1,500,082$ | $184.24 \%$ |
| 2. Hotel Occupancy Tax | $\$ 109,108$ | $13.40 \%$ |
| 3. Rental Car tax* | $\$ 28,454$ | $3.49 \%$ |
| 4. Mixed Beverage Tax** | $(\$ 825,497)$ | $-101.39 \%$ |
| 5. Title 5, Alcoholic <br> Beverage Code tax* | $\$ 2,056$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 814,203$ | $100 \%$ |

*Initial estimate used due to how this tax is reported. See
"Analysis" section (II E and II F) for further explanation.
**State share was derived using Gross Collections for July (2002-
14); not quarterly

Historical averages and standard deviations were calculated and subtracted from 2014 actual figures. The tax rate was then applied to the difference to arrive at the "State Share of Sales Tax" figure.

## APPENDIX B

Given the historical amount subject to state tax, is it statistically feasible that the event caused the observed increase in taxable sales? A single sample test of hypothesis was conducted to answer this question. The steps used in conducting these tests for each tax type are as follows:

## Sales and Use Tax:

11. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
12. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{10}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. 0.1 (10\%) for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. 0.01 (1\%) for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
13. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
14. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
15. Test

| Sales and Use Tax | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $\mathrm{u}=$ \$696.1 Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not = \$696.1 Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test $=2$-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $t^{*}<-3.055$ |
|  |  | t* ${ }^{*} 3.055$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | t* | 6.6388 |
| Action (result) |  | Reject Ho |
| Based on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in question is not statistically probable. This means that the sales and use tax collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |
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## Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT):

11. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis ( Ha ): The amount subject to state tax in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
12. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{11}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. 0.1 (10\%) for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. 0.01 (1\%) for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be 99\% confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
13. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
14. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
15. Test

| Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) | Are observed tax <br> collections <br> statistically <br> probable? | Using Nominal <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Null (Ho) | $\mathrm{u}=\$ 20.1$ Million |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not $=\$ 20.1$ <br> Million |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule |  |  |
| Action (result) | Reject Ho if | $\mathrm{t}^{*}<-3.055$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | $\mathrm{t}^{*}$ | 5.055 |
| Based on the test, the actual collections subject to state tax for the period in <br> question is not statistically probable. This means that the hotel occupancy tax <br> collected for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |

Mixed Beverage Tax:

[^11]11. Stating the hypothesis:
a. Null Hypothesis (Ho): The state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is equal to what would have been expected.
b. Alternative Hypothesis (Ha): The state share of gross receipts in the event market area during the time of the event is not equal to what would have been expected.
12. Level of Significance (probability of rejecting Ho when it is true): According to Lind, Marchal and Wathen ${ }^{12}$, it is traditional to use the following levels of significance for the following types of studies:
a. 0.1 (10\%) for political polling;
b. $0.05(5 \%)$ for consumer research projects; and
c. 0.01 (1\%) for quality assurance.

For the purpose of this analysis, we use the 0.01 ( $1 \%$ level) of significance. This means that we want to be $99 \%$ confident that the Ho would not be rejected if it is true.
13. Test Statistic: 2-tailed, t-test is used to test for a population mean when the sample size is small.
14. Decision Rule: Reject Ho if the estimated $t$ value ( $t^{*}$ ) is less than -3.055 or greater than 3.055 .
15. Test

| Mixed Beverage Tax | Are observed tax collections statistically probable? | Using Nominal Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Null (Ho) | $u=\$ 932$ <br> Thousand |
|  | Alternative (Ha) | u not = \$932 <br> Thousand |
| Level of Significance (Confidence Interval) |  | 0.01 (99\%) |
| Statistical Test = 2-tailed t-test |  |  |
| Decision Rule | Reject Ho if | $t^{*}<-3.055$ |
|  |  | t* $>3.055$ |
| Estimated $\mathrm{t}=$ | t* | -4.4921 |
| Action (result) |  | Reject Ho |
| Based on the test, the state share of gross receipts for the period in question is not statistically probable. This means that the state share of gross receipts for the mixed beverage tax for the period is not normally seen given historical data. |  |  |
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October 14, 2013

Ms. Susan Alanis
Assistant City Manager
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Dear Ms. Alanis:

You submitted a request to establish a Major Events Trust Fund, certifying that the statutory requirements have been met and that the economic materials supplied are accurate. Our office has completed an analysis of your request.

The results show the State of Texas will realize an incremental increase in tax revenue due to this event. As per statute, a Major Events Trust Fund will be established. This letter does not constitute an approval for reimbursement. Any reimbursement is subject to meeting statutory requirements, including the timely submittal of an appropriate event support contract and other documentation as required. . It is recognized that this METF is actually the sum of three (3) individual sub-major events. Please see below for the individual METF contributions.

- Event - 2013-'14 National Cutting Horse Association's Triple Crown of Cutting
(2013 Futurity; 2014 Super Stakes; 2014 Summer Spectacular)
- Location / Venue - Fort Worth / Will Rogers Memorial Center
- Dates -
- Futurity (November 18 - December 14, 2013)
- Super Stakes (March 24 - April 19, 2014)
- Summer Spectacular (July 7 - August 2, 2014)
- Estimated incremental increase in tax revenue to the State of Texas - \$2,605,064
- 2012 Futurity - $\$ 1,229,457$
- 2013 Super Stakes - $\$ 613,475$
- 2013 Summer Spectacular - \$762,130
- Local match required - $\$ 416,811$
- 2012 Futurity - $\$ 196,714$
- 2013 Super Stakes - \$98,157
- 2013 Summer Spectacular - \$121,941
- Total contribution to the Major Event Trust Fund established for this event - \$3,021,875
- 2012 Futurity - $\$ 1,426,171$
- 2013 Super Stakes - $\$ 711,632$
- 2013 Summer Spectacular - \$884,071

Following the event, you will need to complete and submit the attendance certification form, which has been attached to this letter for your convenience.

On behalf of the people of the State of Texas, I applaud your work to bring this event to the state and know all the out-of-state visitors will enjoy your hospitality and come back to Texas again soon. If you have any further questions, please contact Robert Wood, director of the Economic Development and Analysis Division, at robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us or 1-800-531-5441, ext. 3-3973.

Sincerely,


## Form to be COMPLETED by request letter signatory for the below mentioned Major Events Trust Fund or Events Trust Fund

## ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust <br> Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting - FUTURITY |
| :--- | :--- |
| You submitted a Request letter for an event: | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptroller mailed a fund approval letter to <br> you on: | October 14, 2013 |
| This affidavit is to be returned to the Comptroller no <br> later than fourteen (14) days after the end of this <br> event: | December 28, 2013 |

The TOTAL attendance at the PRIMARY EVENT was:
The ACTUAL attendees NOT residents of this state for this PRIMARY EVENT was: $\qquad$
Source(s) and Methodology feel free to attach support information:

- I understand that non-conpliance with reporting requirements could be treated as a violation of the statute and/or program rules resulting in the possible witholoding of disbursement funding.
- I understand that it is a lelony offense under Section 37.10. Texas Penal Cokle. on knowingly make a false cniry in. or false alleration of, a governmemal record, or to make. present, or use a goternmental record with knowledge of its falsity, when the actur has the intent to harm or defrad another.
- 1 understand my ohligation to provide information about event expectations and performance that are trac and accurate to the hest ol my knowledge and ahility. I also understand my obligation to itumediately report any known or suspected wase. fratu. and abuse of funds received under the Act whe Texats State Audior's Office at 1-800-892-8348.


## Requestor:

Signature: $\qquad$
Printed Name: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$

Send to:
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, Economic Devtlopment and Analysis Departinent, P.O. Box 13528, Austin, TX 78711 -3528

## Form to be COMPLETED by request letter signatory for the below mentioned Major Events Trust Fund or Events Trust Fund

ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust <br> Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting - SUPER <br> STAKES |
| :--- | :--- |
| You submitted a Request letter for an event: | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptroller mailed a fund approval letter to <br> you on: | October 14, 2013 |
| This affidavit is to be returned to the Comptroller no <br> later than fourteen (14) days afier the end of this <br> event: | May 3, 2014 |

The TOTAL attendance at the PRIMARY EVENT was: $\qquad$
The ACTUAL attendees NOT residents of this state for this PRIMARY EVENT was: $\qquad$
Source(s) and Methodology feel free to attach support information:

- I understand that non-compliance with reporting requirements could be treated as a violation of the stalute and/or program rules resulting in the possible withholding of dishursement funding.
- 1 understand that it is a felony offense under Section 37.10. Texas Penal Code. to knowingly make a false entry in. or false alteration of, a governmental record, or to make, present, or use a govermmental record with knowledge al its falsity, when the detor has the intent to harm or defraud another.
- I understand my abligation to provide information about event expectations and performance that are true and accurate in the hest of my knowledge and ahility. I also understand my obligation to immediately reporn any known or suspected waste, fraud. and abuse of lunds received under the Act to the Texas Stale Auditor's Ofrice al 1-800-892-8348.

Requestor:

Signature: $\qquad$
Printed Name: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$
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## Form to be COMPLETED by request letter signatory for the below mentioned Major Events Trust Fund or Events Trust Fund

ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust <br> Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting - SUMMER <br> SPECTACULAR |
| :--- | :--- |
| You submitted a Request letter for an event: | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptroller mailed a fund approval letter to <br> you on: | October 14, 2013 |
| This affidavit is to be returned to the Comptroller no <br> later than fourteen (14) days after the end of this <br> event: | August 16, 2014 |

The TOTAL attendance at the PRIMARY EVENT was:
The ACTUAL attendees NOT residents of this state for this PRIMARY EVENT was: $\qquad$
Source(s) and Methodology feel free to attach support information:

- 1 understand that non-compliance with reparting requirements could be treated as a violation of the statute and/or programs rules resulting in the possihle withholding of dishursement funding.
- I understand that it is a felany offense under Section 37.10. Texis Penal Code. to knowingly make a false entry in. or false alteration of, a govemmental record. or to make, present, or use a govermmental record with knowledge of its falsity, when the actur has the ineme to harm or defriud another.
- I understand my ohligation to provide intormation about event expectations and performance that are trace and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability. I also understand ony obligation to immediately report any known or suspected waste. fraud. and ahuse ol' funds received under the Act to the Texas State Auditor's Office at 1-801-892-8348.


## Requestor:

Signature: $\qquad$
Printed Name: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$
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## Fort Worth.

September 4, 2013

Hon. Susan Combs
Comptroller of Public Accounts, State of Texas
P.O. Box 13528

Austin, Texas 78711-3528
Dear Comptroller Combs:
Pursuant to Article 5190.14, Section 5A, Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes (the "Statute"), the City of Fort Worth respectfully requests that your office prepare an estimate of the economic impact of the National Cutting Horse Association World Championship Futurity, Super Stakes \& Super Stakes Classic, and Summer Cutting Spectacular, which together comprise the NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting (sometimes referred herein as the "Event"), for purposes of establishing a Major Events Trust Fund to be funded by local and state tax revenue under the provisions of the Statute. The City of Fort Worth appreciates the State of Texas' efforts to ensure that this major event and its positive cconomic impact remain in Fort Worth and Texas.

These shows, each significant in its own right, are even more important to Fort Worth and the State of Texas because the events comprise the three legs of the NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting, an internationally recognized competition. The National Cutting Horse Association through its Executive Board serves as the site selection committee for the Event. The City applied to host the Triple Crown of Cutting for the period of 2013-2014, and the NCHA chose the City to serve in that role contingent upon funding being provided under the Statute on a year-to-year basis. 1 s you know, the $82^{\text {nd }}$ Legislature passed Senate Bill 309 in April 2011, which amended the Statute and reclassified the NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting as an "event" under Section 5A of the Statute, which governs the Major Events Trust Fund. The City of Fort Worth looks forward to once again working with the Comptroller's office to host the event under the amended Statute.

As a result of the NCHA's selection of the City of Fort Worth, the three legs of the Event have been held in the City of Fort Worth, representing more than 255 show days and more than $\$ 153,111,014$ in taxable expenditures over the past four years. The following chart sets forth the average economic impact over the past four years and thereby shows the strong performance of the Triple Crown of Cutting over that time.

|  | Amounts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Show Days | 64 |
| Visitors | 24,005 |
| Visitor Days | 201,380 |
| Direct Expenditures Subject to Eligible <br> Texas Taxes | S37,718,436 |
| Eligible Texas Taxes Generated by Direct <br> Expenditures | $\$ 2,414,541$ |

Funding over the past four years has led to consistently significant numbers of entries, visitors, visitor days, taxable expenditures and taxes collected directly attributable to the Event. Despite the dramatic downturn in national and worldwide economic conditions in the past several years, the NCHA has continued to host more than 60 days of events each year and enjoyed a consistently significant numbers of entries. In his analyses of the economic impact of the Triple Crown over the past four years, Dr. Gerald Grotta has reported total direct expenditures subject to eligible Texas state taxes of more than $\$ 153,111,014$, which is a yearly average of $\$ 37,718,436$. Dr. Grotta's economic impact studies have been provided on an annual basis to your office. A compilation of these studies (broken down by the individual shows) are attached. Unlike other events that are held only in a single year, these studies, done annually over the term of the City's agreement with the NCHA demonstrate a consistently strong performance.

Over the past four years of the Event being held in Fort Worth, participants have not only traveled from across the United States, but from across the world to Fort Worth to attend the NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting. In 2012 and 2013 alone, 18,298 visitors attended the three Triple Crown events as either participants or spectators. While the Event has not been impervious to the national and international economic climate, it has continued to be successful and is primed for greater success in the 2013-2014 Event Year. When compared to the struggling national economy, it is clear that the NCHA and the Event continue to deliver significant economic impact.

Major equine events are integral to the City of Fort Worth's culture and tourism. The NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting showcases some of the world's finest horses, trainers and riders, attracting participants and patrons to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth from across the United States and around the world, including show staff, officials, competitors, trainers, owners, judges, exhibitors, sponsors, news media, and fans of the Event. The Event and the pageantry that surrounds it contribute to the image of the City of Fort Worth and the State of Texas as an international center of western lifestyle and heritage. Winning the rights to host major equine events such as the NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting goes beyond merely enhancing the City of Fort Worth's image -- it directly contributes millions of dollars to the economy of the City of Fort Worth and surrounding communities, as well as to the State of Texas. Even in the midst of difficult economic conditions, the Event has continued to be a strong economic driver as reflected in Dr. Grotta's economic impact reports. Having the ability to host the three legs of the Triple Crown of Cutting contributes to the purchase of goods and services across numerous sectors in the City of Fort Worth and its surrounding counties. Put simply, the Triple Crown of Cutting brings tourists from around the nation and the globe to the State of Texas to spend their tourism dollars in Texas, thereby strengthening the economic condition of the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

For the 2012-2013 Event year, your office recognized the Triple Crown of Cutting would result in a gain of $\$ 4,385,321$ in state tax dollars. As you know, under the Statute, the relevant time period for major events is increased as compared to the legislation for special events. The City of Fort Worth respectfully requests, pursuant to the provisions of the Statute, that your office certify that the three events comprising the Triple Crown of Cutting will generate significant economic impact to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth, with direct expenditures of not less than $\$ 37,718,436$, the average of the last four years of the City's agreement with the NCHA. This $\$ 37,718,436$ is the average direct taxable expenditures during the actual show days of the Triple Crown of Cutting and does not include the indirect and induced effect of such expenditures. Therefore, we request that your office further calculate the indirect and induced effect of such expenditures in estimating the economic impact of the Triple Crown of Cutting under the Statute. We have included economic impact reports for the individual shows over the past four years to assist in your estimations. We will continue to update these reports and provide them annually for the duration of the Event's trust funds.

As indicated, the City of Fort Worth stands prepared to provide matching funds as set forth in the Statute to maximize the total benefit available. The City of Fort Worth has agreed to contribute an amount equivalent to the incremental tax increase your office determines the City will receive on account of the Event for partial funding of the Events Trust Fund. The monetary incentives received by the NCHA through the Major Events Trust Fund are used to defray the NCHA's expenses and allow the NCHA to put on the best possible events and attract the best possible field thereby making these events even more competitive and attractive to competitors, vendors, and fans.

We have attempted to comply with the requirements of the Statute in an efficient and thorough manner. Please review this information as soon as possible and contact me if you should have any questions or require further information.

Sincerely,


Susan Alanis
Assistant City Manager
City of Fort Worth

## Susan Combs Tixas Comprooller of Public Accoums

## Request Worksheet to Establish an Events Trust Fund

## Please submit this informational worksheet with your official request to establish an events trust fund.

This worksheet is STEP 2 in the process to establish an Event Trust Fund - Requesting that the Comptroller determine the incremental tax increase of an event. Must be received by the agency not less than $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ days prior to the event. Please submit to:

Deputy Comptroller
Comptroller of Public Accounts
111 E. 17th Street
Austin, TX 78774

## Sectoon 1: Endorsing Municipality or County

City of Fort Worth, Texas
Endorsing City or County

Angie Highland
City or County Contact (officer or employec available to answer any/all follow-up questions)
$\frac{\text { Angie.Highland@fortworthtexas.gov }}{\text { Contact email }}$

Has the Endorsing City or County reviewed the event and found that it meets all eligibility requirements, as listed in VCTS 5190.14? $\quad \square$ Yes No
Has the Endorsing City or County determined that it will contribute local funding ("local match") to the Events Trust Fund, if established?


## Section 2: Local Organizing Committee

Has the City or County authorized a Nonprofit Local Organizing Committee to enter into an agreement with the Site Selection Organization to host the event on behalf of the City or County? If "YES," provide the following information for the LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE:

Local Organizing Committec (LOC) designated in the offcial request letter
Is the LOC a registcred non-profit corporation? No
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## Section 2: Local Organizing Committee (continued)

LOC Contact Name

Contact email Contact phone (area code and phone number)

If "NO," The City or County must directly enter into the event hosting agreement with the site selection organization.
NOTE: If your ETF request is approved, the Event Support / Event Hosting AGREEMENT will be required for submittal to receive reimbursement.

## Section 3: Site Selection Organization

| National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) |
| :--- |
| Site Selection Organization |
| Jim Bret Campbell <br> City or County Contact (officer or employec available to answer follow-up questions - if different from above listed contacts) <br> jbcambell@nchacutting.com <br> Contact email |

The Comptroller's office reserves the right to contact the Site Selection Organization or any other organization directly related to this cvent.

## Section 4: Event Information

| National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown of Cutting (Futurity, Super Stakes, Summer Spectacular) |
| :--- |
| Official Event Narne |
| www.nchacutting.com <br> Event Website <br> November 18, 2013 |
| Firsc Day of Activities August 2, 2014 |

## Section 4: Event Information (continued)

Location of this event the previous five years:

1 Fort Worth, Texas

3 Fort Worth, Texas

5 Fort Worth, Texas

Fort Worth, Texas

Fort Worth, Texas

Source of information: $\qquad$

## Section 5: Checklist

## ETF Checklist of documents required to issue an Estimate of Incremental Taxes.

( ETF Request Worksheet.
$\triangle$ SELECTION Letter CLEARLY indicating a highly competitive selection process, and that the selection was based on an application by the endorsing city, county or local organizing committee. This selection letter should clearly indicate the selected LOC, the selected municipality, and the event date. The date must match the requested event date.
$\square$ REQUEST Letter CLEARLY indicating the municipality or county's endorsement of the event; names the LOC and the municipality or county's contact; and matches up with the Selection Letter.
$\boxed{\square}$ Economic information allowing the Comptroller to make a determination as to the incremental tax increase to the State of Texas.
$\boxed{\square}$ Affidavit signed by each endorsing city, county and/or LOC.
(4) Affidavit signed by party(ies) providing economic data to support this request.

You will be notified of the Estimate of Incremental Taxes following the completion of the agency's review, typically within 30 days of submission.



August 29, 2013
Ms. Susan Alanis
Assistant City Manager
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
Dear Ms. Alanis:
Please be advised that the National Cutting Horse Association has selected the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth, Texas, as its host facility for the 2013 NCHA Futurity and World Finals, 2014 NCHA Super Stakes and 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular, which make up the Triple Crown of Cutting.

While the NCHA Executive Committee and senior staff have been pleased with the results of the NCHA's agreement with the City of Fort Worth and the benefits provided by virtue of the Major Events Trust Fund, there are a growing number of sites around the country interested in hosting Triple Crown events.

As I'm sure you are aware, because of the number of out-of-state and international exhibitors, contestants and spectators drawn by the Triple Crown, there are a number of sites and cities that vie for hosting NCHA's events.

This year, we received solicitations from the Kirk Fordice Equestrian Complex in Jackson, Mississippi, the Oklahoma State Fairgrounds in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the Lazy E Arena in Guthrie, Oklahoma.

Each year, NCHA reviews myriad factors in selecting the sites for these world-class events, including: size and amenities of the facility, stalling, arenas, condition and upgrades to the facility, location, weather, community support and convenience for exhibitors and spectators and makes a selection based on those factors. This year, NCHA has once again chosen Will Rogers and Fort Worth as the site of the Triple Crown events.

I look forward to working with you in making the events and Fort Worth a true western destination.


PHIL BRYANT GOVERNOR

August 14, 2013

Jim Bret Campbell<br>National Cutting Horse Association<br>260 Bailey Avenue<br>Fort Worth, Texas 76107

Re: Triple Crown Events

Dear Mr. Campbell:

Congratulations on being selected to serve as the Executive Director of the National Cutting Horse Association. I am writing to express my interest in Mississippi hosting the 2014 Triple Crown events. I understand the location will be chosen soon, and we would welcome such an opportunity.

As you are aware, Mississippi is not new to hosting the NCHA. The Eastern National is held in our Capitol city, Jackson, at the Kirk Fordice Equestrian Complex. We are experienced in hosting equine events with unmatched hospitality and have state of the art facilities. As such, Mississippi (Jackson, the Gulf Coast or Tunica) will be a prime location for the Triple Crown events.

Again, I congratulate you on your success with the National Cutting Horse Association and look forward to building Mississippi's relationship with you.


#  

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS:
Tourism, Chairman
Corrections, Vice Chairman
Agriculture
Drug Policy
Elections
Ethics
Finance
Investigate State Offices
Municipalities

## SENATOR LYDIA CHASSANIOL

 14th DistrictAttala, Carroll, Grenada, Leflore,
Montgomery, and Tallahatchie Counties
Post Office Box 211
Winona, MS 38967
662-453-3172

August 9, 2013

Mr. Jim Bret Campbell, Executive Director
National Cutting Horse Association
Chair Selection Committee
260 Bailey Avenue
Fort Worth, TX 76107

Dear Mr. Campbell:
I am pleased to suggest Mississippi as the site of the 2014 or 2015 Triple Crown Cutting Horse Competition. As Chair of the Mississippi Senate Tourism Committee and a former 4-H Horse Club sponsor, I am well aware of the importance of the horse industry to Mississippi's tourism climate.

We arc fortunate to have a thriving cutting horse community in our state and are also fortunate to have a number of arenas with the necessary hotels, restaurants and other amenities nearby to make this event enjoyable for your association members. The state capitol of Jackson has one of the nation's premier equine arenas and there are also equally fine facilities in Tunica, Hattiesburg and on the Mississippi Gulf Coast. We would welcome visits from your committee to see the types of arenas and amenities each location offers.

Our governor, Phil Bryant, has indicated his support for Mississippi hosting this event and I am certain that you will be hearing from his office as well.

Mississippi's Commissioner of Agriculture and Commerce, Cindy Hyde-Smith has frequently reminded us that agri-tourism is an important part of her office's mission for economic development.

If I may be of assistance to you or to your site selection committee members, please do not hesitate to contact me.
c: Governor Phil Bryant
Commissioner Cindy Hyde-Smith


## Lazy arena

August 14, 2013
Mr. Jim Bret Campbell
Executive Director
National Cutting Horse Association 206 Bailey Ave.
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
Dear Jim Bret,

I would first like to congratulate you on your new position with the National Cutting Horse Association. It seems like a great fit for both entities. Since I saw you last, I was named the new General Manager of the Lazy E Arena. I know you are familiar with the rich and storied history of the Laze E from your time at AQHA. We are proud to note that the Lazy E has been home to many successful NCHA events in the past and I would like the opportunity for us to discuss the possibility of us bringing one or more of your Triple Crown of Cutting events to Oklahoma and the Lazy E Arena.

If you haven't been to the Lazy $E$ in a few years, you may not be aware of the noted improvements we have made and those we have in planning. I would welcome the opportunity to host you and your team here so you could see everything first hand. In addition, I would welcome your input into additional improvements that would be attractive to you and your membership.

Please let me know if you are currently accepting proposals and when would be a convenient time to schedule a site visit.

Sincerely,


Dan L. Wall
General Manager
Lazy E Arena

## Jim Bret Campbell

| From: | Bill Allen [BAllen@okstatefair.com](mailto:BAllen@okstatefair.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, August 16, 2013 1:51 PM |
| To: | Jim Bret Campbell |
| Cc: | Tim OToole |
| Subject | NCHA |

Dear Jim Bret,
It was great visiting with you the other day and once again, congratulations on your new job. The NCHA is a great fit for you and I am confident that you will bring a lot to the table there.

I just wanted to re-affirm our conversations that if you would ever want to formally discuss the relocation of any of the NCHA produced shows, the State Fair Park and OKC would be excited to form a partnership with you. We are just completing the new $150^{\prime} \times 400^{\prime}$ covered arena complete with covered cattle pens that would work great for any of your shows.

Once again, let me know if there is anything I can do to facilitate your coming home to the Fair Park. As always, look forward to seeing you soon. Sincerely,

Bill Allen
Vice President
Fair Park
405-948-6740 office
405-520-3518 cell
ballen@okstatefair.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete an copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.



sł! Texas. Public Accounts. Post-event calculations of each Triple Crown show are conducted each year verifying their value to the State of events has been quantified each year by Grotta Marketing Research LLC and certified each year by the Texas Comptroller of These economic impact statistics are based on actual results, not projections or speculation. The actual economic impact of the over $\$ \mathbf{2 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ in tax revenue for the State of Texas each year. spending each year. The NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting creates over 200,000 visitor days each year to Fort Worth and generates Collectively, these three show
dejnэełวods ләumns әч1 \% - The Super Stakes
 Center in Fort Worth, the NCHA Triple Crown consists of three major activities:


##  <br> 



| レセS＇ャレナ゙て\＄ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9ど「8LL＇LE\＄ |  |
| 088＇102 | sfeg－ |
| $900 \times \square$ | s．outsin |
| ¢9 | sKea mous |
| squnouv |  |

The NCHA Triple Crown consists of the Futurity（held in November／December），Super Stakes（held in April）
and the Summer Spectacular（held in July）．
The chart below sets out the average impact of the Triple Crown of Cutting
over the past four years．

# Affidavit to be COMPLETED by any / all City, County, and/or LOC endorsing a Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund AFFIDAVIT 

## [Affidavit to be signed and sworn (notarized) by each Endorsing Municipality, Endorsing County, and Local Organizing Committee (if applicable)]

I, Susan Alanis , an authorized representative of: $\qquad$ the City of Fort Worth $\qquad$ ,
a [local organizing committee (nonprofit organization), endorsing ciry or endorsing county] (circle one) that is receiving funding through the Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund ("the Act"), hereby swear and affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, internal controls, processes and procedures have been designed and implemented to help ensure that the recipient and its use of these funds complies with all applicable laws, rules, and writren guidance from the Texas Comptroller's office. I further swear and affirm that I have reviewed the information being submitted, and that all of the statements made and information provided herein, including statements made and information provided in any attachments are true, complece, and correct to the best of my knowledge. Information provided includes but is not limited to:
(1) a letter from the municipality or county requesting participation in the crust fund program and signed by a petson authorized to bind the municipality or county;
(2) a letter from the site selection organization on organization letrerhead selecting the site in Texas;
(3) an economic impact study or other data sufficient for the Comprroller's office to make the determination of the incremental increase in tax revenue associated with hosting the event in Texas, including a listing of any data for any related activities;
(4) a Request Worksheet to Establish an Events Trust Fund form and any attachments; and
(5) an Event Support Contract.

I understand that I am receiving funding under the Act for the purposes of facilitating this event: NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting
on these dates: Nov. 18-Dec. 14, 2013

$$
\text { March 24-April 19, } 2014 \text { July } 7 \text {-Aug. 2, } 2014
$$

I understand that non-compliance with reporting requirements could be treated as a violation of the statute and/or program rules resulting in the possible withholding of disbursement funding.

I understand that it is a felony offense under Section 37.10, Texas Penal Code, to knowingly make a false cnery in, or false alteration of, a governmental record, or to make, present, or use a governmental record with knowledge of its falsity, when the actor has the intent to harm or defraud another.

I understand that the offense of perjury, under Section 37.02, Texas Penal Code, is committed when a person, with intent to deceive and with knowledge of the statement's meaning, makes a false statement under oath or swears to the truth of a false statement previously made and the statement is required or authorized by law to be made under oath.

I understand my obligation to provide information about event expectations, performance, and expenses that are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability. I also understand my obligation to immediately report any known or suspected waste, fraud, and abuse of funds received under the Act to the Texas State Auditor's Office at 1-800-892-8348. I hercby swear and affirm that I have read the entire affidavit, and I understand its contents.


## Title and Name of Organization

Susan Alanis
Printed Name
$\frac{9 / 8 / 13}{\text { Date }}$

Sworn and subscribed before me by the said

## Susan Alanis

(Printed Name of Recipient's Authorized Representative)

this $\qquad$ day of Sept 2013 .

Notary Public, State of Texas
Notary's printed name: Lindam. Hirrlinger My commission expires: Fels 2,2014 (Seal)

# Affidavit to be COMPLETED by any party(ies) providing economic data to support a request for a Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund AFFIDAVIT <br> <br> [Affidavit to be signed and sworn (notarized) by anyone providing information to be used in the estimate of the incremental tax increase] 

 <br> <br> [Affidavit to be signed and sworn (notarized) by anyone providing information to be used in the estimate of the incremental tax increase]}

I,
I, Dr. Gerald L. Grout $\qquad$ - am providing information to be used by a city, count r or local organizing committee for the purposes of receiving funding through the Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund ("che Act"), and hereby swear and affirm that, to the best of $m y$ knowledge, any data provided is true and accurate, and any projections made are based on reasonable assumption documented in the information provided. I further swear and affirm that I have reviewed the information being submitted, and that all of the statements made and information provided herein, including statements made and information provided in ans attachments are true, complete, and correct, to the best of my knowledge. Information provided includes but is not limited to an economic impact study or order data sufficient for the Comptroller's office to make the determination of the incremental increase in tax revenue associated with hosting the event in Texas, including a listing of any data for any related activities;

I understand that the city, country or local organizing committee is receiving funding under the -tet for the purposes of facilitating this event:
NCHL Triple Crown of Cutting $\qquad$ on these dates: $\qquad$ Nor. 18-Dec. 14. 2013/ATarch 2+April 19, 2014/ July 7-tug 2. 2014 and that the information will be provided by the city; county or local organizing committee as a government document

I understand that it is a felony offense under Section 37.10, Texas Penal Code, to knowingly make a false entry in, or False alteration of, a governmentail record, or to make, present, or use a govemmental record with knowledge of its falsity; when the actor has the intent to harm or defraud another.

I understand that the offense of perjury; under Section 37.02 , Texas Penal Code, is committed when a person, with intent to deceive and with knowedge of the statement's meaning, makes a false statement under oath or swears to the truth of a false statement previously made and the statement is required or authorized by law to be made under oath.

I understand my obligation to provide information about event expectations, performance, and expenses that are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability. I also understand my obligation to immediately report any known or suspected waste, fraud, and abuse of funds received under the Act to the Texas State Auditor's Office at 1-800-892-8348. I hereby swear and affirm that I have read the entire affidavit, and I understand its contents.


- AflantSignature

President/CEO, Grotta Marketing Research, LLC
Title and Name of Organization
Sworn and subscribed before me by the said

(Printed Name of Recipient's Authorized Representative)
this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2013 -

Gerald L. Greta
Printed Name


Notary Public, State of lewis
Notary's printed name:


My commission expires:


\title{

Affidavit to be COMPLETED by any party(ies) providing economic data to support a request for a Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund

\section*{AFFIDAVIT

## AFFIDAVIT <br> [Affidavit to be signed and sworn (notarized) by anyone providing information to be used in the estimate of the incremental tax increase]

 I, Jim Bret Campbell $\qquad$ , am providing information to be used by a city, county or local organizing committee for the purposes of receiving funding through the Major Events Trust Fund, Events Trust Fund, or Motor Sports Trust Fund ("the Act"), and hereby swear and affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, any data provided is true and accurate, and any projections made are based on reasonable assumption documented in the information provided. I further swear and affirm that I have reviewed the information being submitted, and that all of the statements made and information provided herein, including statements made and information provided in any attachments are true, complete, and correct, to the best of my knowledge. Information provided includes but is nor limited to an economic impact study or other data sufficient for the Comptroller's office to make the determination of the incremental increase in tax revenue associated with hosting the event in Texas, inducing alisting of any data for any related activities;

I understand that the city, county or local organizing committee is receiving funding under the Act for the purposes of facilitating this event: UCHA Triple Crown of Cutting on these dates: Nav18-Dec 14,2013/marh 24-Apeil 19, 2014, and that the information will be provided by the city, county or Real organizing committee as a government document July 7-Aug. 2, 20,4 I understand that it is a felony offense under Section 37.10. Texas Penal Code, to knowingly make a false entry in, or false alteration of, a governmental record, or to make, present, or use a governmental record with knowledge of its falsity, when the actor has the intent to harm or defraud another.

1 understand that the offense of perjury, under Section 37.02, Texas Penal Code, is committed when a person, with intent to deceive and with knowedge of the statement's meaning, makes a false statement under oath or swears to the truth of a false statement previously made and the statement is required or authorized by law to be made under oath.

I understand my obligation to provide information about event expectations, performance, and expenses that are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability. I also understand my obligation to immediately report any known or suspected waste, fraud, and abuse of funds received under the Act to the Texas State Auditor's Office ar 1-800-892-8348. I hereby swear and affirm thar I have read the entire affidavit, and I understand


Sworn and subscribed before me by the said

(Printed Name of Recipient's Authorized Representative)
 2013


Notary Public, State of Texas
Notary's printed narae: Pamela mae Robson
My commission expires:
 (Seal)

## Fort Worth <br> WILL ROGERS MEMORIAL CENTER

November 13, 2012


## RE: 2012/2103 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting

Dear Mr. Ivey:
We are delighted to learn that the National Cutting Horse Association is considering Will Rogers Memorial Center for your 2012/2013 NCHA Triple Crown of Cutting!

## Will Rogers Memorial Center

Established in Fort Worth, Texas in 1936 to house events near downtown and in the Cultural District, the Will Rogers Memorial Center now attracts in excess of 2 million visitors each year. This 105-acre facility plays host to an extensive variety of social, cultural, educational, recreational and sporting events. However, major equestrian shows continue to be the primary commitment of the Center.

Each year, many annual national and international equine events are held at the Will Rogers Equestrian Center, one of the finest equestrian event facilities in the United States. The Equestrian Center features three climate controlled show arenas, a sale arena, a current capacity for over 2,500 horse stalls, multiple exercise arenas, 65 cattle pens and recreational vehicle accommodations. The heart of the facility is the historic Will Rogers Coliseum which is home for many equestrian and sporting events including the legendary Fort Worth Stock Show \& Rodeo which will celebrate its' $116^{\text {th }}$ Championship year in 2012.

Over 3,000 hotel rooms are accessible within 3 miles of the Center for the convenience of your staff, judges and exhibitors. The Will Rogers Memorial Center also offers a unique location set in one of the country's most heralded cultural districts including the Kimbell Art Museum, Modern Art Museum of Fort Worth, Amon Carter Museum of American Art, Fort Worth Museum of Science \& History, National Cowgirl Museum and Hall of Fame and the 109-acre Fort Worth Botanic Garden.

## City of Cowboys and Culture

Fort Worth is a vibrant city with a unique blend of western heritage and culture assets. During the last decade, through our renovations, the redevelopment of cultural district and new hotels in the area, Fort Worth's charm and appeal has never been stronger. It will be the perfect location and backdrop for your show and exhibitors.

## Facility Requirements

## Climate Controlled Show Arenas:

Will Rogers Memorial Center currently has three locations available for your show arenas. In case of inclement weather, all arenas and adjacent facilities can be easily accessed via the tunnel system. Arena footing in the Will Rogers Coliseum and John Justin Arena was replaced in August of 2011 under the direction of Kiser Arena Specialists and new seats were installed in the John Justin Arena in July of 2012. Air-conditioning and/or heat is provided on all contracted days at no additional expense.


Will Rogers Coliseum ( $125^{\prime} \times 250^{\prime}$ oval arena floor) with 5,652 permanent seats including 951 box seats. Overlooking the Coliseum floor is the infamous Backstage Club which offers a westernthemed restaurant atmosphere and menu. The Backstage Club can accommodate 250-300 guests depending on the set-up.

John Justin Arena ( $112^{\prime} \times 260^{\prime}$ arena floor) with 1,934 permanent seats
W.R. Watt Arena ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ oval arena floor) with 998 permanent seats

## Exercise and Make-Up Arenas:

Equestrian Multi-Purpose Building (pictured):

- Two $100^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ indoor arenas on upper level

Burnett Building/Will Rogers Coliseum:

- $120^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ indoor arena
- $80^{\prime} \times 130^{\prime}$ outdoor arena east of the Coliseum

Richardson-Bass Building/John Justin Arena:

- $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ indoor arena
- $125^{\prime} \times 290^{\prime}$ outdoor arena north of the building Moncrief Building/W.R. Watt Arena:

- $90^{\prime} \times 150^{\prime}$ indoor arena

Show Arena ( $44^{\prime} \times 320^{\prime}$ )
South of the Cattle Barns: capacity for a $120^{\prime} \times 240^{\prime}$ outdoor arena
Round Pens (capacity for ten 45' longeing pens adjacent to the cattle barns and Coliseum)
Stalls: Will Rogers Memorial Center will provide (519) $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ metal stalls on asphalt in the Burnett Building, (278) $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ metal stalls on asphalt in the Richardson-Bass Building, (262) $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ premium metal stalls on clay in the Moncrief Building and (740) $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ premium metal stalls in the Equestrian Multi-Purpose Building. We also have capacity for (634) $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ portable stalls in Cattle Barns 1-4 if needed. Stalls used prior to or after the dates contracted will be subject to an additional charge.

Trade Show Exhibit Space: The Brown-Lupton Exhibit Area, located adjacent to the John Justin Arena, has 10,800 square feet, and the Richardson-Bass, John Justin, Coliseum and W.R. Watt Concourse areas are available for indoor exhibit space. Additionally, the Texas Room in the Amon G Carter Jr Exhibits Hall offers 95,000 square feet for your trade show and can be subdivided in $3 / 4,1 / 2$ or $1 / 4$ based on your needs.
We also have in excess of 28,000 square feet located on sidewalks surrounding the three major equestrian center buildings, Richardson/Bass, Moncrief and Burnett, for outdoor exhibitors. Commercial Exhibitors or Vendor Space will be charged at $\$ 35.00$ per designated indoor space and $\$ 100.00$ per designated outdoor space. This fee does not apply to commercial exhibitors located in the BrownLupton Exhibit Area or the Texas Room.

Your organization will be responsible for additional expenses, such as tables, chairs, staging, security, technical personnel and other ancillary service charges, which will be based upon your exact meeting requirements. Additional information is outlined below.

## Other Service Considerations

## Arena Preparation/Clean Up:

For arena preparation and maintenance, you have the option of using our three tractors and implements at no charge, except for fuel usage, throughout the show or we can perform drags at $\$ 50.00$ each or even a combination of the two. You can also provide and use your own tractors and implements. Standard stall clean-up is included with shavings sales.

Any additions to the standard arena base dirt provided by the City and/or any additional temporary dirt arenas must be contracted with the current City-approved contractor at additional expense. The use of shavings in any arenas is discouraged and an additional charge will apply to return the arena footing to original condition.

## Audio Visual Equipment:

Will Rogers Memorial Center will supply existing lighting and house sound with the building rental. All sound and staging equipment including available microphones, $C D$ player, etc will be charged at the prevailing rates. Property and arena paging will be provided as requested in all contracted facilities.

## Catering/Concessions:

The City of Fort Worth currently has an open catering policy. All caterers who wish to provide services for any reception, luncheon, and party or function where food or beverages are to be served and/or consumed in the licensed facilities must be approved by City at least thirty (30) days prior to any food or beverage function. The Will Rogers Memorial Center concessions services provider retains the exclusive rights regarding the service and sale of any alcoholic beverages and concession services.

In addition to the Backstage Club, we also offer a sports themed restaurant, Champions Grill, located in the northwest corner of the Moncrief Building. Both venues offer comfortable climate-controlled settings, a variety of menu options and the ability to watch show activities or current sporting events.


## Closed Circuit Television Capabilities:

Will Rogers Memorial Center currently maintains a network of coaxial cable connecting all arenas, exhibit areas and offices.

## Fort Worth Ambassador Program:

Will Rogers Memorial Center and the Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau partner to provide a visitor information booth and Fort Worth Ambassadors who provide visitor information, directions on property, ice water and horse and dog treats throughout the show grounds from their "welcome wagon".

High Speed Wireless Network:


Belwave Communications and Mesh.net currently maintains wireless installations that cover Will Rogers Memorial Center; however, these providers do not have formal agreements with the City. Will Rogers Memorial Center is in the planning stage to enter into an agreement with an exclusive service provider for improved service and coverage.

## Marquee:

Will Rogers Memorial Center has two marquees located on the northeast and southeast corners of the show grounds and the «Event_Description» will be featured prominently throughout the show.

## R.V. Accommodations:

WRMC currently offers 104 full-service VIP spaces north of the Richardson-Bass Building with option of 30 or $50-\mathrm{amp}$ service, sewer, water and trash pick-up. We also have $30-\mathrm{amp}$ spaces with water and electricity as well as organized sewer removal. The current daily rates are $\$ 30.00$ per 30 -amp space and $\$ 55.00$ per temporary 50 -amp space plus a one-time hook-up fee; rates are subject to change. We are currently under construction for an additional 104 to be completed by August of 2012. Also, development of a new R.V. park on the south side of our facility with approximately 70 additional full service spaces is planned. All R.V. reservations are typically handled by the facility on a first come basis and a vehicle parking pass is included with all spaces.

## Shavings/Stalls:

Will Rogers Memorial Center reserves the exclusive right for the sale of all shavings at the prevailing rate. Shavings may not be brought onto show grounds by your organization or any show participant. The cost of shavings includes delivery and clean-up. Licensee will be invoiced the prevailing rate (currently $\$ 25.00$ per day) per stall per day used prior to or after dates actually contracted for use of stalls.

## Future Facility Improvements

There are many wonderful things happening in Fort Worth and more specifically at the Will Rogers Memorial Center Complex that will enhance the benefits and usage for all of our groups and guests.
In 2012, the new Equestrian Multi-Purpose Building was completed with 740 metal stalls, two exercise arenas and access to the tunnel system which now connects this building to all three show arenas. To continue our architectural theme of well-constructed brick buildings and public art, the west and east faces of the building will feature equestrian-themed mosaic tile murals by artist, Mike Mandel.
In addition to the R.V. enhancements, the City of Fort Worth has also begun the design process to expand the tradeshow and meeting/banquet space in the Richardson-Bass Building. Exact specifications have not been finalized; however, improved accommodations for judges, staff, VIPs and vendors are planned.

We are also exploring the design of a new $140^{\prime} \times 290^{\prime}$ covered structure for the outdoor arena on the north side of the Richardson-Bass Building to allow more year-round usage of the arena. Both projects, if approved, will be completed in 2013.
We are delighted about the opportunity to host this prestigious equestrian event! Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any questions or need any additional information or assistance.
Please feel free to contact me at 8173928160 or by email at david.reeves@fortworthgov.org should you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,


[^16]| From: | Jennifer Paris |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | TJ Costello |
| Subject: | NCHA update - virus |
| Date: | Monday, June 09, 2014 4:13:32 PM |
| Importance: | High |

http://nchanews.com/
REF: Summer Spec starts $7 / 7$
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## VS detected in Texas

Posted on June 4, 2014 by admin
Five horses with vesicular stomatitis have been detected in far southwest Texas, and cutters should be aware of the resulting travel restrictions and veterinary precautions. The USDA Animal and Plant Inspection Service confirmed the infection of the five horses.

VS can cause blisters and sores in the mouth and on the tongue, muzzle, teats or hooves of horses, cattle, swine, sheep, goats, llamas and a number of other animals. Lesions usually heal in two or three weeks. Because of the contagious nature of VS and its resemblance to other diseases such as foot and mouth disease (FMD), animal health officials urge livestock owners and caretakers to report these symptoms to their veterinarian immediately. Most animals recover well with supportive care by a veterinarian, but some lesions can be painful.

The Texas Animal Health Commission updates advisories about travel restrictions to other states, but advises that you contact the state of destination for the latest information. At the time of this posting, California, Florida, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Vermont, Canada, and the European Union were listed on the TAHC website. This list is subject to change.

Take special note of the Calgary Stampede's website for updates regarding the Mercuria NCHA World Series of Cutting.

Interview with Texas State Veterinarian Dee Ellis.

Posted in News, World Series of Cutting
Jennifer Paris
Senior Analyst
Fiscal Management Division
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
111 East 17th Street
Austin, Texas 78774-0001
Phone: (512) 475-1367
Fax: (512) 475-5473
*******************************************
IMPORTANT NOTICE: This communication and any attachments may contain privileged or confidential information under the Texas Public Information Act and/or other applicable state and federal laws. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete this e-mail from your system.
********************************************

August 17, 2012

## NATIONAL CUTTING HORSE ASSOCIATION

## 260 BALEY AVE

FORT WORTH, TX 76107-1862

According to the records of the Comptroller of Public Accounts, the following exemption from Texas taxes applies to the above organization:

Franchise tax, as of 01-01-1969
The organization is not exempt from Texas sales and use tax or hotel occupancy tax.

A Certificate of Account Status is available at www.window.state.tx.us/taxinfo/coasintr.html.

Texas taxpayer identification number: 17509788083
This exemption verification does not mean that the organization holds a permit for collecting or remitting any Texas taxes.

Exempt organizations must collect tax on most sales. For more information, please see our publication Exempt Organizations - Sales and Purchases (96122). Online registration is available. For information concerning sales taxpayer permit status, please use the vendor search we provide online.

Corporations that are registered in Texas with the Secretary of State must maintain a current registered agent and registered office address. Information is available from Business and Nonprofit Forms page of the Secretary of State's Website. Additionally, out-of-state corporations, limited liability companies, or limited partnerships transacting business in Texas may need to file a Certificate of Authority or Registration with the Texas Secretary of State. More information is available from the Foreign or Out-of-State Entities page on the Secretary of State's Website.
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# Survey Findings 

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 21 days of the 2012 National Cutting Horse Association World Championship Futurity 6,864 visios

 64,757 vistor tases $\$ 763,090$ eligible Stute Taxes generated by direct expenditures Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 763,090$.

## The Numbers . . .

The NCHA 2012 World Championship Futurity November 25-December 15 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under the Major Events Trust Fund conscrvatively estimated at $\$ 763,090$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 11,852,552$.
Attendance at the 2012 Futurity declined from 2011 when the NCHA celebrated the Futurity's $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary. The 2011 event was heavily promoted world-wide. As a result, record crowds participated in the Futurity. People came to Fort Worth from at least 16 countries on five continents. Contestants from seven countrics competed in the 2011 Futurity --Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Germany, Venezuela, and the United States (including 40 states). In addition, other visitors who registered for International Bags came here from Denmark, England, France, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates (Abu Dhabi), and Wales.

Several special events were held to mark the anniversary, including a Futurity Champions' Cup party at the Neiman-Marcus store in the Ridgmar Mall. All 32 living past Open Fulurity champion riders took part in the Futurity Champions' Cup event held in the Coliseum at Will Rogers Memorial Center. Other special features included Trigger and Bullet, Roy Roger's mounted horse and dog. A total purse of $\$ 4,000,000$ was awarded at the futurity, including $\$ 200,000$ for the Open champion. One goal of the $50^{\text {th }}$ Anniversary Futurity was to bring many newcomers to Fort Worth, and the success of this etfort was obvious. The coliscum was tilled to capacity for several events and large crowds were seen daily in the 100,000 -square-foot "Best of the West" shopping area, featuring everything from souvenirs to horse trailers and trucks. A much higher than usual proportion of people came to the Futurity from other states and countries.

Reported expenditures also declined because the office of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts has determined that several large purchases are not eligible under the Major Events Trust Fund, including horse trailers, trucks, and barns.

These expenditures were included in the 2011 Futurity report but were deducted from the amount approved by the Comptroller's office. The expenditures in this report conform to the guidelines.
Estimated daily attendance during the 21 -day show, based on the number of entries competing each day of the show, is summarized as follows:

2012 NCHA Futurity and World Finals Daily Attendance Estimates

| Date | Daily Entries | $\%$ of <br> Total <br> Entries | Number of Judges and Monitors | Number of Show Staff. NCHA Officials | Unduplicated Number of People In Competitor Groups | Sales Staff | Net Unduplicated People in Horse Sale Groups | Unduplicated People in Vendor Groups | Spectators | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unduplicated Individuals |  |  | 37 | 84 | 2,844 | 58 | 2,174 | 346 | 1.446 | 6.989 |
| Frí., Nov. 23 Move In |  |  | 0 | 7 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Sat., Nov. 24 Move In |  |  | 1 | 19 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Sun., Nov. 25 | 112 | 4.4\% | 8 | 46 | 1,973 | 3 | 439 | 287 | 146 | 2,903 |
| Mon., Nov. 26 | 113 | 4.4\% | 8 | 46 | 1,991 | 3 | 443 | 290 | 147 | 2,928 |
| Tue., Nov. 27 | 105 | 4.1\% | 8 | 46 | 1.850 | 3 | 412 | 269 | 137 | 2,725 |
| Wed., Nov. 28 | 105 | 4.1\% | 8 | 46 | 1,850 | 3 | 412 | 269 | 137 | 2.725 |
| Thu., Nov. 29 | 105 | 4.1\% | 8 | 46 | 1,850 | 3 | 412 | 269 | 137 | 2,725 |
| Fri, Nov. 30 | 120 | 4.7\% | 15 | 46 | 2,114 | 3 | 471 | 308 | 156 | 3,113 |
| Sat., Dec. 1 | 150 | 5.9\% | 15 | 46 | 2,643 | 3 | 589 | 385 | 196 | 3,875 |
| Sun, Dec. 2 | 135 | 5.3\% | 8 | 46 | 2,378 | 3 | 530 | 346 | 176 | 3.487 |
| Mon., Dec. 3 | 121 | 4.7\% | 8 | 46 | 2.132 | 12 | 475 | 310 | 158 | 3,140 |
| Tue., Dec. 4 | 135 | 5.3\% | 8 | 46 | 2,378 | 10 | 530 | 346 | 176 | 3.494 |
| Wed., Dec. 5 | 176 | 6.9\% | 15 | 46 | 3.101 | 11 | 691 | 451 | 230 | 4.544 |
| Thu., Dec. 6 | 206 | 8.1\% | 20 | 46 | 3.629 | 17 | 808 | 528 | 269 | 5,317 |
| Fri., Dec. 7 | 144 | 5.6\% | 18 | 46 | 2.537 | 17 | 565 | 369 | 188 | 3.740 |
| Sat., Dec. 8 | 191 | 7.5\% | 20 | 46 | 3,365 | 17 | 749 | 490 | 249 | 4.936 |
| Sun., Dec. 9 | 113 | 4.4\% | 8 | 46 | 1,991 | 22 | 443 | 290 | 147 | 2,947 |
| Mon., Dec. 10 | 120 | 4.7\% | 8 | 46 | 2,114 | 56 | 471 | 308 | 156 | 3,159 |
| Tue., Dec. 11 | 82 | 3.2\% | 8 | 46 | 1.445 | 56 | 322 | 210 | 107 | 2,194 |
| Wed., Dec. 12 | 146 | 5.7\% | 8 | 46 | 2,572 | 58 | 573 | 374 | 190 | 3,822 |
| Thu., Dec. 13 | 64 | 2.5\% | 8 | 46 | 1,127 | 58 | 251 | 164 | 83 | 1,738 |
| Fri., Dec. 14 | 76 | 3.0\% | 8 | 46 | 1,339 | 57 | 298 | 195 | 99 | 2,042 |
| Sat., Dec. 15 | 30 | 1.2\% | 8 | 46 | 529 | 56 | 118 | 77 | 39 | 872 |
| Sun., Dec. 16 Move out |  |  | 1 | 19 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 27 |
| Totals | 2.549 | 100.0\% | 225 | 1.011 | 44,905 | 482 | 10,002 | 6.536 | 3,324 | 66,485 |

The total number of people each day is higher than the 64,757 reported on the preceding page because the NCH $\Lambda$ staff members and Bloodstock Horse Sale staff members were not included in the projections of expenditures during the Futurity.

The projections in this report are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the 2012 World Championship Futurity, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 21 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for a day or two and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people compcting in events, vendors, and spectators who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 6,864 individuals attended the 2012 NCHA Futurity.
Table 1. People Attending 2012 NCHA Futurity

|  | Groups in <br> Segment | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 955 | 3.02 | 2,884 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,208 | 1.80 | 2,174 |
| Vendors | 173 | 2.00 | 346 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 92 | 1.75 | 161 |
| Total eligible | 2,428 |  | 5,566 |
| Texas spectators | 662 | 1.96 | 1,298 |
| Grand totals | 3,090 |  | 6,864 |

This translates into 64,757 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2012 NCHA Futurity

|  | People in <br> Segment | Average <br> Days at <br> Show | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 2,884 | 15.57 | 44,905 |
| Horse sale participants | 2,174 | 4.60 | 10,002 |
| Vendors | 346 | 18.89 | 6,536 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 161 | 2.92 | 470 |
| Total eligible | 5,566 |  | 61,914 |
| Texas spectators | 1,298 | 2.19 | 2,843 |
| Grand totals | 6,864 |  | 64,757 |

The 2,483 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and spectators who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the World Championship Futurity on food and dining, excluding alcoholic drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Average <br>  <br>  <br> Spending <br> per Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed 20\% <br> Gratuity |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 44,905 | $\$ 49.48$ | $\$ 2,221,921$ | $\$ 1,777,537$ |
| Horse sale participants | 10,002 | $\$ 71.00$ | $\$ 710,159$ | $\$ 568,127$ |
| Vendors | 6,536 | $\$ 17.50$ | $\$ 114,379$ | $\$ 91,503$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 470 | $\$ 35.83$ | $\$ 16,844$ | $\$ 13,476$ |
| Total eligible | 61,914 |  | $\$ 3,063,303$ | $\$ 2,450,643$ |
| Texas spectators | 2,843 | $\$ 13.03$ | $\$ 37,039$ | $\$ 29,631$ |
| Grand total | 64,757 |  | $\$ 3,100,343$ | $\$ 2,480,274$ |

Respondents also were asked how much their groups spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures on Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | House- <br> holds | Days at <br> Show | Total <br> Segment <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Group <br> Days <br> Having <br> Alcohol | Average <br> Cost Per <br> Day | Spending <br> on Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Competitors | 955 | 15.57 | 14,869 | $57.9 \%$ | 8,609 | $\$ 28.39$ | $\$ 244,420$ | $\$ 195,536$ |
| Horse sale | 1208 | 4.6 | 5,557 | $60.0 \%$ | 3,334 | $\$ 11.67$ | $\$ 38,909$ | $\$ 31,127$ |
| Vendors | 173 | 18.89 | 3,268 | $8.3 \%$ | 271 | $\$ 8.33$ | $\$ 2,259$ | $\$ 1,808$ |
| Out-of-State spectators | 92 | 2.92 | 269 | $66.7 \%$ | 179 | $\$ 10.63$ | $\$ 1,905$ | $\$ 1,524$ |
| Total eligible | 2,428 |  | 23,963 |  | 12,394 |  | $\$ 287,492$ | $\$ 229,994$ |
| Texas Spectators | 662 | 2.19 | 1,450 | $26.7 \%$ | 387 | $\$ 13.26$ | $\$ 5,133$ | $\$ 4,106$ |
| Total | 3,090 |  | 25,413 |  | 12,781 |  | $\$ 292,625$ | $\$ 234,100$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | Households | Percent in Motels | Number in Hotels | Average Nights | Average Rooms | Room Nights | Rate | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibitors | 955 | 44.1\% | 421 | 16.22 | 2.20 | 15,028 | \$117.25 | \$1,762,091 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,208 | 60.0\% | 725 | 5.33 | 1.00 | 3,863 | \$116.67 | \$450,718 |
| Vendors | 173 | 27.8\% | 48 | 19.60 | 1.50 | 1,414 | \$84.90 | \$120,046 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 92 | 83.3\% | 77 | 3.50 | 1.00 | 268 | \$107.40 | \$28,807 |
| Total Eligible | 2,428 |  | 1,271 |  |  | 20,574 |  | \$2,361,662 |
| Texas spectators | 662 | 7.0\% | 46 | 2.67 | 1.00 | 124 | \$96.35 | \$11,921 |
| Grand total | 3,090 |  | 1,317 |  |  | 20,698 |  | \$2,373,583 |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA World Championship Futurity. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy Western wear at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.

To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 2,428 distinct household purchasing units (excluding the 622 spectator groups who live in Texas).

Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 21 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state spectators - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.

Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following pages summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Major Events Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Conteslants | Horse Sale <br> Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 955 | 1,208 | 173 | 92 | 2,428 | 662 |
| Westem Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 84.1\% | 700\% | 33.3\% | 83 3\% |  | 54.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 803 | 846 | 58 | 77 | 1.783 | 362 |
| Average Expenditure | \$792 | \$667 | \$201 | \$280 |  | \$277 |
| Total Expenditures | \$636,099 | \$564,015 | \$11,579 | \$21,458 | \$1,233,161 | \$100,306 |
| Jewelry | 54.7\% | 40.0\% | 8.3\% | 41.7\% |  | 31.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 517 | 483 | 14 | 38 | 1,053 | 208 |
| Average Expenditure | \$499 | \$400 | \$63 | \$54 |  | \$187 |
| Total Expenditures | \$257,811 | \$193,280 | \$905 | \$2,072 | \$454,067 | \$38,871 |
| Paints, Crafts | 15.8\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |  | 7.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 151 | 242 |  | 12 | 404 | 46 |
| Average Expenditure | \$415 | \$500 |  | \$50 |  | \$153 |
| Total Expenditures | \$62,619 | \$120,800 | \$0 | \$575 | \$183,994 | \$7,090 |
| Souvenirs | 37.4\% | 30.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% |  | 9.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 357 | 362 |  | 58 | 777 | 68 |
| Average Expenditure | \$182 | \$75 |  | \$62 |  | \$63 |
| Total Expenditures | \$65,005 | \$27,180 | \$0 | \$3,565 | \$96,760 | \$4,129 |
| Saddies | 28,0\% | 40.0\% | 2.8\% | 8.3\% |  | 2.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 267 | 483 | 5 | 8 | 763 | 15 |
| Average Expenditure | \$4,563 | \$2,500 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 |  | \$2,350 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,220,146 | \$1,208,000 | \$16,954 | \$26,726 | \$2,471,826 | \$35,781 |
| Other Tack | 62.3\% | 30.0\% | 11.1\% | 54.2\% |  | 10.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 595 | 362 | 19 | 50 | 298 | 70 |
| Average Expenditure | \$761 | \$1,500 | \$408 | \$238 |  | \$340 |
| Total Expenditures | \$462,768 | \$543,600 | \$7,835 | \$11,868 | \$1,016,071 | \$23,633 |
| Photos, Videos | 59.1\% | 00\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$218 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$123,040 |  |  |  | \$123,040 |  |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 10.3\% | 0,0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 98 |  | 10 |  | 108 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$83 |  | \$23 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$8,164 |  | \$223 |  | \$8,387 |  |
| Other Taxable Items | 47.5\% | 40.0\% | 44.4\% | 58,3\% |  | 7.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 454 | 483 | 77 | 54 | 1,067 | 46 |
| Average Expenditure | \$428 | \$200 | \$342 | \$389 |  | \$151 |
| Total Expenditures | \$194,152 | \$96,640 | \$26,270 | \$20,864 | \$337,926 | \$6,997 |
| Rental car | 13.8\% | 40.0\% | 11,1\% | 33 3\% |  | 1.2\% |
| Number renting | 132 | 483 | 19 | 31 |  |  |
| Average rental | \$461 | \$355 | \$358 | \$150 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$60,755 | \$171,536 | \$7,067 | \$4,595 | \$243,953 | \$0 |
| Total All Expenditures | \$3,080,560 | \$2,925,051 | \$70,832 | \$91,723 | \$8,168,166 | \$216,008 |

The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2012 NCHA World Championship Futurity are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Taxes from Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected Direct Expenditures | $\begin{gathered} \text { Texas } \\ \text { Tax Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fort Worth General Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort Worth Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$1,233,151 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$77,072 | \$12,332 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | \$454,067 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$28,379 | \$4,541 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$183,994 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$11,500 | \$1,840 |
| Souvenirs | \$95,750 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$5,984 | \$958 |
| Saddles | \$2,471,826 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$154,489 | \$24,718 |
| Other tack | \$1,016,071 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$63,504 | \$10,161 |
| Videos \& photographs | \$123,040 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$7,690 | \$1,230 |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | \$8,378 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$524 | \$84 |
| Other items subject to sales tax | \$337,926 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$21,120 | \$3,379 |
| Car rental | \$242,353 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$24,235 | \$2,424 |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$2,450,643 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$153,165 | \$24,506 |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$229,994 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% | \$25,299 | \$3,450 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$2,361,662 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$141,700 | \$212,550 |
| NCHA Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising/Marketing/Promotion | \$262,761 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$16,423 | \$2,628 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$11,881 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$713 | \$1,069 |
| Awards | \$219,363 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$21,936 | \$2,194 |
| Meals | \$14,253 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$891 | \$143 |
| Cattle handler local expenses | \$23,576 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,474 | \$236 |
| Printing supplies/tickets/signage | \$24,787 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,549 | \$248 |
| Parties | \$46,749 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,922 | \$467 |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | \$40,327 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,520 | \$403 |
| Total eligible expenditures | \$11,852,552 |  |  | \$763,090 | \$309,559 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$763,090 |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | \$309,559 |  |  |  |  |

People participating in events came from seven countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Germany, Venezuela, and the United States. Those from the United States came from 39 states.

The survey sample included pcople from ten countries -- Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, England, France, Germany, Italy, Venezuela, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. About three out of four people who attended the 2012 NCHA World Championship Futurity are members of NCHA and about nine out of 10 own horses.

Table 8. NCHA Membership

|  | Contestants | Horse <br> Sale | Vendors | Spectators |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Live in Texas | $51.4 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| Live Outside of Texas | $46.2 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $2.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Most of the people who attended the Futurity own horses.
Table 9. Horse Ownership

|  | Competitors | Horse <br> Sale | Vendors | Spectators |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NCHA member | $85.3 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| Own horses | $87.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred random interviews were conducted during the 2012 NCHA World Championship Futurity at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

The survey was designed and all interviews were supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research, LLC and Professor Emcritus at Texas Christian University.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* Interviewers used hand-held computers to conduct the interviews. This ensured that all skip patterns were automatically made and eliminated the potential for entries which might result from entering the data from paper questionnaires.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various arcas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of four categories contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and spectators - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or spectators.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of spectators. An estimate of the number of spectators was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are spectators ( $\mathrm{Sp} \%$ )
Calculated:
Number of spectators ( Sp \#)
$\mathrm{Sp} \#: \mathrm{Sp} \%:: \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#: \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Vc} \%$
$\mathrm{Sp} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Sp} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Sp \#
Known: 955 Contestants (Co) $+1,208$ Sales (Sa) +173 Vendors (Ve) $=2,336 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ Determined by survey: $75.6 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $24.4 \%$ Spectators Unknown Attendance: Spectators (Sp \#)
Sp \# : $24.4 \%$ :: 2,336: 75.6\%
Sp\# * $75.6 \%=2,336 * 24.4 \%$
$\mathrm{Sp} \# * 75.6 \%=570$
Sp \# = 754 projected spectator respondent units
$12.2 \%$ of spectators are from outside of Texas, or 92 spectators
$87.8 \%$ of spectators are from Texas, or 662 spectators
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating spectators who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures.

## Survey <br> Questionnaire

## NCHA 2012 World Championship Futurity

## 1) Date

| O November 25 | O December 1 | O Decembe 7 | O December 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O November 26 | O December 2 | O December 8 | O December 14 |
| O November 27 | O December 3 | O December 9 | O December 15 |
| O Novemer 28 | O December 4 | O December 10 |  |
| O November 29 | O December 5 | O December 11 |  |
| O November 30 | O Decernber 6 | O December 12 |  |

2) Time
O Morning OAfternoon O Evening
3) Location

| O Coliseum | O W.R. Watt Arena |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Amon Carter Exhibits | O John Justin Arena |

4) Gender

OMale OFemale

Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Futurity and I'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Futurity? (Read List)
O Competing in an event
Own horse in an event but not riding
O Buying or selling horses
O Vendor
O Family member competing in an event O Spectator
O Friend or employer competing
6) How many people, including yourself, came to the Summer Spectacular with you?

7) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?


O No
O Not sure
8) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?

9) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for each person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other places in Fort Worth?
$\qquad$
10) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
OYes
O No
O Not sure
11) About how much, if anything, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?


As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy each one during the Futurity, either hear at the show or anywhere else in Fort Worth..
12) Western wear, boots or other clothing.Yes
O No
O Not sure
13) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?
-
14) Jewelry, belts and other accessories.
O Yes O No O Not sure
15) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?

16) Paintings or crafts.
OYes ONo ONot sure
17) How much will your group spend on paintings or crafts?
$\underline{\square}$
18) Souvenirs.
OYes ONo O Notsure
19) How much will your group spend on souviners?

20) Horse trailer.
OYes ONo O Notsure
21) How much will your group spend on horse trailers?
22) Tractors.
O Yes Ono ONotsure
23) How much will your group spend on tractors?

24) Trucks or other vehicles.
O Yes ONo O Not sure
25) How much will your group spend on trucks or other vehicles?

26) Barns, sheds or stalls.
O Yes ONo ONot sure
27) How much will your group spend on bamss, sheds or stalls?
$\qquad$
28) Saddles
OYes Ono O Not sure
29) How much will your group spend on saddles?

30) Other tack.
OYes ONo ONotsure
31) How much will your group spend on other tack?

32) Photos or videos of the competition.
O Yes
O No
ONot sure
33) How much will your group spend on photos or videos?

34) Alcoholic beverages at a store.
OYes ONo O Notsure
35) How much will your group spend on alcoholic beverages from a store?

36) Any other purchases with sales tax.
OYes Ono ONotsure
37) How much will your group spend on other purchases?

38) Are you renting a car during the Summer Spectacular?
Oyes ONo ONotsure
39) How much will your group spend on car rentals?

40) Are you renting a golf cart?
OYes ONo ONoisure
41) How much will your group spend on golf carts during the show?

42) How many days will you be here for the Futurity?
$\square$
43) Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel?
Yes
O No
O Not sure
44) How many nights will you be staying?
45) How many rooms are you using?

46) Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms? $\underline{ }$
47) Are you a member of the National Cutting Horse Association?
O Yes ONo ONot suire
48) Do you own horses?
O Yes ONo O Not sure
49) What state do you live in?

| O Alabama | O Indiana | O Nebraska | O South Carolina |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Alaska | O Iowa | O Nevada | O South Dakota |
| O Arizona | O Kansas | O New Hampshire | O Tennessee |
| O Arkansas | O Kentucky | O New Jersey | O Texas |
| O California | O Louisiana | O New Mexico | O Utah |
| O Colorado | OMaine | O New York | O Vermont |
| O Connecticut | O Maryland | O North Carolina | O Virginia |
| O Deleware | OMassachusetts | O North Dakota | O Washington |
| O Florida | OMichigan | O Ohio | O West Vinginia |
| O Georgia | OMinnesota | O Oklahoma | O Wisconsin |
| O Hawaii | OMississippi | O Oregon | O Wyoming |
| O Idaho | OMissouri | OPennsylvania | O Other Country |
| O llinois | OMontana | ORhode Island |  |

50) Other country (Write in Country -- NOT postal code!)

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penncy
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in witing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Ilinois University is in economics and mass commmications research.

Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speakcr at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional joumals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in manty university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GIE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of PetroleumLandmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhom Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Perning Association
- American Arabian I lorse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GOG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OnniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Fiederal Credit Union
- NASAFederal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Chedit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Beltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBMMid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


## Exhibit D2

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of the National Cutting Horse Association 2013 Super Stakes and Super Stakes Classic 


on the Fort Worth Economy and

Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show

## GMR

Grotta Marketing Research, LLC
May 2013

# Survey Findings 

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 24 days of the 2013 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes

5,894 visiors
51,523 vistor tays



## Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 375,984$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2013 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes (March 28-April 20) generated Texas State Sales Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 375,984$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 5,817,690$.

These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of State Sales Tax generated during the 24 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those local residents who were just at the show for the day and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, participating in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 779 Texas residents who did not compete in events, participate in the horse sale, or were not vendors.

The projections based on competitors are limited to the unduplicated number of contestants who did not share a last name and address as another competitor. A computer merge-and-purge program identified registered buyers and/or sellers who were at the horse sale but did not compete in the show.

The estimated total number of people each day is higher than the 51,593 reported on the preceding page because the NCHA staff members and Bloodstock Horse Sale staff members were not included in the projections.

2013 NCHA Super Stakes Daily Attendance Estimates

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

A projected 5,894 individuals attended the 2013 NCHA Super Stakes. (See Table 1) They came from 36 states, Australia and Canada.

Table 1. People Attending 2013 Super Stakes

|  | Groups in <br> Segment | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 698 | 4.16 | 2,904 |
| Horse sale participants | 660 | 2.69 | 1,775 |
| Vendors | 85 | 2.13 | 181 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 23 | 3.8 | 87 |
| Total eligible | 1,466 |  | 4,948 |
| Texas spectators | 396 | 2.39 | 946 |
| Grand totals | 1,862 |  | 5,894 |

This translates into 51,523 visitor days. (See Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2013 NCHA Super Stakes

|  | People in <br> Segment | Average <br> Days at <br> Show | Tolals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 2,904 | 14.32 | 41,581 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,775 | 2.14 | 3,799 |
| Vendors | 181 | 21.80 | 3,947 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 87 | 1.20 | 105 |
| Total eligible | 4,948 |  | 49,432 |
| Texas spectators | 946 | 2.21 | 2,091 |
| Grand totals | 5,894 |  | 51,523 |

Texas visitors were not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multiplicrs were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, participants in the horse sale, vendors, and spectators who live outside Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spend per person per day in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes on food and dining, excluding drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 (on the following page). It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Visitor <br>  <br>  | Average per <br> Person <br> Per Day | Total | Minus Assumed <br> 20\% Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 34,945 | $\$ 58.19$ | $\$ 2,033,461$ | $\$ 1,626,769$ |
| Horse sale participants | 7,740 | $\$ 80.79$ | $\$ 625,278$ | $\$ 500,223$ |
| Vendors | 3,070 | $\$ 29.08$ | $\$ 89,268$ | $\$ 71,415$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 2,415 | $\$ 57.92$ | $\$ 139,901$ | $\$ 111,921$ |
| Total eligible | 48,170 |  | $\$ 2,887,909$ | $\$ 2,310,327$ |
| Texas spectators | 4,439 | $\$ 33.33$ | $\$ 147,942$ | $\$ 118,354$ |
| Grand totals | 52,609 |  | $\$ 3,035,851$ | $\$ 2,428,681$ |

Respondents also were asked how much they spent per group, on average, for alcoholic drinks with their meals. Average daily expenditures by respondents who said they have alcoholic drinks with their meals were multiplied by the number of groups purchasing alcoholic beverages with meals, and as with food, a 20 percent tip was assumed. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | House- <br> holds | Days <br> at <br> Show | Total <br> Segment <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Group <br> Days <br> Having <br> Alcohol | Average <br> Cost <br> Per Day | Spending <br> on Drinks | Minus <br> 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 698 | 14.32 | 9,995 | $60.5 \%$ | 6,047 | $\$ 23.40$ | $\$ 141,504$ | $\$ 113,203$ |
| Horse sale participants | 660 | 2.14 | 1,412 | $37.9 \%$ | 535 | $\$ 32.27$ | $\$ 17,274$ | $\$ 13,819$ |
| Vendors | 85 | 21.8 | 1,853 | $0.0 \%$ | - | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 23 | 1.2 | 28 | $40.0 \%$ | 11 | $\$ 22.50$ | $\$ 248$ | $\$ 199$ |
| Total eligible | 1,466 |  | 13,288 |  | 6,594 |  | $\$ 159,027$ | $\$ 127,221$ |
| Texas spectators | 396 | 2.21 | 875 | $11.8 \%$ | 103 | $\$ 12.50$ | $\$ 1,291$ | $\$ 1,033$ |
| Grand totals | 1,862 |  | 14,164 |  | 6,697 |  | $\$ 160,318$ | $\$ 128,254$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes. Those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. Results are shown in Table 5 on the following page.

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House- <br> holds | Percent <br> in <br> Motels | Number | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exhibitors | 698 | $38.7 \%$ | 270 | 18.35 | 1.58 | 7,832 | $\$ 116.19$ | $\$ 909,973$ |
| Horse sale participants | 660 | $55.2 \%$ | 364 | 3.69 | 1.00 | 1,344 | $\$ 114.56$ | $\$ 154,008$ |
| Vendors | 85 | $20.0 \%$ | 17 | 21.00 | 1.67 | 596 | $\$ 81.67$ | $\$ 48,691$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 23 | $80.0 \%$ | 18 | 3.00 | 1.25 | 69 | $\$ 128.75$ | $\$ 8,884$ |
| Eligible totals | 1,466 |  | 670 |  |  | 9,841 |  | $\$ 1,121,555$ |
| Texas spectators | 396 | $2.4 \%$ | 10 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 29 | $\$ 135.00$ | $\$ 3,849$ |
| Grand totals | 1,862 |  | 679 |  |  | 9,870 |  | $\$ 1,125,404$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Super Stakes. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.

To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 24-day cvent. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents were not sure what they might buy, this was counted as $50 \%$. At no time did the interviewer prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
The other expenditures were calculated for each eligible segment and then combined for the totals. (Table 6)

Table 6. Eligible Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Spectators | Total Eligible | Texas Spectators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 698 | 660 | 85 | 23 | 1.466 | 396 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 81.8\% | 44.9\% | 20.0\% | 70.0\% |  | 21.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 571 | 296 | 17 | 16 | 900 | 86 |
| Average Expenditure | \$862 | \$533 | \$133 | \$313 |  | \$124 |
| Total Expenditures | \$492,171 | \$157,949 | \$2,261 | \$5,039 | \$657,420 | \$10,705 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 52.9\% | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 70.0\% |  | 17.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 369 | 102 |  | 16 | 488 | 68 |
| Average Expenditure | \$229 | \$231 |  | \$100 |  | \$95 |
| Total Expenditures | \$84,556 | \$23,631 |  | \$1,610 | \$109,798 | \$6,433 |
| Paintings, Crafts | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 4.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 94 | 0 |  | 5 | 98 | 19 |
| Average Expenditure | \$96 | \$0 |  | \$50 |  | \$42 |
| Total Expenditures | \$8,979 | \$0 |  | \$230 | \$9,209 | \$782 |
| Souvenirs | 50.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 10.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 349 | 22 |  | 9 | 381 | 42 |
| Average Expenditure | \$305 | \$100 |  | \$110 |  | \$27 |
| Total Expenditures | \$106,445 | \$2,244 |  | \$1,012 | \$109,701 | \$1,133 |
| Saddles | 10.4\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 73 | 68 |  |  | 141 | 8 |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,619 | \$1,700 |  |  |  | \$1,500 |
| Total Expenditures | \$117,526 | \$115,566 |  |  | \$233,092 | \$12,474 |
| Other Tack | 52.3\% | 44.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 365 | 296 |  |  | 298 | 5 |
| Average Expenditure | \$818 | \$704 |  |  |  | \$100 |
| Total Expenditures | \$298,614 | \$208,159 |  |  | \$506,773 | \$475 |
| Photos, Videos | 32.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 225 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$136 | \$100 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$30,567 | \$2,244 |  |  | \$32,811 | \$35,055 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 54 | 0 |  |  | 54 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$124 | \$50 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$6,665 | \$0 |  |  | \$6,665 | \$6,665 |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale <br> Participants | Out of <br> State <br> Spectators | Total <br> Eligible | Texas <br> Spectators |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Other Taxable Items | $32.2 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $2.4 \%$ |
| Number Purchasing | 225 | 46 | 1 |  | 271 | 10 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 562$ | $\$ 60$ | $\$ 175$ |  |  | $\$ 30$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 126,313$ | $\$ 2,732$ | $\$ 198$ |  | $\$ 129,243$ | $\$ 285$ |
| Rental car | $14,1 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Number renting | 98 | 91 |  |  |  |  |
| Average rental | $\$ 394$ | $\$ 113$ |  | $\$$ |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 38,777$ | $\$ 10,292$ |  | $\$ 2,530$ | $\$ 51,599$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Rent golf cart | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Number renting | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average rental | $\$ 450$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditures | $\$ 3,141$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total All Expenditures | $\$ 1,310,613$ | $\$ 522,818$ | $\$ 2,459$ | $\$ 10,421$ | $\$ 1,846,311$ | $\$ 74,006$ |

Using these parameters, Table 7 summarizes direct expenditures eligible under the Event Trust Fund.

Table 7. Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected <br> Direct <br> Expenditures | Fort <br> Texas Tax <br> Rate | General <br> Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort Worth <br> Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | $\$ 657,420$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 41,089$ | $\$ 6,574$ |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | $\$ 109,798$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 6,862$ | $\$ 1,098$ |
| Paintings and crafts | $\$ 9,209$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 576$ | $\$ 92$ |
| Souvenirs | $\$ 109,701$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 6,856$ | $\$ 1,097$ |
| Videos and photographs | $\$ 89,556$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 5,597$ | $\$ 896$ |
| Saddles | $\$ 233,092$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 14,568$ | $\$ 2,331$ |
| Other tack | $\$ 506,773$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 31,673$ | $\$ 5,068$ |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | $\$ 2,310,327$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 144,395$ | $\$ 23,103$ |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | $\$ 127,221$ | $11.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 13,994$ | $\$ 1,908$ |
| Wine, beer and liquor from store | $\$ 6,665$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 417$ | $\$ 67$ |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 1,121,555$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 67,293$ | $\$ 100,940$ |
| Car rental | $\$ 157,328$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,733$ | $\$ 1,573$ |
| Golf cat rental | $\$ 3,141$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other items subject to sales tax | $\$ 129,243$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 8,078$ | $\$ 1,292$ |
| NCHA hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 51,599$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 3,096$ | $\$ 4,644$ |
| NCHA awards | $\$ 95,056$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 9,506$ | $\$ 951$ |
| NCHA meals | $\$ 12,408$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 776$ | $\$ 124$ |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | $\$ 87,598$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 5,475$ | $\$ 876$ |
| Total eligible expenditures | $\$ 5,817,690$ |  |  | $\$ 375,984$ | $\$ 152,634$ |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | $\$ 375,984$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | $\$ 152,634$ |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Economic Benefits

In addition to the sales tax revenue to the State of Texas, $\$ 152,634$, in tax revenues were generated for the City of Fort Worth through sales and bed taxes. While none of these figures are included in the State of Texas Sales Tax revenues, they further demonstrate the importance of the NCHA Super Stakes to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

Many other expenditures not specifically measured in the survey, such as horse operations by people who live in Texas because of the NCHA Super Stakes and other Triple Crown events, also contribute even more tax revenues to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred (400) interviews were conducted among randomly selected adults attending the National Cutting Horse Association 2013 Super Stakes at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the 95\% confidence level.

All interviewing was supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research, LLC and Associate Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he taught undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

- Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the show to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the NCHA Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this 20\% before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for cach of three categories - contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* All data reflect only the purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of contestants, number of exclusive horse sale participants, and number of vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants/horse sale/vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are spectators ( $\mathrm{Sp} \%$ )
Calculated:
Number of Spectators (Sp \#)
Sp \# : Sp \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
$\mathrm{Sp} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Sp} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Sp\#
Known: 698 Contestants (Co) +660 Horse Sale(Sa $)+82$ Vendors (Ve) $=1,440 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $77.4 \%$ contestants/horse sale/vendors; $22.6 \%$ spectators
Unknown Attendance: Spectators (Sp)
Sp \# : 22.6\% :: 1,443 : 77.4\%
$\mathrm{Sp} \# * 77.4 \%=1,443 * 22.6 \%$
Sp \# * 77.4\% = 326
Sp\# = $326 \div 77.4 \%$
Sp\# = 419 projected visitor respondent units
$5.6 \%$ of the spectator groups were from outside of Texas, for a total of 23 .
$94.4 \%$ of the spectator groups were from Texas, for a total of 396.
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## Grotta Marketing Research, LLC

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Centcr. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Burcau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association, the American Paint Horse Association, the American Miniature Horse Association, and the Appaloosa Horse Club have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was Vice President of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and President of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in journalism with an emphasis in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland. He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada.

His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- Fine Line Investments (Bass)
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- The Van Cliburn International Piano Competition
- The City of Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club
- American Brahman Breeders Association


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture
- Texas Christian University Student Media
- Kansas State University Student Media

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


## Survey Questionnaire

## NCHA 2013 Super Stakes

$\qquad$

1. Date

## [REQUIRE ANSWER]


2. Time
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(7-8)
$\square_{01}$ Morning
$\square 03$ Evening
$\square_{02}$ Afternoon
3. Location
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(9-10)
$\square_{01}$ Coliseum
$\square 02$ Amon Carter Exhibits
$\square 03$ W. R. Watt Arena $\square 04$ John Justin Arena
4. Gender
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(11-12)
$\square_{01}$ Male
$\square 02$ Female
5. Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Super Stakes and I'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
6. First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Super Stakes? (Read List)
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(13)
$\square$, Competing in an event
$\square_{2}$ Own horse in an event but not reading
$\square$ Family member competing in an event

- Friend or employer competing
$\square_{5}$ Trainer not riding in an event
$\square$ Buying or selling horses
$\square$, Vendor
$\square$. Spectator

7. How many people, including yourself, came to the Super Stakes with you?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
People ..... ___(16-17)
8. Will they all be here with you every day while you are here at the show?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(18-19)
$\square 01$ Yes
$\square 02$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 10]
9. About how many people will be here with you each day, on average?

Average here $\qquad$ (20-21)
10. About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for each person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other place in Fort Worth?

Spend on food $\qquad$ (22-24)
11. Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(25-27)
$\square 001$ Yes
$\square$ 003 Not sure
$\square_{002} \mathrm{No}$
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 13]
12. About how much will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?

Spend drinks $\qquad$ (28-30)
13. As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy each one during the Super Stakes.
14. Western wear, boots, or other clothing?
(3I)
$\square$ Yes $\square 3$ Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 16]
15. How much will your group spend on western wear, boots of other clothing?

Spend clothing $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (32-36)
16. Jewelry, belts, or other accessories?
(37)
■. Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 18]
17. How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts, or other accessories?
........... $\quad(38-42)$
18. Paintings and crafts?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(43)
D, Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2} \mathrm{No}$
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 20]
19. How much will your group spend on paintings and crafts?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Spend paintings and crafts $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (49-53)
20. Souvenirs
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
$(54)$
$\square_{1}$ Yes
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 22]
21. How much will your group spend on souvenirs?

Spend souvenirs $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (55-59)
22. Saddles?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(60)
$\square 1$ Yes
$\square$
$\square_{2}$ No Not sure
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 24]
23. How much will your group spend on saddles?

Spend saddles $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (61-65)
24. All other tack?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(71)
$\square$ Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2} \mathrm{No}$
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 26]
25. How much will your group spend on all other tack?

Spend other tack
.......... $\qquad$ (72-76)
26. Photos and videos?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(17)

口, Yes
$\square$ I Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3. THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 28]
27. How much will your group spend on photos and videos?

Spend on photos and videos $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (78-82)
28. Alcoholic beverages at a store?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
$\stackrel{(83)}{1}_{\square}^{\square}$
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square \square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 30]
29. How much will your group spend on alcoholic beverages from a store?

Spend on alcoholic beverages $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (84-88)
30. Other purchases requiring sales tax?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(89)
$\square 1$ Yes
$D_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 32]
31. How much will your group spend on all other purchases subject to sales tax?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Other purchases $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (128-132)
32. Are you renting a car during the Super Stakes?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]

$\square 3$ Not sure
$\square_{2} \mathrm{No}$
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 34]
33. How much will your group spend on car rentals during the Super Stakes?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Spend car rental $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (92-96)
34. Are you renting a golf cart during the Super Stakes?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(97)
口. Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 1 OR 3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 36]
35. How much will you spend on the golf cart rental?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Golf cart rental $\qquad$
$\qquad$ (98-102)
36. How many days will you be attending the Super Stakes?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Days here ..... (103-104)
37. Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel during the Super Stakes?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(105)
$\square_{1}$ Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No
[S - IF THE ANSWER IS 2-3, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION 41]
38. How many nights will you be staying?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Nights ..... ___(106-107)
39. How many rooms will you be using?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
Rooms .... _ (108)
40. How much is the room rate, not including taxes?

Room rate.....$\quad(109-111)$
41. Are you a member of the NCHA?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(112)

- Yes
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
$\square_{2}$ No

42. Do you own horses?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
(113)
$\square_{1}$ Yes
$\square_{2}$ No
$\square_{3}$ Not sure
43. Which state do you live in?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]


$\square^{27}$ Montana<br>$\square_{28}$ Nebraska<br>$\square_{25}$ Nevada<br>$\square_{30}$ New Hampshire<br>$\square_{31}$ New Jersey<br>$\square_{32}$ New Mexico<br>$\square_{33}$ New York<br>$\square_{34}$ North Carolina<br>$\square_{35}$ North Dakota<br>$\square_{36}$ Ohio<br>$\square_{37}$ Oklahoma<br>$\square_{35}$ Oregon<br>$\square_{39}$ Pennsyivania<br>$\square_{40}$ Rhode island<br>$\square_{4}$ South Carolina<br>$\square_{12}$ South Dakota<br>$\square_{43}$ Tennessee<br>$\square_{44}$ Utah<br>$\square_{45}$ Vermont<br>$\square_{46}$ Virginia<br>47 Washington<br>$\square_{18}$ West Virginia<br>$\square_{19}$ Wisconsin<br>$\square_{50}$ Wyoming<br>$\square_{51}$ Other Country

44. What country are you from?
[REQUIRE ANSWER]
45. Thank you for your time and cooperation!

## Exhibit D3

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of the <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2012 Summer Spectacular 


on the Fort Worth Economy and
Texas State Tax Revenues
Generated by the Show

September 2012

## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 21 days of the 2012 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular

5,720 visiors
51,430 visitur days
$\$ 8,525,625$ direct expendiutres subjicat o e eligible Texas Sate taves
$\$ 557,284$ eligible State Sales and Bed Taxes generated by direct expenditures
Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 557,284$.

## The Numbers . . .

The 2012 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes (March 24-April 14) generated Texas State Sales Tax revenues eligible under Major Events Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 557,284$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 8,525,625$.

These estimates are based on a survey of 502 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of State Sales Tax generated during the 21-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those local residents who were just at the show for the day and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by peoplc competing in events, participating in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 624 Texas residents who did not compete in events, participate in the horse sale, or were not vendors.

The projections based on competitors are limited to the unduplicated number of contestants who did not share a last name and address as another competitor. A computer merge-and-purge program identified registered buyers and/or sellers who were at the horse sale but did not compete in the show.

The estimated average daily attendance during the 2012 Summer Spectacular is summarized in the following table.

| Date | Dally Entries | $\%$ of Total Entries | NCHA <br> Show <br>  <br> Officers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Competitors } \\ & \text { (Net) } \end{aligned}$ | Harse Sale Staff | Horse Sale Participants (Net) | Vendors | Visitors | Tolals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals | 2,222 |  | 567 | 32.581 | 30 | 11.571 | 3.479 | 3,800 | 52.173 |
| Fri., July 6 Move in |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Sat., July 7 Move in |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 158 |  | 163 |
| Sun., July 8 | 110 | 5.0\% | 22 | 1,613 |  |  | 158 | 188 | 1981 |
| Mon., July 9 | 250 | 11.3\% | 36 | 3,666 |  |  | 158 | 428 | 4287 |
| Tues., July 10 | 131 | 5.9\% | 36 | 1.921 |  |  | 158 | 224 | 2339 |
| Wed., July 11 | 154 | 6.9\% | 36 | 2,258 |  |  | 158 | 263 | 2715 |
| Thurs., July 12 | 68 | 3.1\% | 27 | 997 |  |  | 158 | 116 | 1298 |
| Fri., July 13 | 112 | 5.0\% | 22 | 1,642 |  |  | 158 | 192 | 2014 |
| Sat., July 14 | 91 | 4.1\% | 22 | 1,334 |  |  | 158 | 156 | 1670 |
| Sun., July 15 | 87 | 3.9\% | 27 | 1,276 |  |  | 158 | 149 | 1609 |
| Mon.. July 16 | 89 | 4.0\% | 27 | 1.305 |  |  | 158 | 152 | 1642 |
| Tues., July 17 | 117 | 5.3\% | 27 | 1,716 |  |  | 158 | 200 | 2101 |
| Wed., July 18 | 114 | 5.1\% | 27 | 1.672 |  |  | 158 | 195 | 2052 |
| Thurs. . July 19 | 92 | 4.1\% | 27 | 1,349 |  |  | 158 | 157 | 1691 |
| Fri., July 20 | 94 | 4.2\% | 22 | 1,378 |  |  | 158 | 161 | 1719 |
| Sat., July 21 | 96 | 4.3\% | 22 | 1,408 |  | 1,397 | 158 | 164 | 3149 |
| Sun., July 22 | 134 | 6.0\% | 22 | 1,965 |  | 1.397 | 158 | 229 | 3771 |
| Mon., July 23 | 91 | 4.1\% | 22 | 1,334 |  | 1,397 | 158 | 156 | 3067 |
| Tues., July 24 | 96 | 4.3\% | 22 | 1,408 |  | 1,397 | 158 | 164 | 3149 |
| Wed., July 25 | 102 | 4.6\% | 27 | 1,496 |  | 1,397 | 158 | 174 | 3252 |
| Thurs., July 26 | 71 | 3.2\% | 27 | 1.041 |  | 1.528 | 158 | 121 | 2875 |
| Fri., July 27 | 80 | 3.6\% | 27 | 1,173 |  | 1.528 | 158 | 137 | 3023 |
| Sat., July 28 | 43 | 1.9\% | 27 | 631 | 30 | 1.528 | 158 | 74 | 2447 |
| Sun., July 29 Move out |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 158 |  | 163 |
| Totals | 2,222 | 100.0\% | 559 | 32.581 | 30 | 11.569 | 3,634 | 3,800 | 52173 |

A projected 5,720 individuals attended the 2012 NCHA Summer Spectacular. (Table 1)
Table 1. Pcople Attending 2012 Summer Spectacular

|  | Average <br>  <br> Proups <br> per <br> Group | Projected <br> People |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 903 | 3.42 | 3,088 |
| Horse sale participants | 629 | 2.43 | 1,528 |
| Vendors | 92 | 1.9 | 175 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 146 | 2.08 | 304 |
| Total eligible | 1,770 |  | 5,095 |
| Texas spectators | 268 | 2.33 | 624 |
| Totals | 2,038 |  | 5,720 |

This translates into 51,430 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2012 NCHA Summer Spectacular

|  | Average <br>  <br> People in <br> Segment <br> Contestants <br> Atternding <br> Show | Projected <br> Visitor Days |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Horse sale participants | 3,088 | 10.55 | 32,581 |
| Vendors | 174.8 | 19.9 | 3,479 |
| Out-of-spectators | 304 | 4.78 | 1,452 |
| Total eligible | 5,095 |  | 41,571 |
| Texas spectators | 624 | 3.76 | 2,348 |
| Totals | 5,720 |  | 51,430 |

Expenditures were up in 2012, primarily because the 21 -day cvent was two days longer than the 2011 event.

Texas visitors were not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, participants in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spend per person per day in Fort Worth during the Summer Spectacular on food and dining, excluding drinks. (Table 3)

It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Average per <br>  <br>  <br> Person Per <br> Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed <br> Gratuity |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 32,581 | $\$ 46.40$ | $\$ 1,511,765$ | $\$ 1,209,412$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 11,571 | $\$ 45.00$ | $\$ 520,673$ | $\$ 416,539$ |
| Vendors | 3,479 | $\$ 44.00$ | $\$ 153,055$ | $\$ 122,444$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 1,452 | $\$ 59.40$ | $\$ 86,224$ | $\$ 68,980$ |
| Total eligible | 49,082 |  | $\$ 2,271,718$ | $\$ 1,817,374$ |
| Texas visitors | 2,348 | $\$ 32.09$ | $\$ 75,344$ | $\$ 60,275$ |
| Grand total | 51,430 |  | $\$ 2,347,062$ | $\$ 1,877,649$ |

Respondents also were asked how much they spent per group, on average, for alcoholic drinks with their meals. Average daily expenditures by respondents who said they have alcoholic drinks with their meals were multiplied by the number of groups purchasing alcoholic beverages with meals, and as with food, a $20 \%$ tip was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | House- <br> holds | Days <br> at <br> Show | Total <br> Segment <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Group <br> Days <br> Having <br> Alcohol | Average <br> Cost Per <br> Day | Spending <br> on Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 903 | 10.55 | 9,527 | $57.0 \%$ | 5,430 | $\$ 50.20$ | $\$ 272,596$ | $\$ 218,076$ |
| Horse sale participants | 629 | 7.57 | 4,762 | $28.6 \%$ | 1,362 | $\$ 60.00$ | $\$ 81,708$ | $\$ 65,366$ |
| Vendors | 92 | 19.90 | 1,831 | $30.0 \%$ | 549 | $\$ 33.33$ | $\$ 18,306$ | $\$ 14,645$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 146 | 4.78 | 698 | $38.9 \%$ | 271 | $\$ 61.70$ | $\$ 16,750$ | $\$ 13,400$ |
| Total eligible | 1,770 |  | 16,817 |  | 7,613 |  | $\$ 389,360$ | $\$ 311,488$ |
| Texas spectators | 268 | 3,76 | 1,008 | $34.8 \%$ | 351 | $\$ 27.20$ | $\$ 9,538$ | $\$ 7,631$ |
| Grand total | 2,038 |  | 17,825 |  | 7,963 |  | $\$ 398,898$ | $\$ 319,118$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes. Those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House- <br> holds | Percent <br> in <br> Motels | Groups <br> in <br> Motels | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 903 | $39.3 \%$ | 355 | 11.17 | 1.56 | 6,184 | $\$ 103.92$ | $\$ 642,624$ |
| Horse sale participants | 629 | $84.6 \%$ | 532 | 2.45 | 1.36 | 1,773 | $\$ 136.91$ | $\$ 242,751$ |
| Vendors | 92 | $13.0 \%$ | 12 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 120 | $\$ 82.50$ | $\$ 9,867$ |
| Out-of-state spectators | 146 | $57.8 \%$ | 84 | 4.19 | 1.15 | 407 | $\$ 105.19$ | $\$ 42,773$ |
| Total Eligible | 1,770 |  | 983 |  |  | 8,483 |  | $\$ 938,015$ |
| Texas spectators | 268 | $20.3 \%$ | 54 | 3.83 | 1.17 | 244 | $\$ 126.92$ | $\$ 30,942$ |
| Grand total | 2,038 |  | 1,038 |  |  | 8,727 |  | $\$ 968,957$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Summer Spectacular. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants, all duplication was eliminated for pcople with the same last name and the same address. Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 21-day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show. If respondents they were not sure what they might buy, this was counted as $50 \%$. At no time did the interviewer prompt responses. Only voluntecred responses were recorded.
For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, and trucks, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.
Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures.
In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases. The other expenditures were calculated for each segment - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures. (Table 6)

Table 6. Eligible Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | $\begin{gathered} \text { Out of } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Spectators } \end{gathered}$ | Totals | Texas Spectators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 903 | 629 | 92 | 146 | 1.770 | 268 |
| Western Wear, Bools, Other Clothing | 68.4\% | 57.1\% | 50.0\% | 77.8\% |  | 41.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 618 | 359 | 46 | 114 | 1.136 | 111 |
| Average Expenditure | \$728 | \$850 | \$1.350 | \$728 |  | \$230 |
| Total Expenditures | \$449,651 | \$305,285 | \$62,100 | \$82,692 | \$899,728 | \$25,642 |
| Jewelry, Betts, Accessories | 42.2\% | 35.8\% | 40.0\% | 26.6\% |  | 33.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 381 | 225 | 37 | 39 | 682 | 90 |
| Average Expenditure | \$619 | \$275 | \$1.400 | 5533 |  | \$1,289 |
| Total Expenditures | \$235,880 | \$61,925 | \$51,520 | \$20,700 | \$370,024 | \$115,381 |
| Paints, Crafts | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 8.7\% |  | 19.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 108 | 0 | 23 | 13 | 144 | 51 |
| Average Expenditure | \$276 |  | \$1.100 | \$275 |  | \$216 |
| Total Expenditures | \$29,907 |  | \$25,300 | \$3,493 | \$58,700 | \$10,999 |
| Souvenirs | 17.5\% | 28.6\% | 25.0\% | 22.5\% |  | 12.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 158 | 180 | 23 | 33 | 394 | 33 |
| Average Expenditure | \$123 | \$100 | \$200 | \$76 |  | \$119 |
| Total Expenditures | \$19,437 | \$17,989 | \$4,600 | \$2,497 | \$44,523 | \$3,891 |
| Horse Trailers | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% |  | 3.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 21 |  |  | 7 | 27 | 8 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 10 |  |  | 3 | 14 | 4 |
| Average Expenditure | \$72,500 |  |  | \$8,500 |  | 5,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$752.876 |  |  | \$27,923 | \$752,876 | \$20,100 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$564,657 |  |  | \$20,942 | \$585,599 | \$15,075 |
| Tractors | 0.30\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Average Expenditure | \$60,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$81,270 |  |  |  | \$81,270 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$60,953 |  |  |  | \$60,953 | \$0 |
| Photos, Videos | 57.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 12.7\% |  | 3.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 523 |  | 14 | 19 | 555 | 10 |
| Average Expenditure | \$206 |  | $\$ 150$ | \$63 |  | \$300 |
| Total Expenditures | \$107,704 |  | \$2,070 | \$1,168 | \$110,943 | \$3,055 |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Spectators | Totals | Texas Spectators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 14 |  |  | 4 | 19 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 7 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$78,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$567.084 |  |  | \$70,000 | \$637,084 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$425,313 |  |  | \$52,500 | \$477,813 | \$0 |
| Buildings or Sheds | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 11 |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$541,800 |  |  |  | \$541,800 |  |
| Saddles | 25.1\% | 14.3\% | 15.0\% | 13.9\% |  | 4.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 227 | 90 | 14 | 20 | 351 | 12 |
| Avereage Expenditure | \$3,775 | \$2,200 | \$2,000 | \$2.940 |  | \$5,333 |
| Total Expenditures | \$855,615 | \$197,883 | \$27,600 | \$59,664 | \$1,140,763 | \$64,316 |
| Other Tack | 63.1\% | 64.3\% | 25.0\% | 36.8\% |  | 58.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 570 | 404 | 23 | 54 | 1.051 | 158 |
| Average Expenditure | \$619 | \$238 | \$143 | \$241 |  | \$206 |
| Total Expenditures | \$352,702 | \$96,258 | \$3,289 | \$12,948 | \$465,198 | \$32,518 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 31.0\% | 14.3\% | 30.0\% | 12.7\% |  | 15.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 280 | 90 | 28 | 19 | 416 | 40 |
| Average Expenditure | \$226 | \$150 | \$100 | $\$ 657$ |  | \$127 |
| Total Expenditures | \$63,264 | \$13,492 | \$2,760 | \$12,182 | \$91,698 | \$5,105 |
| Other Taxable ltems | 43.0\% | 14.3\% | 65.0\% | 26.6\% |  | 20.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 388 | 90 | 60 | 39 | 577 | 54 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 617$ | \$50 | 5500 | \$186 |  | \$197 |
| Total Expenditures | \$239,575 | \$4,497 | \$29,900 | \$7,223 | \$281,196 | \$8,365 |
| Rental car | 17.1\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% |  |  |
| Number renting | 154 | 180 |  | 41 | 375 |  |
| Average rental | \$1,219 | 5650 |  | \$680 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$188,229 | \$116,931 |  | \$27,600 | \$332,760 |  |
| Golf Cart | 2.4\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Number renting | 22 | 90 |  |  | 112 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$337 | \$400 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$7,303 | \$35,979 |  |  | \$43,282 |  |
| Total All Expenditures | \$3,721,033 | \$718,004 | \$205,850 | \$290,661 | \$4,935,548 | \$249,830 |

Using these parameters, Table 7 summarizes direct expenditures eligible under the Major Events Trust Fund.

Table 7: Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Frojected <br> Diect <br> Expenditures | Fort <br> Texas Tax <br> Rate | Worth <br> General <br> Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort Worth <br> Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | $\$ 899,728$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 56,233$ | $\$ 8,997$ |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | $\$ 370,024$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 23,127$ | $\$ 3,700$ |
| Paintings and crafts | $\$ 58,700$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 3,669$ | $\$ 587$ |
| Souvenirs | $\$ 44,523$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,783$ | $\$ 445$ |
| Videos \& photographs | $\$ 110,943$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 6,934$ | $\$ 1,109$ |
| Saddles | $\$ 1,140,763$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 71,298$ | $\$ 11,408$ |
| Other tack | $\$ 465,198$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 29,075$ | $\$ 4,652$ |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | $\$ 1,877,649$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 117,353$ | $\$ 18,776$ |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | $\$ 311,488$ | $11.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 34,264$ | $\$ 4,672$ |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | $\$ 91,698$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 5,731$ | $\$ 917$ |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 938,015$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 56,281$ | $\$ 84,421$ |
| Car rental | $\$ 332,760$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 33,276$ | $\$ 3,328$ |
| Golf cart rental | $\$ 43,282$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,705$ | $\$ 433$ |
| Horse trailers | $\$ 585,599$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 36,600$ | $\$ 5,856$ |
| Trucks, other vehicles | $\$ 477,813$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 29,863$ | $\$ 4,778$ |
| Tractors (10\% of $\$ 81,270$ ) | $\$ 8,127$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 508$ | $\$ 81$ |
| Barns, stalls (50\% of $\$ 541,800)$ | $\$ 270,900$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 16,931$ | $\$ 2,709$ |
| Other items subject to sales tax | $\$ 281,186$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 17,574$ | $\$ 2,812$ |
| NCHA eligible expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel expenditures, judges | $\$ 15,445$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 927$ | $\$ 1,390$ |
| Hotel expenditures, staff | $\$ 10,184$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 611$ | $\$ 917$ |
| Awards | $\$ 144,005$ | $6.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 8,640$ | $\$ 1,440$ |
| Judge meals | $\$ 6,827$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 427$ | $\$ 68$ |
| Staff meals | $\$ 4,364$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 273$ | $\$ 44$ |
| Cattle handlers local expenses | $\$ 15,007$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 938$ | $\$ 150$ |
| Signage | $\$ 9,866$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 617$ | $\$ 99$ |
| Equipment rentals | $\$ 7,780$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 486$ | $\$ 78$ |
| Show supplies | $\$ 1,325$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 83$ | $\$ 13$ |
| Ticket printing | $\$ 2,426$ | $3.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 79$ | $\$ 24$ |
| Total eligible expenditures | $\$ 8,525,625$ |  |  | $\$ 557,284$ | $\$ 163,905$ |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | $\$ 557,284$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | $\$ 163,905$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Economic Benefits

In addition to the sales tax revenue to the State of Texas, $\$ 163,905$ in tax revenues were generated for City of Fort Worth through sales and bed taxes. While none of these figures are included in the State of Texas Sales Tax revenues, they further demonstrate the importance of the NCHA Super Stakes to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth. Much other expenditure not specifically measured in the survey, such as horse operations by people who live in Texas because of the NCHA Super Stakes and other Triple Crown events, also contribute even more tax revenues to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred (502) interviews were conducted among randomly selected adults attending the National Cutting Horse Association 2012 Summer Spectacular at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.3 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

All interviewing was supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Associate Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schaeffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the show to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the NCHA Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere clse in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for cach of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
- Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of contestants, number of exclusive horse sale participants, and number of vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$ )
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants/horse sale/vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors ( $\mathrm{Sp} \%$ )
Calculated:
Number of spectators (Sp \#)
Sp \# : Sp \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
$\mathrm{Sp} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Sp} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Sp \#
Known: 903 Contestants (Co) +629 Horse Sale(Sa ) +92 Vendors $(\mathrm{Ve})=1,624 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: 79.7\% contestants/horse sale/vendors; $20.3 \%$ spectators
Unknown Attendance: Spectators (Sp \#)
Sp\# : 20.3\% :: 1,624 : 79.7\%
Sp \# * 79.7\% = 1,624 * 20.3\%
Sp \# * $79.7 \%=330$
Sp \# = $330 \div 79.7 \%$
Sp \# = 414 projected spectator respondent units
$35.3 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 146 spectators
$64.7 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 268 spectators
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).
All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## Survey <br> Questionnaire

## NCHA 2012 Summer Spectacular

1) Date

| O July 8 | O July 12 | O July 16 | O July 20 | O July 24 | O July 28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O July 9 | O July 13 | O July 17 | O July 21 | O July 25 |  |
| O July 10 | O July 14 | O July 18 | O July 22 | O July 26 |  |
| O July 11 | O July 15 | O July 19 | O July 23 | O July 27 |  |

2) Time
O Morning OAfternoon O Evening
3) Location

| O Coliseum | O W.R. Watt Arena |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Amon Carter Exhibits | O John Justin Arena |

4) Gender


Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Summer Spectacular and I'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Summer Spectacular?

| O Competing in an event | O Buying or selling horses |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Own horse in an event but not riding | O Vendor |
| O Family member competing in an event | O Visitor |
| O Friend or employer competing |  |

6) How many people, including yourself, came to the Summer Spectacular with you?
$\qquad$
7) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?
OYes ONo ONotsure

| Page 1 of 6 | NCHA 2012 Summer Spectaculer <br>  | Sep 04, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

8) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?
$\qquad$
9) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for each person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other places in Fort Worth?
$\qquad$
10) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
11) A bout how much, if anything, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?
$\qquad$
As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy each one during the Summer Spectacular.
12) Western wear, boots or other elothing.
13) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?
$\qquad$
14) Jewelry, belts and other accessories.
OYes Ono ONotsure
15) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?
$\qquad$
16) Paintings or crafts.
OYes Ono O Not sure
17) How much will your group spend on paintings or crafts?
$\qquad$

| Page 2 of 6 | NCHA 2012 Summer Spectacular <br>  | Sep 04, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



40) Are you renting a golf cart?
O Yes Ono O Norsure
41) How much will your group spend on golf carts during the sbow?
42) How many days will you be here for the Summer Spectacular?
43) Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel?
OYes
ONo
O Nol sure
44) How many nights will you be staying?
45) How many rooms are you using?
46) Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms?
47) Are you a member of the National Cutting Horse Association?ㄷ№
$\square$ Nolsure
48) Do you own horses?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Notsure

Page 5 of 6
49) What state do you live in?

| O stabama | Olmuiana | ONetruika | OStuh Cumbine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Aluaka | Olnwa | ONevala | Osmundikour |
| O Arizons | O Kurisis | O New Hamphire | Oficnuexte |
| 0 Arǐtansis | Q Kentuaky | ONew Jersey | 0 feris |
| $\bigcirc$ Culitimi: | 0 Leuisiana | O Num Mastico | D Unah |
| OCalerado | OMuine | Onitw Yoth | O Verment |
| O Comnecticut | O Maryland | O Nontu Cumbin! | O Virginta |
| O Delbstars | OMassuctrasts | O North Bakute | C Wushinglon |
| O Flurids | OMichigan | OOhis | O West Virginia |
| O Cicorgia | OMinnesta | O Oklahmia | OWistensin |
| O Huwnii | O Mississiphi | Oreyrn |  |
| O Idaho | O Missuari | O Penuzylwaniu | O duter Country |
| Ollinnis | OMuntana | 0 Rhudelsland | - |

50) Other country (Write in Country -- NOT pustal codel)

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

## GMR, L.L.C. Qualifications

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazincs on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association, the American Paint Horse Association, the American Miniature Horse Association, and the Appaloosa Horse Club have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, statc, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- Fine Line Investments (Bass)
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- The City of Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Burcau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture


## Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


## Exhibit E

## 2011-2012 Triple Crown

E1-2011 Futurity
E2 - 2012 Super Stakes
E3-2011 Summer Spectacular

Exhibit E1

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of National Cutting Horse Association 2011 World Championship Futurity <br>  

# on the Fort Worth Economy and <br> Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

January 2011

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research, LLC

# Survey Findings 

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 20 days of the 2011 National Cutting Horse Association World Championship Futurity

 $12,241_{\text {visitors }}$
## 93,894 visior daps

$\$ 22,235,356$ direct expenditures subject to eligible Texas taves $\$ 1,420,480$ eligible State Taxes generated by direct expenditures

Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 1,420,480$.

## The Numbers . . .

The 2011 World Championship Futurity November 21-December 10 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under the Major Events Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 1,420,480$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 22,235,356$.

The Futurity celebrated its $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary in 2011, and the event was heavily promoted world-wide. As a result, record crowds participated in the Futurity. People came to Fort Worth from at least 16 countries on five continents. Contestants from seven countries competed in the 2011 Futurity -- Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Germany, Venezuela, and the United States (including 40 states). In addition, other visitors who registered for International Bags came here from Denmark, England, France, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates (Abu Dhabi), and Wales.

Several special events were held to mark the anniversary, including a Futurity Champions' Cup party at the Neiman-Marcus store in the Ridgmar Mall. All 32 living past Open Futurity champion riders took part in the Futurity Champions' Cup event held in the Coliseum at Will Rogers Memorial Center. Other special features included Trigger and Bullet, Roy Roger's mounted horse and dog.
A total purse of $\$ 4,000,000$ was awarded at the futurity, including $\$ 200,000$ for the Open champion.
Six days of cutting horse sales took place at WRMC during the 2011 World Championship Futurity, bringing a total of $\$ 15,699,750$.
One goal of the $50^{\text {th }}$ Anniversary Futurity was to bring many newcomers to Fort Worth, and the success of this effort was obvious. The coliseum was filled to capacity for several events and large crowds were seen daily in the 100,000 -square-foot "Best of the West" shopping area, featuring everything from souvenirs to horse trailers and trucks. A much higher than usual proportion of visitors came to the futurity from other states and countries.

The projections in this report are based on a survey of 406 randomly selected adults attending the 2011 World Championship Futurity, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 20 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 12,241 individuals attended the 2011 NCHA Futurity. (Table 1)
Table 1. People Attending 2011 NCHA Futurity

|  | Unduplicated <br> Attendance | People <br> Per Group | Projected <br> Attendance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Competitors | 1,091 | 3.4 | 3,655 |
| Horse Sale | 1,446 | 2.8 | 4,020 |
| Vendors | 198 | 1.9 | 376 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 829 | 3.8 | 3,150 |
| Total eligible | 3,564 |  | 11,201 |
| Texas visitors | 400 | 2.6 | 1,040 |
| Grand total | 3,964 |  | 12,241 |

This translates into 93,894 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2011 NCHA Futurity

|  | Number of <br> People in <br> Group | Average <br> Days <br> Attending <br> Show | Projected <br> Visitor <br> Days |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Competitors | 3,655 | 10.2 | 37,281 |
| Sale | 4,020 | 6.3 | 25,326 |
| Vendors | 376 | 18.3 | 6,881 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 3150 | 5.8 | 18,270 |
| Totals Eligible | 11,201 |  | 87,758 |
| Texas visitors | 1,040 | 5.9 | 6,136 |
| Grand total | 12,241 |  | 93,894 |

The 6,136 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.
Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the World Championship Futurity on food and dining, excluding alcoholic drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since salcs taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  |  | Average per <br> Person Per <br>  | Minus <br> Day | Total <br> Assumed $20 \%$ <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Competitors | 37,281 | $\$ 50.16$ | $\$ 1,870,015$ | $\$ 1,496,012$ |
| Horse sale participants | 25,326 | $\$ 58.33$ | $\$ 1,477,266$ | $\$ 1,181,812$ |
| Vendors | 6,881 | $\$ 21.67$ | $\$ 149,107$ | $\$ 119,286$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 18,270 | $\$ 47.06$ | $\$ 859,786$ | $\$ 687,829$ |
| Total eligible | 87,758 |  | $\$ 4,356,174$ | $\$ 3,484,939$ |
| Texas visitors | 6,136 | $\$ 60.54$ | $\$ 371,473$ | $\$ 297,179$ |
| Grant total | 93,894 |  | $\$ 4,727,647$ | $\$ 3,782,118$ |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures on Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | House- <br> holds | Days at <br> Show | Total <br> Segment <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Group Days <br> Having <br> Alcohol | Average <br> Cost Per <br> Day | Spending <br> on Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 1,091 | 10.2 | 11,128 | $49.6 \%$ | 5,520 | $\$ 45.23$ | $\$ 249,651$ | $\$ 199,721$ |
| Horse sale participants | 1,446 | 6.3 | 9,110 | $50.0 \%$ | 4,555 | $\$ 53.25$ | $\$ 242,548$ | $\$ 194,039$ |
| Vendors | 198 | 18.3 | 3,623 | $77.8 \%$ | 2,819 | $\$ 52.10$ | $\$ 146,870$ | $\$ 117,496$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 829 | 5.8 | 4,808 | $63.5 \%$ | 3,053 | $\$ 30.81$ | $\$ 94,069$ | $\$ 75,255$ |
| Total eligible | 3,564 |  | 28,670 |  | 15,947 |  | $\$ 733,139$ | $\$ 586,511$ |
| Texas visitors | 400 | 5.9 | 2,360 | $51.2 \%$ | 1,208 | $\$ 41.57$ | $\$ 50,230$ | $\$ 40,184$ |
| Grand total | 3,964 |  | 31,030 |  | 17,155 |  | $\$ 783,369$ | $\$ 626,695$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House- <br> holds | Percent <br> in Motels | Number <br> in <br> Motels | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 1,091 | $54.1 \%$ | 590 | 8.46 | 1.42 | 7,091 | $\$ 116.63$ | $\$ 826,972$ |
| Horse sale participants | 1,446 | $62.5 \%$ | 904 | 4.00 | 1.20 | 4,338 | $\$ 99.57$ | $\$ 431,935$ |
| Vendors | 198 | $37.5 \%$ | 74 | 16.50 | 1.00 | 1,225 | $\$ 46.33$ | $\$ 56,760$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 829 | $72.9 \%$ | 604 | 6.00 | 1.74 | 6,309 | $\$ 132.74$ | $\$ 837,499$ |
| Total Eligible | 3,564 |  | 2,173 |  |  | 18,963 |  | $\$ 2,153,166$ |
| Texas visitors | 400 | $30.0 \%$ | 120 | 4.41 | 1.26 | 667 | $\$ 115.78$ | $\$ 77,201$ |
| Grand total | 3,964 |  | 2,293 |  |  | 19,630 |  | $\$ 2,230,367$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA World Championship Futurity. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 3,564 distinct household purchasing units (excluding the 400 visitor groups who live in Texas).

Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 20 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.

Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.

As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.
Only $50 \%$ of the sales tax collected on buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible.
Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following pages summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Major Events Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 1,091 | 1,446 | 198 | 829 | 3,564 | 400 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 69.6\% | 62.5\% | 33.3\% | 65.9\% |  | 64.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 759 | 904 | 66 | 546 | 2,275 | 259 |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,501 | \$1,588 | \$683 | \$1,022 |  | \$923 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,139,763 | \$1,435,155 | \$45,033 | \$558,330 | \$3,178,281 | \$238,872 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 46.4\% | 25.0\% | 11.1\% | 39.4\% |  | 39.1\% |
| Number Purchasing. | 506 | 362 | 22 | 327 | 1.216 | 156 |
| Average Expenditure | \$503 | \$455 | $\$ 500$ | \$319 |  | \$357 |
| Total Expenditures | \$254,631 | \$164,483 | \$10,989 | \$104,194 | \$534,296 | \$55,835 |
| Paints, Crafts | 17.9\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% |  | 12.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 195 | 211 | 0 | 168 | 575 | 49 |
| Average Expenditure | \$337 | \$65 |  | \$575 |  | \$183 |
| Total Expenditures | \$65,812 | \$13,723 | \$0 | \$96,765 | \$176,300 | \$8,930 |
| Souvenirs | 19.0\% | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 21.8\% |  | 19.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 207 | 273 | 0 | 181 | 661 | 78 |
| Average Expenditure | \$168 | \$204 |  | \$160 |  | \$147 |
| Total Expenditures | \$34,825 | \$55,752 | \$0 | \$28,916 | \$119,492 | \$11,525 |
| Horse Trailers | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% |  | 6.1\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 100 |  |  | 35 | 135 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 50 |  |  | 17 | 68 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$36,375 |  |  | \$50,000 |  | 55,500 |
| Total Expendilures | \$1,825,516 |  |  | \$870,450 | \$1,825,516 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$1,369,137 |  | \$0 | \$652,838 | \$2,021,974 | S0 |
| Tractors | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases |  |  |  | 50.0\% |  |  |
| Number Actuaily Purchasing |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| Average Expenditure |  |  |  | \$5,000 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  | \$49,740 |  |  |
| Trade-ins |  |  |  | 25.0\% |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures |  |  |  | \$37,305 | \$37,305 |  |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% |  | 2.4\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 74 |  |  | 54 | 128 | 10 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 37 |  |  | 27 | 64 | 5 |
| Average Expenditure | \$32,556 |  |  | \$40,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,207,632 |  |  | \$1,077,700 | \$2,285,332 | \$120,000 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$905,724 | S0 | \$0 | \$808,275 | \$1,713,999 | \$90,000 |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Tolals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit/Groups | 1,091 | 1,446 | 198 | 829 | 3,564 | 400 |
| Buildings or Sheds | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% |  | 4.9\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 111 |  |  | 40 | 151 | 20 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 56 |  |  | 20 | 76 | 10 |
| Average Expenditure | \$37,000 |  |  | \$25,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$2,058,717 | \$0 | \$0 | \$497,400 | \$2,556,117 | \$490,000 |
| Saddles | 34.4\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% |  | 15.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 375 | 301 |  | 147 | 823 | 63 |
| Average Expenditure | \$4,503 | \$4,400 |  | \$6,077 |  | \$5,083 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,689,994 | \$1,323,379 | \$0 | \$891,696 | \$3,905,070 | \$321,246 |
| Other Tack | 33.5\% | 43.8\% | 0.0\% | 24.2\% |  | 40.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 365 | 633 |  | 201 | 298 | 161 |
| Average Expenditure | \$913 | \$708 |  | \$649 |  | \$312 |
| Total Expenditures | \$333,688 | \$448,410 | \$0 | \$130,201 | \$912,299 | \$50,294 |
| Photos, Videos | 52.9\% | 16.7\% | 11.1\% | 18.3\% |  | 15.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 577 | 241 | 22 | 152 |  | 64 |
| Average Expenditure | \$222 | \$305 |  | \$223 |  | \$119 |
| Total Expenditures | \$128,125 | \$73,652 | \$0 | \$33,831 | \$235,608 | \$343,090 |
| Feed, Hay, Horse Supplies | 16.4\% | 8.3\% |  | 4.7\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 179 | 120 |  | 39 |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$222 | \$80 |  | \$1,683 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$39,721 | \$9,601 | \$0 | \$65,575 | \$114,897 | \$0 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 14.7\% | 4.2\% | 11.1\% | 7.8\% |  | 2.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 160 | 61 | 22 | 65 | 308 | 10 |
| Average Expenditure | \$108 | \$400 | \$45 | \$112 |  | \$25 |
| Total Expenditures | \$17,321 | \$24,293 | \$989 | \$7,242 | \$49,845 | \$240 |
| Other Taxable Items | 19.7\% | 58.3\% | 27.8\% | 22.4\% |  | 22.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 215 | 843 | 55 | 186 | 1.299 | 88 |
| Average Expenditure | \$448 | \$1.120 | 5100 | \$384 |  | \$328 |
| Total Expenditures | \$96,287 | \$944,180 | \$5,504 | \$71,307 | \$1,117,279 | \$28,864 |
| Rental car | 19.0\% | 25.0\% | 22.2\% | 8.2\% |  | 24.4\% |
| Number renting | 207 | 362 | 44 | 68 |  | 98 |
| Average rental | \$399 | \$308 | \$211 | \$299 |  | \$316 |
| Total Expenditures | \$82,709 | \$111,342 | \$9,275 | \$20,325 | \$223,651 | \$30,842 |
| Total All Expenditures | \$7,843,045 | \$4,145,958 | \$71,790 | \$3,771,119 | \$15,831,911 | \$1,619,444 |

The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2011 NCHA World Championship Futurity are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected Direct Expenditures | $\begin{gathered} \text { Texas Tax } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fort Worth General Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort Worth Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$3,178,281 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$198,643 | \$31,783 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | \$534,296 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$33,394 | \$5,343 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$176,300 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$11,019 | \$1,763 |
| Souvenirs | \$119,492 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$7,468 | \$1,195 |
| Videos \& photographs | \$235,608 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$14,726 | \$2,356 |
| Saddles | \$3,905,070 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$244,067 | \$39,051 |
| Other tack | \$912,299 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$57,019 | \$9,123 |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$3,484,939 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$217,809 | \$34,849 |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$586,511 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% | \$64,516 | \$8,798 |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | \$49,845 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$3,115 | \$498 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$2,153,166 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$129,190 | \$193,785 |
| Car rental | \$223,651 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$22,365 | \$2,237 |
| Horse trailers | \$2,021,974 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$126,373 | \$20,220 |
| Trucks, other vehicles | \$1,713,999 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$107,125 | \$17,140 |
| Tractors | \$37,305 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,332 | \$373 |
| Barns, stalls, sheds (50\% of \$2,556,117) | \$1,278,059 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$79,879 | \$12,781 |
| Feed, hay, horse supplies | \$114,897 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$7,181 | \$1,149 |
| Other items subject to sales tax | \$1,117,279 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$69,830 | \$11,173 |
| NCHA cattle handler local expenses | \$28,462 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,779 | \$285 |
| NCHA equipment rental | \$16,039 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,002 | \$160 |
| NCHA signage, printing | \$28,900 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,806 | \$289 |
| NCHA hotel and motel expenditures | \$37,345 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$2,241 | \$3,361 |
| NCHA awards | \$236,454 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$14,778 | \$2,365 |
| NCHA meals | \$14,036 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$877 | \$140 |
| NCHA alcohol purchases | \$18,988 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1,187 | \$190 |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | \$12,161 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$760 | \$122 |
| Total eligible expenditures | \$22,235,356 |  |  | \$1,420,480 | \$400,527 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$1,420,480 |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | \$400,527 |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the NCHA World Championship Futurity to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than nine out of ten respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (Table 8)

Table 8. Where Respondents Live

|  |  |  | Out-of- <br>  <br> sontestants | Horse Sale <br> Participants | Texas <br> Vitate <br> Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vive in Fort Worth | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other Texas | $29.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other States | $66.4 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $2.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

People participating in events came from seven countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Germany, Venezuela, and the United States. Those from the United States came from 39 states.

The survey sample included people from ten countries -- Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, England, France, Germany, Italy, Venezuela, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. About three out of four people who attended the 2011 NCHA World Championship Futurity are members of NCHA and about nine out of 10 own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale <br> Paricipants | Out-of- <br> Vendors | Texas <br> Visters | Texas <br> Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| NCHA Member | $67.2 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ |
| Own Horses | $74.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | 56.5 | $85.4 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

People who attended the 2011 NCHA World Championship Futurity came to Texas an average of 5.05 times a year. They reported that 3.81 of those times were for NCHA events.

The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 1,871.817$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 243.243$ from out-of-state visitors, bringing the total for Fort Worth General Fund to $\$ 521,654$. (Table 10)

Table 10. Eligible Taxes Generated for Fort Worth General Fund

|  | Expenditures <br> by Texas <br> Visitors | Fort Worth <br> General <br> Fund Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Eligible for State Tax Rebates |  | $\$ 400,527$ |
| Texas Visitors: |  |  |
| Food | $\$ 297,179$ | $\$ 18,574$ |
| Alcoholic Drinks | $\$ 40,184$ | $\$ 884$ |
| Hotels/Motels | $\$ 77,201$ | $\$ 4,578$ |
| Sales Tax Expenditures | $\$ 1,619,444$ | $\$ 97,091$ |
| Totals | $\$ 2,034,008$ | $\$ 521,654$ |

Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred four (402) random interviews were conducted during the 2011 NCHA World Championship Futurity at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

The survey was designed and all interviews were supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduatc courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* Interviewers used hand-held computers to conduct the interviews. This ensured that all skip patterns were automatically made and eliminated the potential for entries which might result from entering the data from paper questionnaires.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendecs. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. 'This ensured that all of the people attending the 2011 NCHA World Championship Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this 20\% before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of four categories - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and
projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only threc-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.

All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.

## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi\# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \#: Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times Co $+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 1,091 Contestants (Co) $+1,446$ Sales (Sa) +198 Vendors (Ve) $=2,735 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ Determined by survey: $69.0 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $31.0 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi \# : 31.0\% :: 2,735: 69.0\%
Vi \# * $69.0 \%=848$
$\mathrm{Vi} \#=848 \div 69.0 \%$
Vi \# = 1,229 projected visitor respondent units
$67.5 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 829 visitors
$32.5 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 400 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Tcxas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in cach category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).
All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures.

## Survey <br> Questionnaire

## NCHA 2011 Futurity

## 1) Date

| O November 21 | O November 26 | O December 1 | O December 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O November 22 | O November 27 | O December 2 | O December 7 |
| O November 23 | O November 28 | O December 3 | O December 8 |
| O November 24 | O November 29 | O December 4 | O December 9 |
| O November 25 | O November 30 | O December 5 | O December 10 |

2) Time
OMoming OAfternoon O Evening
3) Location

| O Coliseum | O W.R. Watt Arena |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Amon Carter Exhibits | O John Justin Arena |

4) Gender
OMale O Female

Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Futurity and I'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Futurity?

| O Compeling in an event | O Trainer not riding in an event |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Own horse in an event but not riding | O Buying or selling horses |
| O Family member competing in an event | O Vendor |
| O Friend or employer conpeting | O Visitor |

6) How many people, including yourself, came to the Futurity with you?
$\qquad$
7) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?
O Yes ONo O Notsure
8) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?

9) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for each person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other places in Fort Worth?
$\qquad$
10) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
OYes ONo O Not sure
11) About how much, if anything, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?
$\qquad$
As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy cach one
during the Futurity during the Futurity.
12) Western wear, boots or other clothing.
O Yes ONo ONotsure
13) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?

14) Jewelry, belts and other accessories.
O Yes O No O Not sure
15) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?

16) Paintings or crafts.
OYes ONo O Notsure
17) How much will your group spend on paintings or crafts?
$\qquad$
18) Souvenirs.
OYes Ono ONotsure
19) How much will your group spend on souviners?

20) Horse trailer.
O Yes Ono O Notsure
21) How much will your group spend on horse trailers?

22) Tractors.
O Yes O No O Not sure
23) How much will your group spend on tractors?
$\qquad$
24) Trucks or other vehicles.
OYes ONo O Not sure
25) How much will your group spend on trucks or other vehicles?

26) Barns, sheds or stalls.
O Yes ONo ONotsure
27) How much will your group spend on barns, sheds or stalls?

28) Saddles
OYes Ono O Not sure
29) How much will your group spend on saddles?

30) Other tack.
OYes ONo O Not sure
31) How much will your group spend on other tack?

32) Photos or videos of the competition.

33) How much will your group spend on photos or videos?

34) Feed, hay, grooming items or medications for your horses.
O Yes ONo O Not sure
35) How much will your group spend on feed, hay, grooming items or medications?
$\qquad$
36) Alcoholic beverages at a store.
O Yes ONo ONotsure
37) How much will your group spend on alcoholic beverages from a store?

- 

38) Any other purchases with sales tax.
O Yes ONo ONotsure
39) How much will your group spend on other purchases?
$\underline{\square}$
40) Are you renting a car during the Futurity?
O Yes ONo O Not sure
41) How much will your group spend on car rentals?

42) Are you renting a golf cart during the Futurity?
OYes ONo O Not Sure
43) How many days will you be here for the Futurity?
$\qquad$
44) Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel?
OYes ONo O Notsure
45) How many nights will you be staying?

$$
\underline{\square}
$$

46) How many rooms are you using?

- 

47) Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms?
$\qquad$
48) Are you a member of the National Cutting Horse Association?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Not sure
49) Do you own horses?

50) What is your ZIP Code?
$\qquad$
51) Other country
$\qquad$
52) How many times a year do you come to Texas?
$\qquad$
53) How many of those times are for Cutling Horse events or activities?
$\square$
Thank you for your time and cooperation.

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Burcau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture


## Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)


## Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


## Exhibit E2

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of the <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2012 Super Stakes and Super Stakes Classic 


on the Fort Worth Economy and
Texas State Tax Revenues
Generated by the Show

May 2012

## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 22 days of the 2012 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes

5,678 visios
52,609 visior daps

## 



Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 514,350$.

## The Numbers . . .

The 2012 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes (March 24-April 14) generated Texas State Sales Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 514,350$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 8,029,543$. The sales were down from last year. This was primarily due to a dog show in the Amon Carter Exhibits Building. As a result, the trade show in the could not open until the sixth day of the event, a $22.7 \%$ reduction in days available for shopping.
These estimates are based on a survey of 409 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of State Sales Tax generated during the 22-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those local residents who were just at the show for the day and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, participating in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 779 Texas residents who did not compete in events, participate in the horse sale, or were not vendors.

The projections based on competitors are limited to the unduplicated number of contestants who did not share a last name and address as another competitor. A computer merge-and-purge program identified registered buyers and/or sellers who were at the horse sale but did not compete in the show.

The estimated average daily attendance during the 2012 Super Stakes is summarized in the following table.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Daily } \\ \text { Entries } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Total Entries | Show Staff | Competitors | Sales Staff | Buyers \& Sellers | Vendors | Visitors | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thurs., March 22 Move in |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Fri., March 23 Move in |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Sat., March 24 | 136 | 5.8\% | 30 | 2,013 |  |  |  | 142 | 2,185 |
| Sun., March 25 | 125 | 5.3\% | 30 | 1,850 |  |  |  | 142 | 2,022 |
| Mon., March 26 | 126 | 5.3\% | 30 | 1,865 |  |  |  | 142 | 2,037 |
| Tues., March 27 | 99 | 4.2\% | 30 | 1,465 |  |  | 31 | 250 | 1,776 |
| Wed., March 28 | 112 | 4.7\% | 30 | 1,658 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 2,011 |
| Thurs., March 29 | 96 | 4.1\% | 30 | 1,421 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,774 |
| Fri., March 30 | 121 | 5.1\% | 30 | 1,791 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 2,144 |
| Sat., March 31 | 104 | 4.4\% | 32 | 1,539 |  |  | 181 | 250 | 2,002 |
| Sun., April 1 | 127 | 5.4\% | 32 | 1,880 |  |  | 181 | 250 | 2,343 |
| Mon., April 2 | 124 | 5.3\% | 31 | 1,835 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 2,189 |
| Tues., April 3 | 118 | 5.0\% | 33 | 1,747 |  |  | 181 | 250 | 2,211 |
| Wed., April 4 | 126 | 5.3\% | 33 | 1,865 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 2,221 |
| Thurs., April 5 | 142 | 6.0\% | 33 | 2,102 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 2,458 |
| Fri., April 6 | 105 | 4.4\% | 30 | 1,554 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,907 |
| Sat., April 7 | 94 | 4.0\% | 32 | 1,391 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,746 |
| Sun., April 8 | 75 | 3.2\% | 31 | 1,110 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,464 |
| Mon., April 9 | 107 | 4.5\% | 31 | 1,584 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,938 |
| Tues., April 10 | 103 | 4.4\% | 33 | 1,524 |  |  | 181 | 142 | 1,880 |
| Wed., April 11 | 111 | 4.7\% | 30 | 1,643 |  | 1,548 | 181 | 142 | 3,544 |
| Thurs., April 12 | 74 | 3.1\% | 32 | 1,095 |  | 1,548 | 181 | 224 | 3,080 |
| Fri., April 13 | 95 | 4.0\% | 32 | 1,406 | 17 | 1,548 | 181 | 1,000 | 4,184 |
| Sat., April 14 | 41 | 1.7\% | 32 | 607 | 31 | 1,548 | 181 | 1,250 | 3,649 |
| Sun., April 15 Move out |  |  | 20 |  | 17 | 1,548 | 181 | 1,250 | 3,016 |
| Totals | 2361 |  | 747 | 34,945 |  | 7.740 | 3,470 | 6,854 | 53,756 |

The total number of people each day is higher than the 52,609 reported on the preceding page because the NCHA staff members and Bloodstock Horse Sale staff members were not included in the projections.

A projected 5,678 individuals attended the 2012 NCHA Super Stakes. (See Table 1) They came from 36 states and eight countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Venezuela, and the United States.

Table 1. People Attending 2011 Super Stakes

|  | Groups <br> in <br> Segment | Average <br> People <br> in <br> Group |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tolals |  |  |  |$|$| Contestants | 796 | 3.07 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Horse sale participants | 630 | 2.73 |
| Vendors | 98 | 1,720 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 162 | 3.55 |
| Total eligible | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 6}$ |  |
| Texas visitors | 314 | 2.48 |
| Grand totals | 2,000 |  |

This translates into 52,609 visitor days. (See Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2011 NCHA Super Stakes

|  | Total <br> People | Average <br> Days | Total <br> Visitor <br> Days |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 2,444 | 14.30 | 34,945 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,720 | 4.5 | 7,740 |
| Vendors | 161 | 19.1 | 3,070 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 575 | 4.2 | 2,415 |
| Total eligible | 4,899 |  | 48,170 |
| Texas visitors | 779 | 5.7 | 4,439 |
| Grand totals | 5,678 |  | 52,609 |

Texas visitors were not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, participants in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside Texas.
Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spend per person per day in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes on food and dining, excluding drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 (on the following page). It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Visitor <br>  <br>  | Average <br> per Person <br> Per Day | Minus <br> Total | Assumed <br> 20\% Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 34,945 | $\$ 58.19$ | $\$ 2,033,461$ | $\$ 1,626,769$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 7,740 | $\$ 80.79$ | $\$ 625,278$ | $\$ 500,223$ |
| Vendors | 3,070 | $\$ 29.08$ | $\$ 89,268$ | $\$ 71,415$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 2,415 | $\$ 57.92$ | $\$ 139,901$ | $\$ 111,921$ |
| Totals | 48,170 |  | $\$ 2,887,909$ | $\$ 2,310,327$ |
| Texas visitors | 4,439 | $\$ 33.33$ | $\$ 147,942$ | $\$ 118,354$ |
| Grand total | 52,609 |  | $\$ 3,035,851$ | $\$ 2,428,681$ |

Respondents also were asked how much they spent per group, on average, for alcoholic drinks with their meals. Average daily expenditures by respondents who said they have alcoholic drinks with their meals were multiplied by the number of groups purchasing alcoholic beverages with meals, and as with food, a 20 percent tip was assumed. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | Households | Days at Show | Total Segment Days | Percent Having Drinks | Group Days Having Alcohol | Average Cost Per Day | Spending on Drinks | Minus 20\% Gratuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 796 | 14.3 | 11,383 | 59.5\% | 6,773 | \$30.13 | \$204,063 | \$163,251 |
| Horse sale participants | 630 | 4.5 | 2,835 | 70.0\% | 1,985 | \$35.71 | \$70,866 | \$56,693 |
| Vendors | 98 | 19.1 | 1,872 | 30.0\% | 562 | \$16.09 | \$9,035 | \$7,228 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 162 | 4.2 | 680 | 50.0\% | 340 | \$27.50 | \$9,356 | \$7,484 |
| Totals | 1,686 |  | 16,770 |  | 9,659 |  | \$293,321 | \$234,656 |
| Texas visitors | - 314 | 5.7 | 1,790 | 39.7\% | 711 | \$22.68 | \$16,115 | \$12,892 |
| Grand total | 2,000 |  | 18,560 |  | 10,370 |  | \$309,436 | \$247,549 |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes. Those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. Results are shown in Table 5 on the following page.

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House- <br> holds | Percent <br> in <br> Motels | Number <br> in <br> Hotels | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Room <br> Rate | Total <br> Spending |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 796 | $33.7 \%$ | 268 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 4,507 | $\$ 113.61$ | $\$ 511,999$ |
| Horse sale participants | 630 | $45.0 \%$ | 284 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 774 | $\$ 128.67$ | $\$ 99,585$ |
| Vendors | 98 | $15.0 \%$ | 15 | 20.2 | 1.2 | 356 | $\$ 71.67$ | $\$ 25,538$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 162 | $62.5 \%$ | 101 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 477 | $\$ 126.53$ | $\$ 60,405$ |
| Total Eligible | 1,686 |  | 668 |  |  | 6,114 |  | $\$ 697,526$ |
| Texas visitors | 314 | $3.4 \%$ | 11 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 69 | $\$ 115.00$ | $\$ 7,980$ |
| Grand total | 2,000 |  | 678 |  |  | 6,184 |  | $\$ 705,506$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Super Stakes. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were intervicwed early in the 22-day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents they were not sure what they might buy, this was counted as $50 \%$. At no time did the interviewer prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, and trucks, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than $50-50$, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.

Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.

The other expenditures were calculated for each segment - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures. The projected expenditures by segment are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 796 | 630 | 98 | 162 | 1.686 | 314 |
| Westerm Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 71.1\% | 62.5\% | 45.0\% | 72.9\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 566 | 394 | 44 | 118 | 1.122 | 105 |
| Average Expenditure | \$835 | \$304 | \$507 | \$344 |  | \$1,035 |
| Total Expenditures | \$472,573 | \$119,700 | \$22,359 | \$40,626 | \$655,258 | \$108,222 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 39.3\% | 15.0\% | 32.5\% | 45.9\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 313 | 95 | 32 | 74 | 514 | 79 |
| Average Expenditure | \$592 | \$467 | \$165 | \$154 |  | \$247 |
| Total Expenditures | \$185,194 | \$44,132 | \$5,255 | \$11,451 | \$246,032 | \$19,390 |
| Paints, Crafts | 7.8\% | 10.0\% | 2.5\% | 18.8\% |  | 5.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 62 | 63 | 2 | 30 | 158 | 16 |
| Average Expenditure | \$625 | \$267 | \$200 | \$700 |  | \$1,042 |
| Total Expenditures | \$38,805 | \$16,821 | \$490 | \$21,319 | \$77,435 | \$16,359 |
| Souvenirs | 17.9\% | 10.0\% | 11.3\% | 37.5\% |  | 16.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 142 | 63 | 11 | 61 | 277 | 52 |
| Average Expenditure | \$210 | \$75 | \$48 | \$189 |  | \$112 |
| Total Expenditures | \$29,922 | \$4,725 | \$532 | \$11,482 | \$46,660 | \$5,873 |
| Horse Trailers | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |  | 2.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 30 |  |  | 13 | 44 | 6 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 15 |  |  | 7 | 22 | 3 |
| Average Expenditure | \$34,500 |  |  | \$13.500 |  | 29,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$521.778 |  |  | \$90,761 | \$521,778 | \$91,060 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$391,334 |  |  | \$68,070 | \$459,404 | \$22.765 |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 37 |  |  |  | 37 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 18 |  |  |  | 18 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$47,050 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$861,391 |  |  |  | \$861.391 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expendilures | \$646,044 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$646,044 | \$0 |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale Particlpants | Vendors | Out of State Visltors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings or Sheds | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| Average Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  | \$75,000 |
| Total Taxable Expenditures |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$200,175 |
| Saddles | 23.1\% | 32.5\% | 2.5\% | 18.8\% |  | 11.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 184 | 205 | 2 | 30 | 422 | 37 |
| Average Expenditure | \$3.615 | \$3,000 | \$3.800 | \$2,375 |  | \$4,714 |
| Total Expenditures | \$664.712 | \$614.250 | \$9,310 | \$72,333 | \$1,360,605 | \$173,183 |
| Other Tack | 62.9\% | 42.5\% | 5.0\% | 31.3\% |  | 28.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 501 | 268 | 5 | 51 | 824 | 89 |
| Average Expenditure | \$807 | \$800 | \$200 | \$175 |  | \$271 |
| Total Expenditures | \$404,052 | \$214,200 | \$980 | \$8,874 | \$628,106 | \$24,082 |
| Photos, Videos | 60.2\% | 15.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 15.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 479 | 95 | 3 |  |  | 47 |
| Average Expenditure | \$196 | \$150 | \$30 |  |  | \$144 |
| Total Expenditures | \$93,922 | \$14,175 | 576 |  | \$108,173 | \$122,425 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 34.3\% | 5.0\% | 22.5\% | 12.5\% |  | 15.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 273 | 32 | 22 | 20 | 347 | 47 |
| Average Expenditure | \$292 | \$30 | $\$ 93$ | \$73 |  | \$120 |
| Total Expenditures | \$79,724 | \$945 | \$2,051 | \$1,478 | \$84,198 | \$5,652 |
| Other Taxable fiems | 48.4\% | 20.0\% | 38.8\% | 25.0\% |  | 20.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 385 | 126 | 38 | 41 | 590 | 64 |
| Average Expenditure | \$606 | \$565 | \$306 | \$144 |  | \$847 |
| Total Expendltures | \$233,470 | \$71,190 | \$11,635 | \$5,832 | \$322,127 | \$53,989 |
| Rentalcar | 11.6\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 22.9\% |  | 6.7\% |
| Number renting | 92 | 32 | 4 | 37 |  | 21 |
| Average rental | \$763 | \$60 | \$300 | \$276 |  | \$419 |
| Total Expenditures | \$70,452 | \$1,890 | \$1,264 | \$10,239 | \$83,846 | \$8,815 |
| Golf Cart rental | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number renting | 26 |  |  |  | 26 |  |
| Average rental | \$439 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$11,532 |  |  |  | \$11,532 |  |
| Total All Expenditures | \$2,917,683 | \$887,828 | \$52,972 | \$242,830 | \$4,101,313 | \$736,847 |

Using these parameters, Table 7 summarizes direct expenditures eligible under Event Trust Fund.

Table 7: Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Eligible <br> Expenditures | Texas Tax <br> Rate | Worth <br> General <br> Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort <br> Worth <br> Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | $\$ 655,258$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,954$ | $\$ 6,553$ |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | $\$ 246,032$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,377$ | $\$ 2,460$ |
| Paintings and crafts | $\$ 77,435$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,840$ | $\$ 774$ |
| Souvenirs | $\$ 46,660$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,916$ | $\$ 467$ |
| Videos \& photographs | $\$ 108,173$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 6,761$ | $\$ 1,082$ |
| Saddles | $\$ 1,360,605$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 85,038$ | $\$ 13,606$ |
| Other tack | $\$ 628,106$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 39,257$ | $\$ 6,281$ |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | $\$ 2,310,327$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 144,395$ | $\$ 23,103$ |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | $\$ 234,656$ | $11.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 25,812$ | $\$ 3,520$ |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | $\$ 84,196$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 5,262$ | $\$ 842$ |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 697,526$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 41,852$ | $\$ 62,777$ |
| Car rental | $\$ 83,846$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 8,385$ | $\$ 838$ |
| Golf cart rental | $\$ 11,532$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 721$ | $\$ 115$ |
| Horse trailers | $\$ 459,404$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 28,713$ | $\$ 4,594$ |
| Trucks, other vehicles | $\$ 646,044$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,378$ | $\$ 8,460$ |
| Other items subject to sales tax | $\$ 180,445$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 11,278$ | $\$ 1,804$ |
| NCHA hotel/ motel expenditures | $\$ 17,075$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,025$ | $\$ 1,537$ |
| NCHA meals | $\$ 14,016$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 876$ | $\$ 140$ |
| NCHA alcohol expenditures | $\$ 604$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 38$ | $\$ 6$ |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | $\$ 167,603$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,475$ | $\$ 1,676$ |
| Total eligible expenditures | $\$ 8,029,543$ |  |  | $\$ 514,350$ | $\$ 138,637$ |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | $\$ 514,350$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | $\$ 138,637$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Economic Benefits

In addition to the sales tax revenue to the State of Texas, $\$ 138,637$ in tax revenues were generated for City of Fort Worth through sales and bed taxes. While none of these figures are included in the State of Texas Sales Tax revenues, they further demonstrate the importance of the NCHA Supcr Stakes to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

Much other expenditure not specifically measured in the survey, such as horse operations by people who live in Texas because of the NCHA Super Stakes and other Triple Crown events, also contribute even more tax revenues to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred (409) interviews were conducted among randomly selected adults attending the National Cutting Horse Association 2011 Super Stakes at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

All interviewing was supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Associate Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schaeffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:
\& Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.

* All interviews were included in the analysis, cven if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the show to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the NCHA Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of contestants, number of exclusive horse sale participants, and number of vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants/horse sale/vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ ) Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi \# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times Co+Sa+Ve \% = Vi \% times Co+Sa+Ve \#
Solve for $\mathrm{Vi} \#$
Known: 796 Contestants (Co) +630 Horse Sale(Sa ) +98 Vendors (Ve) $=1,524 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $76.3 \%$ contestants/horse sale/vendors; $23.7 \%$ visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi)
Vi \# : 23.7\% :: 1,524: 76.3\%
$\mathrm{Vi} \# * 76.3 \%=1,524 * 23.7 \%$
Vi\#* $76.3 \%=361$
Vi \# = $361 \div 76.3 \%$
Vi \# = 476 projected visitor respondent units
$34.0 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 162 visitors
$66.0 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 314 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## NCHA 2012 Super Stakes

## 1) Date

| O Marel: 26 | Onlirehza | Onaril 3 | Q April 7 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OMarch 27 | OAurch 31 | Capril 4 | Onpris 8 | O April 12 |
| OMurch 2 B | Ginpril | - April 5 | OApril 9 | OAmril 13 |
| OMurch 29 | OAprils | OAprilg | O. Arril 10 | OApril 1.1 |

2) Time
OMming OAlkmmas OEvening
3) Location

| O Coblisum | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| O Anion Carmer Exl |  |

4) Gender
OMale OFenale

Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Super Stakes and Id like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Super Stakes?

| O Compeling in an event | O Tminur not riding in un event |
| :---: | :---: |
| O Otan huns in an event tut not riding | O Buying or selling husis |
| O Paunily member compaing in an esent | O Vender |
| OFriend or umplayer con:suting | D VEitar |

6) How many days ago did you arrive here?

| 01 | 04 | 06 | 09 | 012 | 015 | 018 | 021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 02 | 04 | 07 | 011 | 013 | 016 | 019 | 022 |
| 03 | 05 | 08 | 011 | 014 | 017 | 020 |  |


| Page 1 of 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pagelors | NHHA 2012 Supher Stakes <br>  | Apr 22, 2012 |

7) How many people, including yourself, came to the Super Stakes with you?
8) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?
OYes Ono ONussute
9) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?
10) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for cach person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcololic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and al any other places in Fort Worth?
11) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
O Yes
ONO
O Notsure
12) About how much, if anything, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?

As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy each one during the Super Stakes.
13) Westem wear, boots or other clothing.
OYes ONo O Notsure
14) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?
$\qquad$
15) Jewelry, belts and other accessories.

16) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?
$\qquad$
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17) Paintings or crafts,

18) How much will your group spend on paintings or orafts?

19) Souvenirs

20) How much will your group spend on souviners?
$\qquad$
21) Horse trailer

22) How much will your group spend on horse trailers?
$\qquad$
23) Tractors.
Ores ONB ONutare
24) How much will your group spend on tractors?
$\qquad$
25) Trucks or other vehicles.
O Yes ONo O Notsure
26) How much will your group spend on trucks or other vehicles?
$\qquad$
27) Barns, sheds or stalls.
OYes DNO ONatsare
28) How much will your group spend on barns, sheds or stalls?

29) Saddles
DVes ONin ONatsire
30) How much will your group spend on saddles?
$\qquad$
31) Other tack.
OYes ONO ONDSture
32) How much will your group spend on other tack?
$\qquad$
33) Photos or videos of the competition.
OYes ONO ONolsure
34) How much will your group spend on photos or videos?
$\qquad$
35) Alcoholic beverages at a store.
OYes ONo ONotsure
36) How much will your group spend on alcoholic beverages from a store?
$\qquad$
37) Any other purchases with sales tax.
OYes ONo ONinsure
38) How much will your group spend on other purchases?
$\qquad$
39) Are you renting a car during the Super Stakes?
OVES ONo ONosurie
40) How much will your group spend on car rentals?
$\qquad$
41) Are you renting a golf cart?

42) How much will your group spend on golf carts during the show?
43) How many days will you be here for the Super Stakes?
44) Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel?
O \% O Nous sure
45) How many nights will you be staying?
$\square$
46) How many rooms are you using?
47) Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms?
48) Are you a member of the National Cutting Horse Association?
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No} \quad \square \mathrm{Notsure}$
49) Do you own horses?
$\square$ Yes CNiu $\square$ Notsure

50) What state do you live in?

| OAdarinn | Olndinua | O Nehrask |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Alabkn | O lowa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nellrask } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | O South Cundiar <br> O Soiuth Dakgit |
| O Arizona | OKunsm | DMew Hampshire | 0 Ternessie |
| O Arkungus | ORenlueky | ONen Jersey | OTexas |
| - Califomis <br> DCalerada | OLouisiana | O Now Matito | O Uuh |
| - Connectiva | O Maine | O New York | O Varmont |
| O Delcwars | OMarjuzd | O Nurth Curnlina | 0 Virginia |
| Oriorida | OMichiyar | O North Dnkota | 0 Wishtingtun |
| O Gcorgia | OMinnesotas | O Owio | O Wert Virginia |
| O llawaii | O Mississippi |  | OWhisconsin |
| Oldaho | Omissouri | Opernasyvanis | O Wyeming |
| Ollinois | O Montanu | ORhole Estand | O Other Country |

51) Other country (Write in Country - NOT postal codel)

Thark you for your time and cooperation.

| Page 6 ora |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pactord | ALITA2012 Super States <br>  | Apr 22, 2012 |

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept intervicwing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Burcau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous cconomic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association, the American Paint Horse Association, the American Miniature Horse Association, and the Appaloosa Horse Club have been ccrtified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the Ncw York City arca and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J.C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.

Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.
Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- Fine Line Investments (Bass)
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- The City of Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Busincss Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club

Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Forl Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital

Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Fedcral Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Tclephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Fedcral Credit Union
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## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 19 days of the 2011 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular

7,736 visitors
61,333 visitor days
\$6,180,912 direct texpendiutres subject to eligible Texas taves $^{\text {a }}$
$\$ 400,890$ eligible Sute Texere generated by dirrect expenditures
Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 400,890$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2011 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular July 12-30 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 400,890$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 6,180,912$.
These estimates are based on a survey of 404 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 19-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. The interviewing was conducted using hand-held computers. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 1,376 Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 7,736 individuals attended the 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular. (Table 1)
Table 1. People Attending 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  | Average <br> Groups <br> Grope in | Total People |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 914 | 3.78 | 3,455 |
| Horse Sale | 868 | 2.38 | 2,066 |
| Vendors | 97 | 1.44 | 140 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 204 | 3.43 | 700 |
| Totals | 2,083 |  | 6,360 |
| Texas visitors | 578 | 2.38 | 1,376 |
| Totals | 2,661 |  | 7,736 |

This translates into 61,333 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  | Total People | Average <br> Days | Total Visitor <br> Days |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 3,455 | 12.44 | 42,980 |
| Horse Sale | 2,066 | 3.58 | 7,396 |
| Vendors | 140 | 17.39 | 2,429 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 700 | 5.46 | 3,822 |
| Totals | 6,361 |  | 56,628 |
| Texas visitors | 1376 | 3.42 | 4,706 |
| Totals | 7,737 |  | 61,333 |

The 4,706 Texas visitor days are not included in the cconomic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular on food and dining. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 on the following page. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/ food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Visitor Days | Average per Person Per Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 42,980 | \$45.75 | \$1,966,335 | \$1,573,068 |
| Horse Sale Participants | 7,396 | \$55.14 | \$407,815 | \$326,252 |
| Vendors | 2,429 | \$22.34 | \$54,264 | \$43,411 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 3,822 | \$41.83 | \$159,874 | \$127,899 |
| Totals | 56,627 |  | \$2,588,289 | \$2,070,631 |
| Texas visitors | 4,706 | \$38.28 | \$180,146 | \$144,117 |
| Grand total | 61,333 |  | \$2,768,434 | \$2,214,747 |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | Households | Days at Show | Total Segment Days | Percent Having Drinks | Group Days Having Alcohol | Average Cost Per Day | Spending on Drinks | Minus 20\% Gratuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibitors | 914 | 12.44 | 11,370 | 54.3\% | 6,174 | \$23.84 | \$147,188 | \$117,750 |
| Horse Sale Participants | 868 | 3.58 | 3,107 | 62.5\% | 1,942 | \$19.77 | \$38,396 | \$30,717 |
| Vendors | 97 | 17.39 | 1,687 | 25.0\% | 422 | \$36.36 | \$15,333 | \$12,267 |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 204 | 5.46 | 1,114 | 51.2\% | 570 | \$27.94 | \$15,934 | \$12,747 |
| Total Eligible | 2,083 |  | 17,278 |  | 9,108 |  | \$216,851 | \$173,481 |
| Texas Visitors | 578 | 3.42 | 1,977 | 36.0\% | 712 | \$33.00 | \$23,484 | \$18,787 |
| Grand total | 2,661 |  | 19,255 |  | 9,820 |  | \$240,335 | \$192,268 |
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Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | Households | Percent in Motels | Number | Average Nights | Average Rooms | Room Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 914 | 33.7\% | 308 | 9.85 | 1.74 | 5,279 | \$113.89 | \$601,239 |
| Horse sale participants | 868 | 47.4\% | 411 | 5.78 | 1.22 | 2,901 | \$128.44 | \$372,637 |
| Vendors | 97 | 9.1\% | 9 | 14.00 | 1.00 | 124 | \$79.00 | \$9,763 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 204 | 41.2\% | 84 | 6.00 | 1.44 | 726 | \$126.43 | \$91,810 |
| Total Eligible | 2,083 |  | 812 |  |  | 9,030 |  | \$1,075,449 |
| Texas visitors | 578 | 25.9\% | 150 | 2.53 | 1.14 | 432 | \$110.57 | \$47,741 |
| Grand total | 2,661 |  | 962 |  |  | 9,462 |  | \$1,123,190 |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Summer Spectacular. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.

To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buycrs/sellers, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 1,993 distinct eligible household purchasing units (excluding 408 households in Texas).

Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed carly in the 19-day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.
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Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures. Using these parameters, Table 6 summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visilors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 914 | 868 | 97 | 204 | 2,083 | 578 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 55.5\% | 55.0\% | 31.0\% | 56.1\% |  | 29.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 507 | 477 | 30 | 114 | 1.129 | 170 |
| Average Expenditure | \$787 | \$329 | \$292 | 5664 |  | S218. |
| Total Expenditures | \$399,221 | \$157,065 | \$8,780 | \$75,991 | \$641,057 | S54130 |
| Jewelry. Belts, Accessories | 32.9\% | 27.5\% | 11.6\% | 34.2\% |  | 19.99\% |
| Number Purchasing | 300 | 239 | 11 | 70 | 620 | 115 |
| Average Expenditure | \$317 | $\$ 111$ | \$102 | \$342 |  | 5151 |
| Total Expenditures | \$95,179 | \$26,496 | \$1,148 | \$23,861 | \$146,683 | \$17.368 |
| Paints, Crafts | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% |  | 12\% |
| Number Purchasing | 37 | 22 |  | 18 | 77 | 8 |
| Average Expenditure | \$167 | \$267 |  | \$318 |  | spon |
| Total Expenditures | \$6,106 | \$5,794 | 50 | \$5,806 | \$17,705 | Stenx |
| Souvenirs | 9.8\% | 10.0\% | 2.3\% | 26.9\% |  | 720\% |
| Number Purchasing | 89 | 87 | 2 | 55 | 233 | A5. |
| Average Expenditure | \$237 | \$60 | \$20 | 580 |  | 58. |
| Total Expenditures | \$21,120 | \$5,208 | \$45 | \$4,382 | \$30,755 | \$2892. |
| Horse Trailers | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 26\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 32 |  |  |  | 32 | 15 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  | 500\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 8 |
| Average Expenditure | \$16,667 |  |  |  |  | 18.500 |
| Total Expenditures | \$266,589 |  |  |  | \$266,589 | \$123981 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  | 250\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$199,941 |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$199,941 | S92.989 |
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Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 914 | 868 | 97 | 204 | 2,083 | 578 |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.5\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 9 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 4 |
| Average Expenditure | \$46.333 |  |  |  |  | \$60,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$370,548 |  |  |  | \$370.548 | \$260,100 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$277,911 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$277,911 | \$195,075 |
| Saddles | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% |  | 2.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 158 |  |  | 30 | 187 | 15 |
| Avereage Expenditure | \$2,743 |  |  | \$2.835 |  | \$4,500 |
| Total Expenditures | \$432,475 | \$0 | \$0 | \$84,438 | \$516,913 | \$67,626 |
| Other Tack | 43.5\% | 42.5\% | 2.1\% | 48.8\% |  | 24.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 398 | 369 | 2 | 100 | 298 | 141 |
| Average Expenditure | \$633 | \$221 | \$110 | \$294 |  | \$157 |
| Total Expenditures | \$251,674 | \$81,527 | \$224 | \$29,268 | \$362,694 | \$22,142 |
| Photos, Videos | 44.5\% | 5.0\% | 2.3\% | 8.5\% |  | 4.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 407 | 43 | 2 | 17 |  | 26 |
| Average Expenditure | \$153 | \$100 | \$100 | \$133 |  | \$. 162 |
| Total Expenditures | \$62,230 | \$4,340 | \$223 | \$2,306 | \$69,099 | \$75,968 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 10.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% |  | 6.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 96 | 22 |  | 20 | 138 | 37 |
| Average Expenditure | \$248 | \$20 |  | \$113 |  | \$48 |
| Total Expenditures | \$23,801 | \$434 | \$0 | \$2,259 | \$26,494 | \$1,776 |
| Other Taxable ltems | 35.3\% | 25.0\% | 29.6\% | 31.7\% |  | 20.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 323 | 217 | 29 | 65 | 633 | 120 |
| Average Expenditure | \$656 | \$69 | \$318 | \$322 |  | \$181 |
| Total Expenditures | \$211,653 | \$14,973 | \$9,115 | \$20,823 | \$256,564 | \$21,761 |
| Rental car | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 39.0\% |  | 2,6\% |
| Number renting | 89 |  | 2 | 80 |  | 15 |
| Average rental | \$538 |  | \$85 | \$459 |  | \$250 |
| Total Expenditures | \$47,944 | \$0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 190 \end{gathered}$ | \$36,518 | \$84,652 | \$3,757 |
| Total All Expenditures | \$1,777,581 | \$214,309 | \$19,500 | \$256,384 | \$2,267,774 | \$534,843 |
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The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected <br> Direct <br> Expenditures | Texas Tax <br> Rate | Worth <br> Weneral <br> Fund | Eligible <br> Texas <br> Taxes | Fort Worth <br> Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | $\$ 641,057$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,066$ | $\$ 6,411$ |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | $\$ 146,683$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 9,168$ | $\$ 1,467$ |
| Paintings and crafts | $\$ 17,706$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,107$ | $\$ 177$ |
| Souvenirs | $\$ 30,755$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,922$ | $\$ 308$ |
| Horse trailers | $\$ 199,941$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 12,496$ | $\$ 1,999$ |
| Trucks, other vehicles | $\$ 277,911$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 17,369$ | $\$ 2,779$ |
| Saddles | $\$ 516,913$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 32,307$ | $\$ 5,169$ |
| Other tack | $\$ 362,694$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 22,668$ | $\$ 3,627$ |
| Videos \& photographs | $\$ 69,099$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,319$ | $\$ 691$ |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | $\$ 26,494$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,656$ | $\$ 265$ |
| Other items subject to sales tax | $\$ 256,564$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 16,035$ | $\$ 2,566$ |
| Car rental | $\$ 84,652$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 8,465$ | $\$ 847$ |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 1,075,449$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 64,527$ | $\$ 96,790$ |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | $\$ 2,070,631$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 129,414$ | $\$ 20,706$ |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | $\$ 173,481$ | $11.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 19,083$ | $\$ 2,602$ |
| NCHA hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 30,884$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,853$ | $\$ 2,780$ |
| NCHA awards | $\$ 158,232$ | $10.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,823$ | $\$ 1,582$ |
| NCHA meals | $\$ 8,706$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 544$ | $\$ 87$ |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | $\$ 33,060$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,066$ | $\$ 331$ |
| Total eligible expenditures | $\$ 6,180,912$ |  |  | $\$ 400,890$ | $\$ 151,183$ |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | $\$ 400,890$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | $\$ 151,183$ |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the NCHA Summer Spectacular to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than four out of five respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (Sce Table 8 on the following page)

Table 8. Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Competitors | Horse <br> Sale | Vendors | Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | $3.5 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Live in Other Texas | $59.7 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| Live Outside of Texas | $34.3 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |

The 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular is indeed an international event. The registered people who participated represented five countries -Brazil, Canada, Italy, Venezuela, and the United States - and 31 states. Survey respondents camc from five countries - Austria, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Switzerland, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Competitors | Horse <br> Sale | Vendors | Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NCHA member | $86.1 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ |
| Own horses | $86.1 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 745,488$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 151,183$ eligible expenditures
Other cconomic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.

Visitors from outside of Texas who came to the 2011 Summer Spectacular visit the state an average of 7.61 times a year, and an average of 6.84 of those visits are because of NCHA activities.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred four (404) random interviews were conducted during the 2011 NCHA Summer Spectacular at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
The survey was designed and supervised by Dr. Gcrald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schicffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews werc included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchascs, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of adult+youth contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#)

## Dctermined in Survey:

Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \%)
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi\# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 914 Contestants (Co) +868 Sales (Sa) +97 Vendors (Ve) $=1,879 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ Determined by survey: $70.6 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $29.4 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi\# : $29.4 \%$ :: 1,879: 70.6\%
Vi \# * $70.6 \%=552$
Vi $\#=416 \div 70.6 \%$
Vi \# 782 projected visitor respondent units
$26.1 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 204 visitors
$73.9 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 578 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Avcrage (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in cach category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are lower than findings from economic impact studies prior to 2008 for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.
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## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Burcau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Finc Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the Amcrican Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City arca and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paini Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture


## Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)


## Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital

Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Tcachers Federal Credit Union


# Exhibit F 

## 2010-2011 Triple Crown

F1 - 2010 Futurity
F2 - 2011 Super Stakes
F3 - 2010 Summer Spectacular

Exhibit F1

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2010 World Championship Futurity on the Fort Worth Economy and <br> <br> Texas State Tax Revenues <br> <br> Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

 Generated by the Show}

January 2011

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research

# Survey Findings 

## The Bottom Line...

## 21 days of the 2010 National Cutting Horse Association World Championship Futurity

## 11,435 visiors

## $91,8699_{\text {vistor r days }}$

## \$13,198,982 direct expenditures subject to eligible Texas taxes

 $\$ 861,976$ eligible State Taxes generated by direct expendituresTherefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 861,976$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2010 World Championship Futurity November 21 -December 11 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under Events Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 861,976$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 13,198,982$.

These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 21 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 11,435 individuals attended the 2009 NCHA Futurity. (See Table 1 on the following page)

Table 1. People Attending 2010 NCHA Futurity

|  | Groups in <br> Segment | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 973 | 3.58 | 3,483 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,245 | 2.73 | 3,399 |
| Vendors | 192 | 1.64 | 315 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 609 | 3.55 | 2,162 |
| Total eligible | 3,019 |  | 9,359 |
| Texas visitors | 837 | 2.48 | 2,076 |
| Grand totals | 3,856 |  | 11,435 |

This translates into 91,869 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2009 NCHA Futurity

|  | People in <br> Segment | Average <br> Days at <br> Show | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 3,483 | 13.60 | 47,369 |
| Horse sale participants | 3,399 | 5.97 | 20,292 |
| Vendors | 315 | 18.09 | 5,698 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 2,162 | 4.98 | 10,767 |
| Total eligible | 9,359 |  | 84,126 |
| Texas visitors | 2,076 | 3.73 | 7,743 |
| Grand totals | 11,435 |  | 91,869 |

The 7,743 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity/World Show on food and dining, excluding alcoholic drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 on the following page. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips. (See Table 3 on the following page)

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Visitor Days | Average per Person Per Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 47,369 | \$52.16 | \$2,470,767 | \$1,976,614 |
| Horse Sale participants | 20,292 | \$72.03 | \$1,461,633 | \$1,169,306 |
| Vendors | 5,698 | \$36.32 | \$206,951 | \$165,561 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 10,767 | \$73.79 | \$794,497 | \$635,598 |
| Total eligible | 84,126 |  | \$4,933,848 | \$3,947,078 |
| Texas visitors | 7,743 | \$41.34 | \$320,096 | \$256,076 |
| Grand total | 91,869 |  | \$5,253,944 | \$4,203,155 |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures on Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | Cays at <br>  | Total <br> Segment <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Group Days <br> Having <br> Alcohol | Average <br> Cost Per <br> Day | Spending <br> On Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratulty |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exhibitors | 974 | 13.6 | 13,246 | $61.3 \%$ | 8,120 | $\$ 44.83$ | $\$ 364,022$ | $\$ 291,217$ |
| Horse sale participants | 1245 | 5.97 | 7,433 | $70.6 \%$ | 5,247 | $\$ 45.59$ | $\$ 239,231$ | $\$ 191,385$ |
| Vendors | 192 | 18.09 | 3,473 | $46.2 \%$ | 1,605 | $\$ 28.75$ | $\$ 46,134$ | $\$ 36,907$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 609 | 4.98 | 3,033 | $60.0 \%$ | 1,820 | $\$ 30.81$ | $\$ 56,065$ | $\$ 44,852$ |
| Total eligible | 3,020 |  | 27,185 |  | 16,792 |  | $\$ 705,451$ | $\$ 564,361$ |
| Texas visitors | 837 | 3.73 | 3,122 | $36.7 \%$ | 1,146 | $\$ 32.50$ | $\$ 37,238$ | $\$ 29,790$ |
| Grand total | 3,857 |  | 30,307 |  | 17,938 |  | $\$ 742,689$ | $\$ 594,151$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | Groups | Percent in Motels | Number | Average Nights | Average Rooms | Room Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibitors | 974 | 40.4\% | 393 | 9.17 | 1.42 | 5,124 | \$103.45 | \$530,064 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,245 | 46.9\% | 584 | 4.33 | 1.53 | 3,868 | \$115.38 | \$446,326 |
| Vendors | 192 | 24.4\% | 47 | 18.09 | 1.27 | 1,076 | \$ 92.67 | \$99,741 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 609 | 70.8\% | 431 | 4.15 | 1.32 | 2,362 | \$102.91 | \$243,069 |
| Total Eligible | 3,020 |  | 1,455 |  |  | 12,430 |  | \$1,319,200 |
| Texas visitors | 837 | 16.7\% | 140 | 2.55 | 1.18 | 421 | \$100.73 | \$42,367 |
| Grand total | 3,857 |  | 1,595 |  |  | 12,851 |  | \$1,361,567 |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA World Championship Futurity. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 3,020 distinct household purchasing units (excluding 837 visitors who live in Texas).
Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 21 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.
If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than $50-50$, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded. None of the respondents reported purchasing a tractor during the show.
Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment - contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.
Only $50 \%$ of the sales tax collected on buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible.
Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following pages summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Events Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 973 | 1.245 | 192 | 609 | 3,019 | 837 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 68.4\% | 75.0\% | 46.7\% | 75.0\% |  | 59.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 666 | 934 | 90 | 457 | 2,146 | 495 |
| Average Expenditure | \$742 | \$791 | \$664 | \$802 |  | \$439 |
| Total Expenditures | \$493,825 | \$738,596 | \$59,537 | \$366,314 | \$1,658,271 | \$217,159 |
| Jewelry. Belts, Accessories | 36.4\% | 43.8\% | 26.7\% | 354\% |  | 37.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 354 | 545 | 51 | 216 | 1,166 | 317 |
| Average Expenditure | \$461 | \$282 | \$683 | \$684 |  | \$401 |
| Total Expenditures | \$163,273 | \$153,777 | \$35,013 | \$147,461 | \$499,525 | \$127,206 |
| Paints, Crafts | 15.3\% | 9.4\% | 13.3\% | 12.5\% |  | 45\% |
| Number Purchasing. | 149 | 117 | 26 | 76 | 368 | 38 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 411$ | \$267 | \$280 | \$200 |  | \$262 |
| Total Expenditures | \$61,185 | \$31,247 | \$7,150 | \$15,225 | \$114,807 | \$9,868 |
| Souvenirs | 30.6\% | 18.8\% | 13.3\% | 35.4\% |  | 182\% |
| Number Purchasing | 298 | 234 | 26 | 216 | 773 | 152 |
| Average Expenditure | \$180 | \$108 | \$112 | \$23.1 |  | \$79 |
| Total Expenditures | \$53,593 | \$25,278 | \$2,860 | \$49,800 | \$131,532 | \$12,034 |
| Horse Trailers | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 33 |  | 4 |  | 37 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 17 |  | 2 |  | 19 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$34,571 |  | \$180.000 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$571,839 |  | \$380,160 |  | \$951,999 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$428,879 |  | \$285,120 | \$0 | \$713,989 | \$0 |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.5\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 37 |  | 4 |  | 41 | 13 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 18 |  | 2 |  | 21 | 6 |
| Average Expenditure | \$48,000 |  | \$18.000 |  |  | \$60,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$887,376 |  | \$38,016 |  | \$887,376 | \$376.650 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$665,532 | \$0 | \$28,512 | \$0 | \$694,044 | \$282,488 |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 973 | 1,245 | 192 | 609 | 3.019 | 837 |
| Buildings or Sheds | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.5\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 10 |  | 4 |  | 14 | 13 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 5 |  | 2 |  | 7 | 6 |
| Average Expenditure | \$8,250 |  | \$5.000 |  |  | \$55,000 |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$40,136 |  | \$10,560 | \$0 | \$50,696 | \$345,263 |
| Saddles | 18.8\% | 21.9\% | 2.2\% | 6.3\% |  | 6.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 183 | 273 | 4 | 38 | 498 | 51 |
| Average Expenditure | \$3,418 | \$3,271 | \$1.500 | \$4,333 |  | \$7,375 |
| Total Expenditures | \$625,234 | \$891,855 | \$6,336 | \$166,244 | \$1,689,668 | \$376,545 |
| Other Tack | 54.1\% | 50.0\% | 11.1\% | 47.9\% |  | 25.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 526 | 623 | 21 | 292 | 298 | 216 |
| Average Expenditure | \$602 | \$431 | \$190 | \$528 |  | \$500 |
| Total Expendlitures | \$316,889 | \$268,298 | \$4,049 | \$154,023 | \$743,25.9 | \$107,973 |
| Photos, Videos | 46.6\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |  | 7.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 453 | 78 |  | 51 |  | 64 |
| Average Expenditure | \$160 | \$150 |  | \$330 |  | \$110 |
| Total Expenditures | \$72,547 | \$11,765 | \$0 | \$16,681 | \$100,993 | \$129,438 |
| Feed, Hay, Horse Supplies | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% |  | 4.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 159 |  | 4 | 13 |  | 38 |
| Average Expenditure | \$309 |  | \$300 | \$350 |  | \$175 |
| Total Expenditures | \$49,007 |  | \$1,267 | \$4,476 |  | \$6,591 |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 17.2\% | 12.5\% | 37.8\% | 20.8\% |  | 6.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 167 | 156 | 73 | 127 | 522 | 51 |
| Average Expenditure | \$133 | \$50 | \$175 | \$42 |  | \$56 |
| Total Expenditures | \$22,258 | \$7,781 | \$12,701 | \$5,320 | \$48,061 | \$2,859 |
| Other Taxable Items | 41.3\% | 37.5\% | 55.6\% | 43.8\% |  | 31.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 402 | 467 | 107 | 267 | 1,242 | 266 |
| Average Expenditure | \$455 | \$325 | \$251 | \$424 |  | \$153 |
| Total Expenditures | \$182,841 | \$151,734 | \$26,795 | \$113,099 | \$474,469 | \$40,723 |
| Rental car | 13.4\% | 25.0\% | 6.7\% | 37.5\% |  | 0,0\% |
| Number renting | 130 | 311 | 13 | 228 |  |  |
| Average rental | \$611 | \$275 | \$793 | \$406 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$79,663 | \$85,594 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 10,201 \end{aligned}$ | \$92,720 | \$268,179 | \$0 |
| Total All Expenditures | \$2,888,968 | \$2,097,628 | \$484,785 | \$972,863 | \$6,444,244 | \$1,543,584 |

The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2010 NCHA World Championship Futurity are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures


The importance of the NCHA National Championship Futurity to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than nine out of ten respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (Sce Table 8 on the following page)

Table 8. Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Contestanis | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out-of- <br> state <br> Visitors | Texas Vistors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% |
| Live in Other Texas | 42.9\% | 50.0\% | 57.8\% | 0.0\% | 77.3\% |
| Live in Other States | 48.1\% | 40.6\% | 26.7\% | 79.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Live in Other Countries | 7.6\% | 9.4\% | 2.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% |

People participating in events came from five countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Venezuela, and the United States. Those from the United States represent 35 states.
The survey sample included people from eight countries -- Australia, Brazil, Canada, England, Holland, Italy, Switzerland, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. About three out of four people who attended the 2010 NCHA World Championship Futurity are members of NCHA and about nine out of 10 own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale <br> Participants | Vendors | Out-of. <br> state <br> Visitors | Texas <br> Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| NCHA Member | $87.6 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ |
| Own Horses | $95.2 \%$ | $96.9 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

People who attended the 2010 NCHIA World Championship Futurity came to Texas an average of 5.69 times a year. They reported that 3.33 of those times were for NCHA events.
The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 1,871.817$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 243.243$ from out-of-state visitors, bringing the total for Fort Worth General Fund to $\$ 348,059$. (Table 10)

Table 10. Eligible Taxes Generated for Fort Worth General Fund

|  |  | Fort Worth <br> General <br> Fund Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Eligible for State Tax Rebates |  | $\$ 243,243$ |
| Eexas Visitors: |  |  |
| Food | $\$ 256,076$ | $\$ 2,263$ |
| Alcoholic Drinks | $\$ 29,790$ | $\$ 884$ |
| Hotels/Motels | $\$ 42,367$ | $\$ 4,578$ |
| Sales Tax Expenditures | $\$ 1,543,584$ | $\$ 97,091$ |
| Totals | $\$ 1,871,817$ | $\$ 348,059$ |

Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred four (402) random interviews were conducted during the 2010 NCHA World Championship Futurity at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

The survey was designed and all intervicws were supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* Interviewers used hand-held computers to conduct the interviews. This ensured that all skip patterns were automatically made and eliminated the potential for entries which might result from entering the data from paper questionnaires.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the 2010 NCHA World Championship Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of four categories contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented overrepresentation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than 50-50, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi\#:Vi\% :: Co+Sa+Ve \#: Co+Sa+Ve \%
$\mathrm{Vi} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 973 Contestants (Co) $+1,245$ Sales (Sa) +192 Vendors (Ve) $=3,019 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $65.8 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $34.2 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi\# : 32.4\% :: 3,019 : 67.6\%
Vi \# * $67.6 \%=9,782$
Vi \# $=9,782 \div 67.6 \%$
Vi \# $=1,446$ projected visitor respondent units
$42.1 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 609 visitors
$57.9 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 837visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures.

## Survey <br> Questionnaire

## NCHA 2010 Futurity

1) Date

| O November 21 | O November 27 | O December 3 | O December 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O November 22 | O November 28 | O December 4 | O December 10 |
| O November 23 | O November 29 | O December 5 | O December 11 |
| O November 24 | O November 30 | O December 6 |  |
| O November 25 | O December 1 | O December 7 |  |
| O November 26 | O December 2 | O December 8 |  |

2) Time

O Morning OAfternoon O Evening
3) Location

| O Coliseum | O W.R. Watt Arena |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Amon Carter Exhibits | O John Justin Arena |

4) GenderFemale

Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Futurity and I'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) First, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Futurity?

| O Competing in an event | O Trainer not riding in an event |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Own horse in an event but not riding | O Buying or selling horses |
| O Family member competing in an event | O Vendor |
| O Friend or employer competing | O Visitor |

6) How many people, including yourself, came to the Futurity with you?
$\qquad$
7) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
8) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?
9) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for each person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other places in Fort Worth?

10) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
11) About how much, if anything, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?


As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyone else in your group might buy each one during the Futurity.
12) Western wear, boots or other clothing.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
13) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?
$\qquad$
14) Jewelry, belts and other accessories.
O Yes
ONo
O Not sure
15) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?
$\qquad$
16) Paintings or crafts.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
17) How much will your group spend on paintings or crafts?
$\qquad$
18) Souvenirs.Yes
O No
O Not sure
19) How much will your group spend on souviners?

20) Horse trailer.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
21) How much will your group spend on horse trailers?

22) Tractors.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
23) How much will your group spend on tractors?
$\qquad$
24) Trucks or other vehicles.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
25) How much will your group spend on trucks or other vehicles?

26) Barns, sheds or stalls.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
27) How much will your group spend on barns, sheds or stalls?
$\qquad$
28) Saddles
Yes
O No
O Not sure
29) How much will your group spend on saddles?
$\qquad$
30) Other tack.
O Yes
ONo
O Not sure
31) How much will your group spend on other tack?

32) Photos or videos of the competition.Yes
O No
O Not sure
33) How much will your group spend on photos or videos?
34) Feed, hay, grooming items or medications for your horses.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
35) How much will your group spend on feed, hay, grooming items or medications?

36) Alcoholic beverages at a store.
O Yes
O No
Not sure
37) How much will your group spend on alcoholic beverages from a store?
$\qquad$
38) Any other purchases with sales tax.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
39) How much will your group spend on other purchases?

40) Are you renting a car during the Futurity?
O Yes
ONo
O Not sure
41) How much will your group spend on car rentals?

42) How many days will you be here for the Futurity?
$\qquad$
43) Are you staying in a Fort Worth hotel or motel?
O Yes
ONo
O Not sure
44) How many nights will you be staying?
$\square$
45) How many rooms are you using?
$=$
46) Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms?

47) Are you a member of the National Cutting Horse Association?
YesNo
$\square$ Not sure
48) Do you own horses?
$\square$ Yes
$\square$ No
$\square$ Not sure
49) What is your ZIP Code?

50) Other country
51) How many times a year do you come to Texas?

52) How many of those times are for Cutting Horse events or activities?
$\square$
Thank you for your time and cooperation.

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Mcdia Research
Division. He conducted many major rescarch and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in rescarch theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.

Dr. Grotta has been invitcd twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Ilart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)

Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- Amcrican Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)


## Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telcphone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union
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# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2011 Super Stakes <br> on the Fort Worth Economy <br> and <br> Texas State Tax Revenues <br> Generated by the Show 

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research
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## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line. . .

## 20 days of the 2011 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes

## 6,919 visiors

50,690 visior days

## $\$ 10,215,893$ direct expenditures subject to e elighble Texas Suate taxes

$\$ 660,185_{\text {eligible }}$ State Sales and Sed Taxes generated dy direct txpenditures
Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 660,185$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2011 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes (March 28-April 16) generated Texas State Sales Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 660,185$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 10,215,893$. Even though the 2011 Super Stakes was two days shorter than the 2010 event, eligible expenditures were about the same.

These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of State Sales Tax gencrated during the 20 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those local residents who were just at the show for the day and did not make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, participating in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 415 Texas residents who did not compete in events, participate in the horse sale, or were not vendors.

The projections based on competitors are limited to the unduplicated number of contestants who did not share a last name and address as another competitor. A computer merge-and-purge program identified registered buyers and/or sellers who were at the horse sale but did not compete in the show.

A projected 6,916 individuals attended the 2011 NCHA Super Stakes. (See Table 1)
Table 1. People Attending 2011 Super Stakes

|  | Unduplicated <br> Attendance | People <br> Per Group | Projected <br> Attendance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 760 | 3.5 | 2,660 |
| Horse sale participants | 993 | 2.5 | 2,483 |
| Vendors | 91 | 1.7 | 155 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 257 | 2.1 | 540 |
| Total eligible | 2,101 |  | 5,837 |
| Texas visitors | 415 | 2.6 | 1,079 |
| Grand total | 2,516 |  | 6,916 |

This translates into 50,690 visitor days. (See Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2011 NCHA Super Stakes

|  | People in <br> Segment | Average <br> Days at <br> Show | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 2,660 | 12.39 | 32,957 |
| Horse sale participants | 2,483 | 2.69 | 6,679 |
| Vendors | 155 | 17.39 | 2,695 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 540 | 4.93 | 2,662 |
| Total eligible | $\mathbf{5 , 8 3 8}$ |  | 44,993 |
| Texas visitors | 1,079 | 5.28 | 5,697 |
| Grand totals | 6,917 |  | 50,690 |

Texas visitors were not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, participants in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spend per person per day in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes on food and dining, excluding drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 (on the following page). It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  |  | Average per <br>  <br>  <br> Person Per <br> Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed $20 \%$ <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 32,957 | $\$ 62.77$ | $\$ 2,068,711$ | $\$ 1,654,969$ |
| Horse sale participants | 6,679 | $\$ 53.46$ | $\$ 357,059$ | $\$ 285,647$ |
| Vendors | 2,695 | $\$ 21.74$ | $\$ 58,589$ | $\$ 46,871$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 2,662 | $\$ 56.56$ | $\$ 150,563$ | $\$ 120,450$ |
| Totals | 44,993 |  | $\$ 2,634,922$ | $\$ 2,107,938$ |
| Texas visitors | 5,697 | $\$ 46.30$ | $\$ 263,771$ | $\$ 211,017$ |
| Grand total | 50,690 |  | $\$ 2,898,693$ | $\$ 2,318,955$ |

Respondents also were asked how much they spent per group, on average, for alcoholic drinks with their meals. Average daily expenditures by respondents who said they have alcoholic drinks with their meals were multiplied by the number of groups purchasing alcoholic beverages with meals, and as with food, a 20 percent tip was assumed. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes. Those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. Results are shown in Table 5 on the following page.

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | Households | Percent in Motels | Number | Average Nights | Average Rooms | Room Nights | Rate | Spending on Motels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 760 | 39.3\% | 299 | 11.17 | 1.56 | 5,205 | \$103.92 | \$540,858 |
| Horse sale participants | 993 | 84.6\% | 840 | 2.45 | 1.36 | 2,799 | \$136.91 | \$383,230 |
| Vendors | 91 | 13.0\% | 12 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 118 | \$ 82.50 | \$9,760 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 257 | 57.8\% | 149 | 4.19 | 1.15 | 716 | \$105.19 | \$75,292 |
| Total Eligible | 2,101 |  | 1,299 |  |  | 8,838 |  | \$1,009,139 |
| Texas visitors | 415 | 20.3\% | 84 | 3.83 | 1.17 | 378 | \$126.92 | \$47,914 |
| Grand total | 2,516 |  | 1,383 |  |  | 9,215 |  | \$1,057,053 |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Super Stakes. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of houschold purchasing units among contestants, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 22-day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents they were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewer prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, and trucks, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.
Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.

The projected expenditures by segment are summarized in Table 6.
Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 760 | 993 | 91 | 257 | 2,101 | 415 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 65.5\% | 53.8\% | 39.1\% | 60.0\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 498 | 534 | 36 | 154 | 1.222 | 138 |
| Average Expenditure | \$850 | \$885 | \$302 | \$480 |  | \$1,035 |
| Total Expenditures | \$423,130 | \$472,797 | \$10,745 | \$74,016 | \$980,689 | \$143,032 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 40.5\% | 7.7\% | 21.7\% | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 308 | 76 | 20 | 64 | 468 | 104 |
| Average Expenditure | \$552 | \$100 | \$384 | \$458 |  | \$247 |
| Total Expenditures | \$169,906 | \$7,646 | \$7,583 | \$29,427 | \$214,561 | \$25,626 |
| Paints. Crafts | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% |  | 5.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 72 |  |  | 11 | 83 | 21 |
| Average Expenditure | \$368 |  |  | \$290 |  | \$1,042 |
| Total Expenditures | \$26,570 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,279 | \$29,849 | \$21,622 |
| Souvenirs | 19.8\% | 23.1\% | 0,0\% | 24.4\% |  | 16.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 150 | 229 |  | 63 | 443 | 69 |
| Average Expenditure | \$154 | \$75 |  | \$87 |  | \$112 |
| Total Expenditures | \$23,174 | \$17,204 | \$0 | \$5,456 | \$45,833 | \$7,762 |
| Horse Trailers | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |  | 2.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 15 |  |  | 5 | 20 | 8 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 8 |  |  | 3 | 11 | 4 |
| Average Expenditure | \$128,000 |  |  |  |  | 29,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$972,800 |  |  | \$0 | \$972,800 | \$120,350 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Eligible Expenditures | \$729,600 |  | S0 | \$0 | \$729,600 | \$30,088 |
| Tractors | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 12 |  |  |  | 12 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 0 |
| Average Expenditure | \$10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$60.800 |  |  |  | \$60,800 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Eligible Expenditures | \$45,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$45,600 | \$0 |

## Continued

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Only a portion of the sales tax collected on tractors and buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible, at a rate of $10 \%$ for tractors and $50 \%$ for buildings and sheds.

Using these parameters, Table 7 summarizes direct expenditures eligible under Event Trust Fund.

Table 7: Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected Direct Expenditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Texas Tax } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fort Worth General Fund | Eligible Texas Taxes | Fort Worth Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$980,689 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$61,293 | \$9,807 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | \$214.561 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$13.410 | \$2,146 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$29.849 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$1.866 | \$298 |
| Souvenirs | \$45.833 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2.865 | \$458 |
| Videos \& photographs | \$74.072 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$4,630 | \$741 |
| Saddles | \$3.293.793 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$205,862 | \$32.938 |
| Other tack | \$626.276 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$39,142 | \$6,263 |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$2,107.938 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$131,746 | \$21,079 |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$308,409 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% | \$33,925 | \$4,626 |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | \$46,909 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,932 | \$469 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$1,009,137 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$60,548 | \$90,822 |
| Car rental | \$142,760 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$14,276 | \$1,428 |
| Horse trailers | \$729,600 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$45,600 | \$7,296 |
| Trucks, other vehicles | \$233,700 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$14,606 | \$2,337 |
| Tractors ( $10 \%$ of \$45.600) | \$4,560 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$285 | \$46 |
| Barns, stalls, sheds ( $50 \%$ of $\$ 103,482$ ) | \$10,348 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$647 | \$103 |
| Other items subject to sales tax | \$175,688 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$10,981 | \$1,757 |
| NCHA hotel and motel expenditures | \$21,080 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$1,265 | \$1,897 |
| NCHA awards | \$113,709 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$11.371 | \$1.137 |
| NCHA meals | \$9,966 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$623 | \$100 |
| NCHA alcohol expenditures | \$498 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$31 | \$5 |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | \$36.518 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,282 | \$365 |
| Total eligible expenditures | \$10,215,893 |  |  | \$660,185 | \$186,118 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$660,185 |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | \$186,118 |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the 2010 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than nine out of ten respondents at the show live outside of the city and more than a third of them live outside of Texas.
(Table 8)
Table 8: Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Out-of- <br> Texas Visitors | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Live in Other Texas | 54.0\% | 53.8\% | 56.5\% | 80.0 | 93.8\% |
| Live Outside of Texas | 39.6\% | 38.5\% | 17.4\% | 88.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Live in Other Countries | 4.4\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% |

The NCHA Super Stakes is an international event. Participants at the Super Stakes came from 38 states and 8 countries -Australia, Brazil, Canada, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, the United States, and Venezuela. Survey respondents came from seven countries Australia, Brazil, Canada, Italy, Mexico, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. More than four out of five who attended the 2010 Super Stakes are members of NCHA and more than nine out of ten own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9: NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Oul-of- <br> Texas Visitors | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCHA member | 96.4\% | 76.9\% | 39.1\% | 44.4\% | 51.7\% |
| Own horses | 92.5\% | 100.0\% | 56.5\% | 80.0\% | 78.3\% |

Another indication of the economic magnitude of the 2011 NCHA Super Stakes is the horse sale held in conjunction with the event. Gross sales were $\$ 926,000$.

## Additional Economic Benefits

In addition to the sales tax revenue to the State of Texas, $\$ 186,118$ in tax revenues were generated for City of Fort Worth through sales and bed taxes. While none of these figures are included in the Statc of Texas Sales Tax revenues, they further demonstrate the importance of the NCHA Super Stakes to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.
Much other expenditure not specifically measured in the survey, such as horse operations by people who live in Texas because of the NCHA Super Stakes and other Triple Crown events, also contribute even morc tax revenues to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred (400) interviews were conducted among randomly selected adults attending the National Cutting Horse Association 2011 Super Stakes at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

All interviewing was supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Associate Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schaeffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various arcas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the show to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the NCHA Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much thcy might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailcrs and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of contestants, number of exclusive horse sale participants, and number of vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants/horse sale/vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \%)
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi\#)
Vi\#: Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times Co + Sa $+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 760 Contestants (Co) +993 Horse Sale(Sa ) +91 Vendors (Ve) $=1,844 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $73.3 \%$ contestants/horse sale/vendors; $26.7 \%$ visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi)
Vi \# : 21.9\% :: 1,844 : 73.3\%
Vi \# * $73.3 \%=1,844 * 26.7 \%$
Vi\# * $73.3 \%=49,235$
$\mathrm{Vi} \#=49,235 \div 73.3 \%$
Vi \# = 672 projected visitor respondent units
$38.3 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 257 visitors
$61.7 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 415 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).
All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## NCHA 2011 Super Stakes

1) Date

| O March 28 | O April 1 | O April 5 | O April 9 | O April 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O March 29 | O April 2 | O April 6 | O April 10 | O April 14 |
| O March 30 | O April 3 | O April 7 | O April 11 | O April 15 |
| O March 31 | O April 4 | OApril 8 | O April 12 | O April 16 |

2) Time

## O Moming O Afternoon O Evening

3) Location

O Coliseum O W.R. Watt Arena
O Amon Carter Exhibits O John Justin Arena
4) Gender

O Male O Female

Hello. We're doing a survey of people at the NCHA Super Stakes and l'd like to ask you a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.
5) Firsh, which of the following best describes your main reason for coming to the Super Stakes?

| O Competing in an event | O Trainer not riding in an event |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Own horse in an event but not riding | O Buying or selling horses |
| O Family member competing in an event | O Vendor |
| O Friend or employer competing | O Visitor |

6) How many people, including yourself, came to the Super Stakes with you?

$$
=
$$

7) Will they all be here with you every day while you are at the show?
OYes ONo O Not sure
8) About how many people will be here with you each day, on aveage?
$\qquad$
9) About how much do you expect to spend per day on average for cach person in your group for food and meals -- excluding alcoholic drinks -- both here al the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at any other places in Fort Worth?
$=$
10) Will anyone in your group have alcoholic drinks with meals?
O Yes
ONo O Not sure
11) About how much, if anything, will your party spead each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals?
$=$
As I read a list, please tell me whether you or anyonc clse in your group might buy each one during the Super Stakes.
12) Westem wear, boots or other clothing.
OYes
O No
O Not sure
13) How much will your group spend on western wear, boots or other clothing?

$$
\overline{\operatorname{mon}}
$$

14) Jewelry, belts and other accessorics.
OYes
O No
O Not sure
15) How much will your group spend on jewelry, belts or other accessories?

16) Paintings or crafts.

OYes ONo O Not sure
17) How much will your group spend on paintings or crafls?
$\qquad$
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18) Souvenirs.

19) How much will your group spend on souviners?

20) Horse trailer.
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
21) How much will your group spend on horse trailers?
simanemen
22) Tractors.
OYes
O No
O Not sure
23) How much will your group spend on tractors?

$$
=
$$

24) Trucks or other vehicles.
O Yes
25) How much will your group spend on trucks or other vehicles?
$\qquad$
26) Barns, sheds or stalls.
OYes O No O Not sure
27) How much will your group spend on barns, sheds or stalls?

$$
=
$$

28) Saddles
OYes ONo O Not sure

| Page 3 of 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 10, 2011 |

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for Texas Motor Speedway, the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for ncwspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association, the American Paint Horse Association, the American Miniature Horse Association, amd the Appaloosa Horse Club have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at 'Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.

Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- Fine Line Investments (Bass)
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- The City of Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture


## Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employecs Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


## Exhibit F3

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2010 Summer Spectacular on the Fort Worth Economy and Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

September 2010

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research

## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 21 days of the 2010 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular

7,459 visitors
49,399 visitor dups

## $\$ 11,891,760$ direct expenditures subject to e eligible Texas taxes

\$755,055 eligible Sual Taxes generated by direct expendiutres
Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 754,034$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2010 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular July11-31 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 755,055$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 11,891,766$. This is an average of $\$ 241$ per visitor day, compared to $\$ 244$ per visitor day for the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular.

While the total spending was lower than in 2009, most of the decline was in the categories of tractors, trucks, and barns/stalls/sheds. This was probably at least in part the result of continuing concern about the economic decline in the country. Also, the average stay for most people was somewhat shorter. This may be related to the new $\$ 5$ per day parking fee charged by the City of Fort Worth at the Will Rogers Memorial Center, with many respondents volunteering negative comments about the fee.

These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 21 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. The interviewing was conducted using hand-held computers. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 922 Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 7,459 individuals attended the 2010 NCHA Summer Spectacular. (See Table 1 on the following page)

Table 1. People Attending 2010 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  | Average <br>  <br> Segment | Teople in <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 974 | 3.60 | 3,506 |
| Horse sale participants | 776 | 3.07 | 2,382 |
| Vendors | 99 | 2.85 | 282 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 144 | 2.55 | 367 |
| Total eligible | 1,993 |  | 6,537 |
| Texas visitors | 408 | 2.26 | 922 |
| Grand totals | 2,401 |  | 7,459 |

This translates into 49,399 visitor days. (Sce Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2010 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  |  | Average <br>  <br> Days at <br> Show | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 3,506 | 10.00 | 35,060 |
| Horse sale participants | 2,382 | 2.65 | 6,312 |
| Vendors | 282 | 15.50 | 4,371 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 367 | 3.58 | 1,314 |
| Total eligible | 6,537 |  | 47,057 |
| Texas visitors | 922 | 2.54 | 2,342 |
| Grand totals | 7,459 |  | 49,399 |

The 2,342 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular on food and dining. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 on the following page. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  |  | Average per <br> Person Per <br> Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 35,060 | $\$ 82.74$ | $\$ 2,900,864$ | $\$ 2,320,692$ |
| Horse sale participants | 6,312 | $\$ 99.33$ | $\$ 626,971$ | $\$ 501,577$ |
| Vendors | 4,371 | $\$ 72.50$ | $\$ 316,898$ | $\$ 253,518$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 1,314 | $\$ 112.22$ | $\$ 147,457$ | $\$ 117,966$ |
| Total eligible | 47,057 |  | $\$ 3,992,190$ | $\$ 3,193,752$ |
| Texas visitors | 2,342 | $\$ 55.23$ | $\$ 129,349$ | $\$ 103,479$ |
| Grand total | 49,399 |  | $\$ 4,066,309$ | $\$ 3,297,231$ |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  |  | Percent <br> Having |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Households <br> Drinks | Havolds <br> Drinks | Average <br> Cost Per <br> Day | Spending on <br> Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratuity |  |
| Exhibitors | 974 | $61.3 \%$ | 597 | $\$ 63.36$ | $\$ 37,830$ | $\$ 30,264$ |
| Horse sale participants | 776 | $70.6 \%$ | 548 | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 54,786$ | $\$ 43,828$ |
| Vendors | 99 | $46.2 \%$ | 46 | $\$ 110.00$ | $\$ 5,031$ | $\$ 4,025$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 144 | $60.0 \%$ | 86 | $\$ 32.50$ | $\$ 2,808$ | $\$ 2,246$ |
| Total eligible | 1,993 |  | 1,277 |  | $\$ 100,455$ | $\$ 80,364$ |
| Texas visitors | 408 | $36.7 \%$ | 150 | $\$ 32.52$ | $\$ 4,869$ | $\$ 3,896$ |
| Grand total | 2,401 |  | 1,427 |  | $\$ 105,324$ | $\$ 84,259$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5 on the following page)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House <br> holds | Percent <br> in Motels | Total <br> Rooms | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Rate | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exhibitors | 974 | $42.3 \%$ | 412 | 9.67 | 3.41 | 13,586 | $\$ 107.29$ | $\$ 1,457,604$ |
| Horse sale participants | 776 | $45.0 \%$ | 349 | 2.22 | 1.00 | 775 | $\$ 159.86$ | $\$ 123,927$ |
| Vendors | 99 | $57.1 \%$ | 57 | 10.38 | 1.63 | 956 | $\$ 77.93$ | $\$ 74,535$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 144 | $58.3 \%$ | 84 | 4.12 | 1.00 | 346 | $\$ 139.50$ | $\$ 48,251$ |
| Total Eligible | 1,993 |  | 902 |  |  | 15,663 |  | $\$ 1,704,317$ |
| Texas visitors | 408 | $11.8 \%$ | 48 | 2.25 | 1.00 | 108 | $\$ 127.00$ | $\$ 13,757$ |
| Grand total | 2,401 |  | 950 |  |  | 15,772 |  | $\$ 1,718,074$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Summer Spectacular. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.

To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same addrcss. This resulted in 1,993 distinct eligible household purchasing units (excluding 408 households in Texas).
Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 21 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.
If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.
For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than $50-50$, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.

Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.

Only a portion of the sales tax collected on tractors and buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible, at a rate of $10 \%$ for tractors and $50 \%$ for buildings and sheds.
Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following page summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 974 | 776 | 99 | 144 | 1.993 | 408 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 76.9\% | 70.0\% | 60.7\% | 66.7\% |  | 47.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 749 | 543 | 60 | 96 | 1,448 | 182 |
| Average Expenditure | \$708 | \$594 | \$597 | \$1,147 |  | \$310 |
| Total Expenditures | \$530,296 | \$322,661 | \$35,876 | \$110,167 | \$999,000 | \$59,446 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 34.0\% | 10.0\% | 17.9\% | 33.3\% |  | 19.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 331 | 78 | 18 | 48 | 474 | 80 |
| Average Expenditure | \$644 | \$300 | \$50 | \$155 |  | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Expenditures | \$213,267 | \$23,280 | \$886 | \$7,433 | \$244,866 | \$32,150 |
| Paints, Crafts | 16.7\% | 20.0\% | 10.7\% | 8.3\% |  | 16.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 163 | 155 | 11 | 12 | 340 | 68 |
| Average Expenditure | \$281 | \$225 | \$1,300 | \$1,000 |  | \$110 |
| Total Expenditures | \$45,707 | \$34,920 | \$13,771 | \$11,952 | \$106,350 | \$7,495 |
| Souvenirs | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.7\% |  | 24.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 151 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 211 | 99 |
| Average Expenditure | \$200 |  | \$0 | \$45 |  | \$100 |
| Total Expenditures | \$30,194 |  | \$0 | \$2,702 | \$32,896 | \$9,874 |
| Horse Trailers | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |  | 2.9\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 67 |  | 0 | 12 | 79 | 12 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 34 |  | 0 | 6 | 40 | 6 |
| Average Expenditure | \$44,418 |  | \$20,000 | \$18,500 |  | 100,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,492,578 |  | \$0 | \$110,556 | \$1,603,134 | \$591,600 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$1,119,434 |  | \$0 | \$82,917 | \$1,202,351 | \$147,900 |
| Tractors | 1.5\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchase | 15 | 86 |  |  | 101 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchase | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Puchasing | 7 | 43 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$35,000 | \$15,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$255,675 | \$646,020 |  |  | \$901,695 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$191,756 | \$161,505 | $\$ 0$ | \$0 | \$353,261 |  |

(Continued)
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Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 974 | 776 | 99 | 144 | 1,993 | 408 |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 26 |  | 7 |  | 33 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 13 |  | 4 |  | 17 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$63,333 |  | \$50,000 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$832,766 |  | \$175,725 |  | \$832,766 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$624,574 |  | \$131,794 | \$0 | \$756,368 |  |
| Buildings or Sheds | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 8 |  | 0 |  | 8 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$389,600 |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$389,600 |  |
| Saddles | 32.6\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |  | 11.8\% |
| Number Purchasing | 318 | 155 |  | 48 | 521 | 48 |
| Avereage Expenditure | \$3,908 | \$5,000 |  | \$2,300 |  | \$5,233 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,240,884 | \$776,000 | \$0 | \$110,290 | \$2,127,173 | \$251,938 |
| Other Tack | 63.8\% | 30.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 41.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 621 | 233 |  |  | 298 | 168 |
| Average Expenditure | \$741 | \$250 |  |  |  | \$364 |
| Total Expenditures | \$460,466 | \$58,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$518,666 | \$61,187 |
| Photos, Videos | 70.6\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 688 | 0 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$242 |  | \$400 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$166,410 |  | \$9,900 | \$0 | \$176,310 |  |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 35.3\% | 40.0\% | 42.9\% | 9.1\% |  | 6.5\% |
| Number Purchasing | 344 | 310 | 42 | 0 | 697 | 27 |
| Average Expenditure | \$188 | \$27 | \$550 | \$0 |  | \$5 |
| Total Expenditures | \$64,639 | \$8,381 | \$23,359 | \$0 | \$96,378 | \$133 |
| Other Taxable Items | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 23 |  | 27 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| Average Expenditure | \$300 |  | \$250 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$7,013 |  | \$6,658 | \$0 | \$13,671 | \$0 |
| Rental car | 14.0\% | 20.0\% | 17.9\% | 16.7\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number renting | 136 | 155 | 18 | 24 |  |  |
| Average rental | \$778 | $\$ 400$ | \$80 | \$350 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$106,088 | \$62,080 | \$1,418 | \$8,417 | \$178,003 |  |
| Total All Expenditures | \$4,729,861 | \$1,388,827 | \$223,661 | \$333,877 | \$6,676,226 | \$508,835 |
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The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected Direct Expenditures | $\begin{gathered} \text { Texas Tax } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fort Worth General Fund | Eligible Texas Taxes | Fort Worth Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$999,000 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$62,438 | \$9,990 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | \$244,866 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$15.304 | \$2,449 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$106,350 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$6,647 | \$1,064 |
| Souvenirs | \$32.896 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2.056 | \$329 |
| Videos \& photographs | \$176.310 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$11,019 | \$1,763 |
| Saddles | \$2,127,173 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$132,948 | \$21,272 |
| Other tack | \$518,666 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$32,417 | \$5.187 |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$3,193,752 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$199,610 | \$31,938 |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$80,364 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% | \$8,840 | \$1,205 |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | \$96,378 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$6,024 | \$964 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$1,704,317 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$102,259 | \$153,389 |
| Car rental | \$178,003 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$17.800 | \$1,780 |
| Horse trailers | \$1,202,351 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$75,147 | \$12.024 |
| Tractors (10\% of \$353.261) | \$35,326 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,208 | \$353 |
| Trucks, other vehicles | \$756,368 | 6.25\% | 1,00\% | \$47,273 | \$7,564 |
| Barns, stalls, sheds ( $50 \%$ of $\$ 389,600$ ) | \$194,800 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$12.175 | \$1,948 |
| Other items subject to sales tax | \$13,671 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$854 | \$137 |
| NCHA hotel and motel expenditures | \$29,997 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$1,800 | \$2,700 |
| NCHA awards | \$151,023 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$15.102 | \$1.510 |
| NCHA meals | \$9,884 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$618 | \$99 |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | \$40.271 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,517 | \$403 |
| Total eligible expenditures | \$11,891,766 |  |  | \$755,055 | \$258,065 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$755,055 |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | \$258,065 |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the NCHA Summer Spectacular to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than four out of five respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (See Table 8 on the following page)

Table 8. Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Contestants | Horse <br> Sale | Vendors | Vistors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | $4.2 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Live in Other Texas | $47.7 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| Live Outside of Texas | $39.6 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $8.5 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |

The 2010 NCHA Summer Spectacular is indeed an international event. The survey included respondents from nine countries - Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Venezuela, and the United States - and 38 states. Respondents in the survey came from six countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Italy, the United States, and Venezuela.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NCHA member | $91.9 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ |
| Own horses | $97.7 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 630,067$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 258,065$ eligible expenditures Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.
People who came to the 2009 Summer Spectacular visit Texas an average of 5.53 times a year, and an average of 4.54 of those visits are because of NCHA activities.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred random interviews were conducted during the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling crror margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
The survey was designed and supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of adult+youth contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#) Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi\#: Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \#: Co+Sa+Ve \%
$\mathrm{Vi} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 974 Contestants (Co) +776 Sales (Sa) +99 Vendors (Ve) $=1,849$ Co+Sa+Ve
Determined by survey: 77.0\% Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $23.0 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi \# : 23.0 \% :: 1,849 : 77.0\%
Vi \# * $77.0 \%=425$
Vi \# = $454 \div 77.0 \%$
Vi \# 552 projected visitor respondent units
$26.1 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 144 visitors
$73.9 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 408 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.
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## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major rescarch and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.
Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a varicty of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horsc Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital

Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union
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# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of National Cutting Horse Association 2009 Futurity \& World Show on the Fort Worth Economy and Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

January 2010

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research

## Survey Findings

## The Bottom Line . . .

## 23 days of the 2009 National Cutting Horse Association Futurity \& World Show

## 14,483 visiors

## 134,903 visior dapss

## $\$ 25,505,202$ direct expenditures subject to eligible Texas taxes

## $\$ 1,590,256$ eligible Suate Taxes generected $b$ bdirect expenditures

Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 1,590,256$.

## The Numbers ...

In 2009, the National Cutting Horse Association combined its Futurity event with its World Show, which previously had been held in Amarillo. As a result, attendance and expenditures were up substantially from 2008 when only the Futurity was held in Fort Worth. The number of visitor days increased from 89,708 in 2008 to 134,903 in 2009, or $50.2 \%$. Also up substantially is the number of competitors and horse sale participants, from 7,411 in 2008 to 10,134 in 2009 , or $36.7 \%$.

Another indication of the growth in the 2009 Futurity/World Show is the increase in the number of countries represented by respondents. Only five countries were included at the 2008 Futurity, but people from 13 countries and four continents were interviewed during the 2009 Futurity/World Show. The countries are Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Holland, Sweden, United States, and Venezuela. The number of states represented increased from 34 to 39.

Contributing to the growth of the Futurity/World Show is the increased purses made possible by the funds from the State of Texas. From pre-slate funding in 2005, the total purses increased $26.7 \%$, for a total purse of $\$ 15,398,712$ between 2006 and 2009.
The 2009 Futurity/World Show November 21-December 13 generated Texas State Tax revenucs eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 1,590,256$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 25,505,202$. This represents an increase of $78.6 \%$ in eligible expenditures and $76.4 \%$ in eligible State taxes generated.

These estimates are based on a survey of 402 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generatcd during the 23-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.

A projected 14,483 individuals attended the 2009 NCHA Futurity. (Table 1)
Table 1. People Attending 2009 NCHA Futurity

|  | Average <br> People <br> Pegment | Totals |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 1,095 | 4.23 | 4,632 |
| Horse Sales Participants | 1,524 | 3.61 | 5,502 |
| Vendors | 183 | 2.57 | 470 |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 551 | 3.49 | 1,923 |
| Totals | 3,353 |  | 12,527 |
| Texas Visitors | 773 | 2.53 | 1,956 |
| Grand Totals | 4,126 |  | 14,483 |

This translates into 134,903 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2009 NCHA Futurity

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Average <br> Days at <br> Fegment | Tuturity |  |$|$| Contestants | 4,632 | 13.53 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Horse Sale Participants | 5,502 | 7.40 |
| Vendors | 470 | 14.56 |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 1,923 | 9.20 |
| Totals | 12,527 |  |
| Texas Visitors | 1,956 | 3.543 |
| Grand Totals | 14,483 |  |

The 6,983 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity/World Showon food and dining. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 on the following page. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips. (Table 3 )

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Number <br> of People | Spending <br> Per Day | Total <br> Spending | Minus 20\% <br> Gratuity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 62,671 | $\$ 52.16$ | $\$ 3,268,919$ | $\$ 2,615,135$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 40,715 | $\$ 72.03$ | $\$ 2,932,701$ | $\$ 2,346,161$ |
| Vendors | 6,843 | $\$ 36.32$ | $\$ 248,538$ | $\$ 198,830$ |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 17,692 | $\$ 73.79$ | $\$ 1,305,493$ | $\$ 1,044,394$ |
| Total eligible | 127,921 |  | $\$ 7,755,651$ | $\$ 6,204,521$ |
| Texas visitors | 6,843 | $\$ 41.34$ | $\$ 282,890$ | $\$ 226,312$ |
| Grand totals | 134,764 |  | $\$ 8,038,541$ | $\$ 6,430,833$ |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures on Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | $\begin{gathered}\text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Segments }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days } \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { Show } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total Segment Days | Drink <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Alcohol } \\ \text { with Meals }\end{array}$ | Total Groups Which Use | Average Daily Spending on Alcohol | Total Spending on Drinks with Meals | Total Spending Minus 20\% Gratuity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 1,095 | 13.52 | 14,804 | 64.6\% | 9,564 | \$82.80 | \$791,870 | \$633,496 |
| Horse sale participants | 1.524 | 7.40 | 11,278 | 62.9\% | 7,094 | \$56.67 | \$401,995 | \$321,596 |
| Vendors | 183 | 14.56 | 2,664 | 52.0\% | 1,386 | \$37.50 | \$51,957 | \$41,566 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 551 | 9.20 | 5,069 | 67.6\% | 3,427 | \$47.50 | \$162,772 | \$130,218 |
| Total eligible | 3,353 |  | 33,816 |  | 21,470 |  | \$1,408,594 | \$1,126,875 |
| Texas visitors | 773 | 3.57 | 2,760 | 48.3\% | 1,333 | \$82.86 | \$110,443 | \$88,355 |
| Grand totals | 4,126 |  | 36,575 |  | 22,802 |  | 1,519,037 | \$1,215,230 |
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Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Futurity. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5 on the following page)

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Groups } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Motels } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Groups in Motels | Average Nights | Room Nights | Average Number of Rooms | Total Room Nights | Room Rate | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 1,095 | 39.3\% | 430 | 5.52 | 2,375 | 1.93 | 4,585 | \$88 | \$405,097 |
| Horse sale Participants | 1,524 | 64.3\% | 980 | 4.46 | 4,370 | 1.69 | 7,386 | \$76 | \$562,159 |
| Vendors | 183 | 40.0\% | 73 | 6.04 | 442 | 1.64 | 725 | \$72 | \$52,061 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 551 | 84.5\% | 466 | 6.14 | 2,859 | 1.48 | 4,231 | \$81 | \$341,523 |
| Total eligible | 3,353 |  | 1,949 |  | 10,047 |  | 16,927 |  | \$1,360,840 |
| Texas visitors | 773 | 17.2\% | 133 | 2.22 | 295 | 1.9 | 561 | \$91 | \$50,865 |
| Grand Totals | 4,126 |  | 2,082 |  |  |  | 17,488 |  | \$1,411,705 |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Futurity. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.

To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 2,919 distinct household purchasing units (excluding 1,348 visitors who live in Texas).

Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 23 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.

If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.

For major purchases of horse trailers, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely thcy were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded. None of the respondents reported purchasing a tractor during the show.
Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.

Only $50 \%$ of the sales tax collected on buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible.
Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following pages summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out-of- <br> State <br> Visitors | Total Eligible | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 1,095 | 1,524 | 183 | 551 | 3,353 | 773 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 74.7\% | 84.3\% | 56.0\% | 81.7\% |  | 53.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 818 | 1,285 | 102 | 450 | 2,655 | 413 |
| Average Expenditure | \$930 | \$807 | \$578 | \$1,332 |  | \$897 |
| Total Expenditures | \$760,707 | \$1,036,779 | \$59,233 | \$599,622 | \$2,456,342 | \$370,265 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 35.4\% | 31.4\% | 20.0\% | 35.2\% |  | 29.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 388 | 479 | 37 | 194 | 1,097 | 226 |
| Average Expenditure | \$747 | \$313 | \$375 | \$255 |  | \$518 |
| Total Expenditures | \$289,560 | \$149,782 | \$13,725 | \$49,458 | \$502,524 | \$117,321 |
| Paints, Crafts | 18.0\% | 17.1\% | 12.0\% | 18.3\% |  | 17.2\% |
| Number Purchasing | 197 | 261 | 22 | 101 | 580 | 133 |
| Average Expenditure | \$689 | \$200 | \$367 | \$400 |  | \$4,690 |
| Total Expenditures | \$135,802 | \$52,121 | \$8,059 | \$40,333 | \$236,315 | \$623,564 |
| Souvenirs | 24.2\% | 25.7\% | 4.0\% | 23.9\% |  | 10.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 265 | 392 | 7 | 132 | 796 | 80 |
| Average Expenditure | \$376 | \$150 | \$200 | \$247 |  | \$1,700 |
| Total Expenditures | \$99,636 | \$58,750 | \$1,464 | \$32,527 | \$192,378 | \$135,352 |
| Horse Trailers | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% |  | 5.2\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 87 | 108 | 0 | 39 | 233 | 40 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 43 | 54 | 0 | 19 | 117 | 20 |
| Average Expenditure | \$41,654 | \$140,000 |  | \$39,750 |  | \$84,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,801,640 | \$7,574,280 | \$0 | \$766,579 | \$10,142,498 | \$1,688,232 |
| Trade-Ins | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,351,230 | \$5,680,710 | \$0 | \$574,934 | \$7,606,874 | \$1,266,174 |
| Tractors | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Number Planning Purchase |  |  | 7 |  | 7 | 13 |
| Didn't Complete Purchase |  |  | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 7 |
| Average Expenditure |  |  | \$3,000 |  |  | \$70,000 |
| Total Expenditures |  |  | \$10,980 |  | \$10,980 | \$459,935 |
| Trade-ins |  |  | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Expenditures |  |  | \$8,235 |  | \$8,235 | \$367,948 |

(Continued)
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Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale Participants | Vendors | Out-ofState Visitors | Total Eligible | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trucks. Other Vehicles | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$21,000 | \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$321,930 | \$0 | \$0 |  | \$321,930 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$241,448 | \$0 | \$0 |  | \$241,448 |  |
| Buildings or Sheds | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Videos or Photographs | 66.9\% | 41.4\% | 0.0\% | 38.8\% |  | 15.5\% |
| Number planning purchase | 733 | 631 |  | 214 |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$232 | \$117 |  | \$225 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$169,953 | \$73,820 |  | \$48,102 | \$291,875 |  |
| Saddles | 30.3\% | 21.4\% | 12.0\% | 33.0\% |  | 22.4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 332 | 326 | 22 | 182 | 862 | 173 |
| Average Expenditure | \$3,533 | \$2,593 | \$4.500 | \$3,141 |  | \$3,218 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,172,196 | \$845,671 | \$98,820 | \$571,128 | \$2,687,815 | \$557, 203 |
| Other Tack | 64.6\% | 62.9\% | 24.0\% | 47.9\% |  | 36.2\% |
| Number planning purchase | 707 | 959 | 44 | 264 | 0 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,028 | \$348 | \$400 | \$903 |  | \$1.050 |
| Total Expenditures | \$727,176 | \$333,591 | \$17,568 | \$238,328 | \$1,316,664 |  |
| Rent a Car | 12.9\% | 20.0\% | 12.0\% | 40.8\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 141 | 305 | 22 | 440 | 908 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$999 | \$340 | \$158 | \$495 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$141.114 | \$103,632 | \$3,470 | \$217,800 | \$466,015 |  |
| Wine/Beer/Alcohol in Store | 24.7\% | 12.9\% | 20.0\% | 16.9\% |  | 6.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 270 | 197 | 37 | 93 | 597 | 53 |
| Average Expenditure | \$340 | \$223 | \$700 | \$800 |  | \$700 |
| Total Expenditures | \$91,958 | \$43,841 | \$25,620 | \$74,495 | \$235,914 |  |
| Other Taxable ltems | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 3.4\% |
| Number Purchasing. | 80 |  | 15 |  | 95 | 26 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 517$ |  | \$1,265 |  |  | \$350 |
| Total Expendiltures | \$41,326 |  | \$18,520 |  | \$59,846 | \$9,199 |
| Total All Expenditures | \$5,222,106 | 58,378,696 | \$254,714 | \$2,446,728 | \$16,302,244 | \$3,484,362 |

The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2008 NCHA Futurity/World Showare summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Fort <br> Projected Direct <br> Expenditures | Texas <br> Tax Rate | Worth <br> Taxes <br> Taxte | Eligible Texas <br> Taxes <br> Generated | Fort Worth <br> General Fund <br> Taxes <br> Generated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | $\$ 2,456,342$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 153,521$ | $\$ 24,563$ |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | $\$ 502,524$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 31,408$ | $\$ 5,025$ |
| Paintings and crafts | $\$ 236,315$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 14,770$ | $\$ 2,363$ |
| Souvenirs | $\$ 192,378$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 12,024$ | $\$ 1,924$ |
| Buildings, sheds $(50 \%$ of $\$ 32,000)$ | $\$ 291,875$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 18,242$ | $\$ 2,919$ |
| Saddles | $\$ 2,687,815$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 167,988$ | $\$ 26,878$ |
| Other tack | $\$ 1,316,664$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 82,292$ | $\$ 13,167$ |
| Videos, Photographs | $\$ 291,875$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 18,242$ | $\$ 2,919$ |
| Food | $\$ 6,204,521$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 387,783$ | $\$ 62,045$ |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | $\$ 1,126,875$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,430$ | $\$ 11,269$ |
| Alcoholic beverages at stores | $\$ 235,914$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 14,745$ | $\$ 2,359$ |
| NCHA-paid meal expenditures | $\$ 20,362$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,273$ | $\$ 204$ |
| NCHA-paid hotel expenditures | $\$ 35,646$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,228$ | $\$ 356$ |
| Other NCHA-paid expenditures | $\$ 166,955$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,017$ | $\$ 15,026$ |
| Horse trailers | $\$ 7,606,874$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 475,430$ | $\$ 76,069$ |
| Trucks and other vehicles | $\$ 241,448$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,091$ | $\$ 2,414$ |
| Tractors (10\% of \$8,235) | $\$ 4,118$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 257$ | $\$ 41$ |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | $\$ 1,360,840$ | $6.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $\$ 81,650$ | $\$ 122,476$ |
| Car rentals | $\$ 466,015$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 29,126$ | $\$ 4,660$ |
| Other expenditures subject to sales tax | $\$ 59,846$ | $6.25 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 3,740$ | $\$ 598$ |
| Total eligible direct expenditures | $\$ 25,505,202$ |  |  | $\$ 1,590,256$ | $\$ 377,276$ |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | $\$ 1,590,256$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City Tax generated | $\$ 377,276$ |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the NCHA Futurity/World Show to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than nine out of ten respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (Table 8)

Table 8. Respondent ZIP Codes

|  |  |  | Out-of- <br>  <br> Horse Sale <br> state <br> Panticipants | Texas <br> Vendars <br> Visisitors |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | $2.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other Texas | $55.6 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other States | $33.7 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $7.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting IIorse Association and/or own horses. About three out of four people who attended the 2008 Summer Spectacular are members of NCHA and about nine out of 10 own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Contestants | Horse Sale <br> Participants | Out-of- <br> Vendors | state <br> Visitors | Texas <br> Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| NCHA Member | $87.6 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ |
| Own Horses | $95.5 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $91.5 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

People who attended to the 2010 NCHA Futurity/World Show come to Texas an average of 3.60 times a year. They reported that 3.40 of those times were for NCHA events. That projects to 6,538 total visits to Texas a year by people who live in other states or countries.

The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 1,620,194$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 423,696$ from out-of-state visitors, bringing the total for Fort Worth General Fund to $\$ 440,009$. (Table 10)

Table 10. Eligible Taxes Generated for Fort Worth General Fund

|  | Fort Worth <br>  <br> General Fund <br> Taxes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Eligible for State Tax Rebates |  | $\$ 377,276$ |
| Texas Visitors: |  |  |
| Food | $\$ 226,312$ | $\$ 2,263$ |
| Alcoholic Drinks | $\$ 88,355$ | $\$ 884$ |
| Hotels/Motels | $\$ 50,865$ | $\$ 4,578$ |
| Sales Tax Expenditures | $\$ 3,434,362$ | $\$ 34,344$ |
| Totals | $\$ 3,799,894$ | $\$ 419,344$ |

Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred four (402) random intervicws were conducted during the 2009 NCHA Futurity/World Show at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
The survey was designed and all interviews were conducted by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the 2009 NCHIA Futurity/World Show had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of four categories contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented overrepresentation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:

## Known:

Number of contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors (Co+Sa+Ve \#)
Deternined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi \# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
$\mathrm{Vi} \#$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 1,095 Contestants (Co) + 1,524 Sales (Sa) +183 Vendors (Ve) $=2,802 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $67.9 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $32.1 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi\# : 32.1\% :: 2,802 : 67.9\%
Vi \# * $67.9 \%=899$
Vi \# = $899 \div 67.97 \%$
Vi \# = 1,324 projected visitor respondent units
$41.6 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 551 visitors
$58.4 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 773 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in atlendance (or the number of visitor days).

All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures.

# NCHA 2009 Futurity/World Show Survey 

Date: : $\qquad$ Time: Morning-1 Afternoon-- 2 Evening-3
Location: Coliseum-- 1 Amon Carter Exhibits-2 W.R. Watt Arena-- 3 John Justin Arena-- 4
Gender: Male-- 1 Female-- 2
Hello. We are doing a survey of people at the NCHA Futurity and I'd like to ask you just a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.

1. First, which of the following best describes your reason for being here today? (Read List)

Own horse and riding in event ----1 Professional trainer not riding in event--.-------1. 5
Family member riding in event-----2 Own horse in events but not riding --------------6
Trainer riding for horsc's owner ---- 3 Buying or selling horses---------------------------7
Friend or employer riding in event - 4
2. How many people, including yourself, came to the Futurity with you? $\qquad$ people
2a. Will they all be here with you every day you are at the show? Yes-- 1 (Ask 2b) No-- 2 (Skip to 3)
2 b . About how many people will be here with you each day, on average? $\qquad$
3. About how much do you expect will be spent on average for each person in your party each day you are here for food and meals - including breakfast, lunch, dinner, and snacks but excluding drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at other places in Fort Worth? \$ $\qquad$ per day
4. How much, if any, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals? \$ $\qquad$ per day
5. Have you or will you or anyonc in your group be making any the following purchases -- either here at the Will Rogers

Memorial Center or elsewhere in Fort Worth -- during the Futurity? (Read List)
[ ] Western wear, boots, or other clothing -> if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend? ----
[] Jewery, belts, and other accessories $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend? ----
$\qquad$

[] Souvenirs $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
[ ] Horse trailers -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Tractors $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Trucks or other vehicles -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Buildings or sheds $->$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Videos or photographs -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Saddles $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask:: About how much do you expect to spend? $\qquad$
Vendor--------------- 8
Visitor ------------- 9 $-9$

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous cconomic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.
Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, statc, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Burcau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Burcau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint IIorse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club

Exhibit G2

## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)


## Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital

Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union


# An Analysis of the Economic Impact of <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2010 Super Stakes <br> on the Fort Worth Economy and <br> Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research

May 2010

## The Bottom Line . . .

## Survey Findings

## 22 days of the 20Io National Cuting Horse associaitorn Super Sathes

## 4,030 visiurs

35,306 visior daps

## \$10,852,874 direct xependiutres subjecct oo oligible Texas State etaxes

$\$ 699,315{ }_{\text {eligible }}$ State Sales and Bed Tuees generated by d direct expenentiures
Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 699,315$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2010 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes (March 26-April 16) generated Texas State Sales Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 699,315$ based on projected total cligible direct expenditures of $\$ 10,852,874$. This is higher than the 2009 Super Stakes, which was down from previous years because of the economic recession in 2009.
These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of State Sales Tax generatcd during the 22-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those local residents who were just at the show for the day and did not make any purchiases.

In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, participating in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 338 Texas residents who did not compete in events, participate in the horse sale, or were not vendors.

The projections based on competitors are limited to the unduplicated number of contestants who did not share a last name and address as another competitor. A computer merge and purge program identified registered buyers and/or sellers who were at the horse sale but did not compete in the show.

A projected 4,030 individuals attended the 2010 NCHA Super Stakes. (See Table 1)
Table 1. Pcople Attending 2010 Super Stakes

|  |  | Average <br>  <br>  <br> Seogment |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group |  |  |  |$\quad$ Totals | Contestants | 787 | 3.50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Horse Sale | 176 | 2.82 |
| Vendors | 99 | 1.45 |
| Out-af-state visitors | 149 | 2.00 |
| Total eligible | 1,211 |  |
| Texas visitors | 177 | 1.91 |
| Grand totals | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8}$ |  |

This translates into 35,306 visitor days. (See Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2009 NCHA Super Stakes

|  | Average <br>  <br> Days at <br> Show | Totals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | 2,755 | 9.69 | 26,691 |
| Horse Sale | 496 | 3.62 | 1,797 |
| Vendors | 144 | 14.91 | 2,140 |
| Out-of-State visitors | 298 | 6.52 | 1,943 |
| Total eligible | 3,692 |  | 32,571 |
| Texas visitors | 338 | 8.09 | 2,735 |
| Grand totals | 4,030 |  | 35,306 |

Texas visitors were not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, participants in the horse sale, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spend per person per day in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes on food and dining, excluding drinks. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 (on the following page). It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Average <br>  <br> per <br> Person <br> Per Day | Total | Minus <br> Assumed <br> 20\% Gratuity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contestants | $\$ 75.93$ | $\$ 2,026,648$ | $\$ 1,621,318$ |
| Horse Sale | $\$ 71.84$ | $\$ 129,096$ | $\$ 103,277$ |
| Vendors | $\$ 53.64$ | $\$ 114,790$ | $\$ 91,832$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | $\$ 93.10$ | $\$ 180,893$ | $\$ 144,715$ |
| Total eligible |  | $\$ 2,451,427$ | $\$ 1,961,142$ |
| Texas visitors | $\$ 60.42$ | $\$ 165,249$ | $\$ 132,199$ |
| Grand totals |  | $\$ 2,616,676$ | $\$ 2,093,341$ |

Respondents also were asked how much they spent per group, on average, for alcoholic drinks with their meals. A verage daily expenditures by respondents who said they have alcoholic drinks with their meals were multiplied by the number of groups purchasing alcoholic beverages with meals, and as with food, a 20 percent tip was assumed. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | House- <br> holds | Average <br> Days | Household <br> Days | Percent <br> Having <br> Drinks | Household <br> Days <br> Having <br> Drinks | Average <br> Spent Per <br> Day | Spending on <br> Drinks | Minus 20\% <br> Gratulty |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 787 | 9.69 | 7,626 | $33.3 \%$ | 2,539 | $\$ 73.75$ | $\$ 187,286$ | $\$ 149,829$ |
| Horse Sale | 176 | 3.62 | 637 | $18.2 \%$ | 116 | $\$ 57.50$ | $\$ 6,667$ | $\$ 5,334$ |
| Vendors | 99 | 14.91 | 1,476 | $36.4 \%$ | 537 | $\$ 38.33$ | $\$ 20,595$ | $\$ 16,476$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 149 | 6.52 | 971 | $44.4 \%$ | 431 | $\$ 67.50$ | $\$ 29,115$ | $\$ 23,292$ |
| Totals | 1,211 |  |  |  | 3,624 |  | $\$ 243,663$ | $\$ 194,930$ |
| Texas visitors | 177 | 8.09 | 1,432 | $9.4 \%$ | 135 | $\$ 60.00$ | $\$ 8,076$ | $\$ 6,461$ |
| Grand total | 1,388 |  |  |  | 3,759 |  | $\$ 251,739$ | $\$ 201,391$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the Super Stakes. Those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. Results are shown in Table 5 on the following page.

Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | House- <br> holds | Percent in <br> Motels, <br> Hotels | Number in <br> Motels, <br> Hotels | Average <br> Nights | Average <br> Rooms | Room <br> Nights | Total <br> Room Rate | Total <br> Expenditures |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 787 | $39.6 \%$ | 312 | 14.39 | 1.70 | 7,624 | $\$ 139.59$ | $\$ 1,064,226$ |
| Horse Sale | 176 | $40.0 \%$ | 70 | 4.82 | 1.86 | 631 | $\$ 95.64$ |  |
| Vendors | 99 | $18.2 \%$ | 18 | 6.00 | 1.24 | 134 | $\$ 155.00$ | $\$ 20,778$ |
| Out-of-state visitors | 149 | $66.7 \%$ | 99 | 8.44 | 1.17 | 981 | $\$ 131.69$ | $\$ 129,239$ |
| Total Eligible | 1,211 |  | 499 |  |  | 9,371 |  | $\$ 1,214,243$ |
| Texas visitors | 177 | $18.8 \%$ | 33 | 7.33 | 1.00 | 244 | $\$ 104.00$ | $\$ 25,367$ |
| Grand total | 1,388 |  | 533 |  |  | 9,614 |  | $\$ 1,239,610$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Super Stakes. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplied by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 22-day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.
If respondents they were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewer prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.
For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, and trucks, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than 50-50, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.
Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, horse salc participants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.
The projected expenditures by segment are summarized in Table 6 on the following page.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Other Visitors | Totals | TX Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 787 | 176 | 99 | 136 | 1,198 | 162 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 84.0\% | 38.2\% | 18.2\% | 74.1\% |  | 46.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 651 | 67 | 18 | 101 | 847 | 76 |
| Average Expenditure | \$888 | \$2,635 | \$200 | \$368 |  | \$250 |
| Total Expenditures | \$587,039 | \$177,156 | \$3,604 | \$37,086 | \$804,885 | \$18,995 |
| Jewelry, Belts, Accessories | 37.4\% | 12.7\% | 18.2\% | 55.6\% |  | 21.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 294 | 22 | 18 | 76 | 410 | 35 |
| Average Expenditure | \$359 | \$120 | \$100 | \$367 |  | \$500 |
| Total Expenditures | \$105,667 | \$2,682 | \$1,802 | \$27,751 | \$137,902 | \$17,739 |
| Paints, Crofts | 12.1\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |  | 20.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 95 | 20 |  | 19 | 135 | 34 |
| Average Expenditure | \$80 | \$250 |  | \$75 |  | \$ 317 |
| Total Expenditures | \$7,618 | \$5,060 | \$0 | \$1,459 | \$14,137 | \$10,630 |
| Souvenirs | 15.6\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 123 | 24 | 0 | 45 | 192 | 0 |
| Average Expenditure | \$50 | \$100 |  | \$100 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$6,139 | \$2,411 | 50 | \$4,529 | \$13,079 |  |
| Horse Trailers | 6.2\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 49 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$93,438 | \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$2,279,607 | \$343,200 |  |  | \$2,279,607 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$1,709,705 | \$257,400 | so | 50 | \$1,967,105 |  |
| Tractors | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchase | 17 |  | 0 | 15 | 32 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchase | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 8 |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$27,250 |  |  | \$40,000 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$225,180 |  | \$0 | \$301,920 | \$527,100 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$168,885 |  |  | \$226,440 | \$395,325 |  |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| Didn't Cormplete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$45,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$743,715 |  |  |  | \$743,715 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$557,786 | \$0 |  |  | \$557,786 |  |

(Continued)

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Other Visitors | Totals | TX Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings or Sheds | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 20 |  |  | 0 | 20 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$787,000 |  |  | \$0 | \$787,000 |  |
| Saddles | 45.1\% | 30.9\% | 36.4\% | 11.1\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 355 | 54 | 36 | 15 | 460 | 41 |
| Average Expenditure | \$4,781 | \$3,594 | \$2,850 | \$1,000 |  | \$3,167 |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,696,954 | \$195,456 | \$102,703 | \$15,096 | \$2,010,208 | \$128,264 |
| Other Tack | 73.6\% | 32.7\% | 54.5\% | 55.6\% |  | 59,4\% |
| Number Purchasing | 579 | 58 | 54 | 76 | 766 | 96 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 933$ | \$60 | \$500 | \$758 |  | \$171 |
| Total Expenditures | \$540,423 | \$3,453 | \$26,978 | \$57,317 | \$628,171 | \$16,455 |
| Videos/Photos | 77.1\% | 20.0\% | 18.2\% | 14.8\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 607 | 35 | 18 | 20 | 680 | 0 |
| Average Expenditure | \$181 | \$125 | \$147 | \$115 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$109,827 | \$4,400 | \$2,649 | \$2,315 | \$119,190 |  |
| Other Taxable ltems | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |  |
| Average Expenditure | 5450 |  |  | \$0 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$12,395 |  | 0 |  | \$12,395 |  |
| Liquor stores | 30.6\% | 30.9\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number purchasing | 241 | 54 | . | 45 | 340 | - |
| Average expenditures | \$809 | \$50 | 83 | \$50 |  |  |
| Total expenditures | \$194,825 | \$2,719 | \$0 | \$2,264 | \$199,809 |  |
| Rent car | 9.0\% | 13.7\% | 18.2\% | 33\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number purchasing | 71 | 24 | 18 | 45 | 158 | - |
| Average expenditures | \$279 | \$100 | 300 | \$937 |  |  |
| Total expenditures | \$219,573 | \$17,600 | \$29,700 | \$127,432 | \$394,305 |  |
| Totals | \$6,703,837 | \$668,338 | \$167,434 | \$501,688 | \$8,041,297 | \$192,082 |

Only a portion of the sales tax collected on tractors and buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible, at a rate of $10 \%$ for tractors and $50 \%$ for buildings and sheds.
Using these parameters, Table 7 on the following page summarizes direct expenditures eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund.

Table 7: Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Texas } \\ \text { Tax Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fort Worth General Fund | Texas Taxes | Fort Worth Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$804,885 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$50,305 | \$8,049 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessorles | \$137,902 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$8,619 | \$1,379 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$14,137 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$884 | \$141 |
| Souvenirs | \$13,079 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$817 | \$131 |
| Videos \& photographs | \$119,190 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$7,449 | \$1,192 |
| Saddles | \$2,010,208 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$125,638 | \$20,102 |
| Other tack | \$628,171 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$39,261 | \$6,282 |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$1,961,142 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$122,571 | \$19,611 |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$194,930 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% | \$21,442 | \$2,924 |
| Wine, beer \& liquor from store | \$199,809 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$12,488 | \$1,998 |
| Hotel and motel expenditures | \$1,214,243 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$72,855 | \$109,282 |
| Car rental | \$394,305 | 10.00\% | 1.00\% | \$39,431 | \$3,943 |
| Horse trailers | \$1,967,105 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$122,944 | \$19,671 |
| Tractors ( $10 \%$ of \$395,325) | \$39,533 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$2,471 | \$395 |
| Trucks, other vehicles | \$557,786 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$34,862 | \$5,578 |
| Barns/shed/stalls (50\% of \$787,000) | \$393,500 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$24,594 | \$3,935 |
| Other items subject to sales tax | \$12,395 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$775 | \$124 |
| NCHA awards | \$148,190 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$9,262 | \$1,482 |
| NCHA judges' food/meals | \$7,771 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$486 | \$78 |
| NCHA staff meal expenses | \$3,696 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$231 | \$37 |
| NCHA equipment rental | \$8,590 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$537 | \$86 |
| NCHA cattle handlers local expenses | \$6,702 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$419 | $\$ 67$ |
| NCHA printing supplies/ticket printing | \$4,658 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$291 | \$47 |
| NCHA signage | \$9,397 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$587 | 924 |
| Other NCHA show expenditures | \$1,550 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$97 | \$16 |
| Total eliglble expenditures | \$10,852,874 |  |  | \$699,315 | \$206,643 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$699,315 |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Worth City General Fund | \$206,643 |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the 2010 National Cutting Horse Association Super Stakes to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than nine out of ten respondents at the show live outside of the city and more than a third of them live outside of Texas. (Table 8 on the following page)

Table 8: Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Out-ofTexas Visitors | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | 2.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% |
| Live in Other Texas | 61.1\% | 58.2\% | 81.8\% | 0.0\% | 93.8\% |
| Live Outside of Texas | 31.3\% | 34.5\% | 18.2\% | 74.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Live in Other Countries | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% |

The NCHA Super Stakes is an international event. Participants at the Super Stakes came from 40 states and 11 countries -Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Germany, Italy, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, United States, and Venezuela. Survey respondents came from seven countries - Australia, Brazil, Columbia, Italy, Taiwan, United States, and Venezuela,

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. More than four out of five who attended the 2010 Super Stakes are members of NCHA and more than nine out of ten own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9: NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Competitors | Horse Sale | Vendors | Out-of. <br> Texas <br> Visitors | Texas <br> Visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NCHA member | $94.4 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Own horses | $95.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Another indication of the economic magnitude of the 2010 NCHA Super Stakes is the horse sale held in conjunction with the event. Gross sales were $\$ 1,065,450$.

## Additional Economic Benefits

In addition to the sales tax revenue to the State of Texas, $\$ 305,643$ in tax revenues were generated for City of Fort Worth through sales and bed taxes. While none of these figures are included in the State of Texas Sales Tax revenues, they further demonstrate the importance of the NCHA Super Stakes to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth.
Many other expenditures not specifically measured in the survey, such as horse operations by people who live in Texas because of the NCHA Super Stakes and other Triple Crown events, also contribute even more tax revenues to the State of Texas and the City of Fort Worth..

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred (400) interviews were conducted among randomly selected adults attending the National Cutting Horse Association 2006 Super Stakes at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

All interviewing was done by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Rescarch and Associate Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the show to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the NCHA Futurity had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in areas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they were just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of contestants, number of exclusive horse sale participants, and number of vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$ )
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants/horse salc/vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors ( $\mathrm{Vi} \%$ )
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi\# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 787 Contestants (Co) +176 Horse Sale(Sa ) +99 Vendors (Ve) $=1,062 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $78.1 \%$ contestants/horse sale/vendors; $21.9 \%$ visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi)
Vi\# : 21.9\% :: 1,062 : 78.1\%
Vi \# * 78.1\% = 1,062 * 21.9\%
Vi \# * 78.1\% = 23,257.8
Vi $\#=23,257.8 \div 78.1 \%$
Vi \# = 298 projected visitor respondent units
$45.6 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 136 visitors
$59.4 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 177 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).
All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing research, including cconomic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studics for the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderma
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- Fine Line Investments (Bass)
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffel (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- The City of Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union

Exhibit G3

# An Analysis of the <br> Economic Impact of <br> National Cutting Horse Association 2009 Summer Spectacular on the Fort Worth Economy <br> and <br> Texas State Tax Revenues Generated by the Show 

September 2009

Prepared by:
Gerald L. Grotta, Ph.D.
Grotta Marketing Research

## The Bottom Line . . .

## Survey Findings

## 21 days of the 2009 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular

7,741 visiors
67,805 visior days
$\$ 16,567,350$ direct expenditures subject to eligible Texas tuxes \$1,059,299 eligible State Tuxes generated by direct expendiurres

Therefore, we respectfully request certification of $\$ 1,059,299$.

## The Numbers ...

The 2009 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular July13-August 2 generated Texas State Tax revenues eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund conservatively estimated at $\$ 1,059,299$ based on projected total eligible direct expenditures of $\$ 16,567,250$. This is an average of $\$ 244$ per visitor day, compared to $\$ 219$ per visitor day in the 2008 APHA World Championship Show and $\$ 205$ per visitor day for the 2008 NCHA Summer Spectacular.

These estimates are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the show, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 21-day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for the day or two and did not make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded 252 Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.
A projected 7,740 individuals attended the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular. (See Table 1 on the following page)

Table 1. People Attending 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  |  | Average <br> People <br> Per <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Segment | 1,056 | 4.51 | 4,763 |
| Contestants | 788 | 2.40 | 1,891 |
| Horse Sale Participants | 90 | 2.13 | 192 |
| Vendors | 87 | 2.64 | 230 |
| Out-of-State Visitors | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 , 0 7 5}$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | 2.64 | 665 |
| Texas Visitors | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 , 7 4 1}$ |
| Grand Totals |  |  |  |

This translates into 67,805 visitor days. (See Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular Show

|  |  |  | Average <br>  <br> Days at <br>  <br> Summer <br> Spectacular |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | Totals |  |  |
| Contestants | 4,763 | 11.03 | 52,531 |
| Horse Sale Participants | 1,891 | 3.92 | 7,414 |
| Vendors | 192 | 18.63 | 3,571 |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 230 | 6.52 | 1,468 |
| Totals | 7,076 |  | 64,984 |
| Texas Visitors | 665 | 4.24 | 2,821 |
| Grand Totals | $\mathbf{7 , 7 4 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 7 , 8 0 5}$ |

The 2,821 Texas visitor days are not included in the economic impact calculations, and no multipliers were used in the analysis. The figures reported here represent actual projected expenditures during the show by contestants, horse sale participants, vendors, and visitors who live outside of Texas.

Respondents were asked to estimate how much they spent per person per day in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular on food and dining. Calculations are summarized in Table 3 on the following page. It was assumed that people would include an average $20 \%$ tip (undoubtedly a high estimate), so the reported expenditures for dining/food were reduced by $20 \%$ since sales taxes are not collected on tips.

Table 3. Projected Expenditures on Food/Dining

|  | Visitor Days | Per Day | Total | Minus $20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 52,531 | $\$ 79.78$ | $\$ 4,190,926$ | $\$ 3,352,741$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 7,414 | $\$ 50.09$ | $\$ 371,342$ | $\$ 297,074$ |
| Vendors | 3,571 | $\$ 62.00$ | $\$ 221,425$ | $\$ 177,140$ |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 1,468 | $\$ 78.83$ | $\$ 118,049$ | $\$ 94,439$ |
| Totals | 64,984 |  | $\$ 4,901,743$ | $\$ 3,921,394$ |

Respondents were asked how much they spent on alcoholic beverages during meals. As with food, an average tip of $20 \%$ was assumed. (Table 4)

Table 4. Projected Expenditures Alcoholic Drinks with Meals

|  | Groups <br>  <br> Drinking <br> Alcohol per <br> Day | Average <br> Amount Per <br> Group | Total <br> Expenditures | Minus $20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 7,676 | $\$ 71.24$ | $\$ 546,825$ | $\$ 437,480$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 1,276 | $\$ 56.67$ | $\$ 72,296$ | $\$ 57,837$ |
| Vendors | 558 | $\$ 30.00$ | $\$ 16,750$ | $\$ 13,400$ |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 166 | $\$ 25,00$ | $\$ 4,141$ | $\$ 3,313$ |
| Totals | 9,676 |  | $\$ 640,013$ | $\$ 512,010$ |

Respondents were asked whether they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth during the NCHA Summer Spectacular. Only those who said they were staying in a hotel or motel in Fort Worth were asked how many nights they would be staying and how much they were paying per night for the room or rooms they were using. (Table 5)

## Table 5. Expenditures on Hotels/Motels

|  | Total Room <br> Nights | Cost <br> Per Night | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 6,608 | $\$ 122$ | $\$ 806,156$ |
| Horse Sale Participants | 3,313 | $\$ 106$ | $\$ 351,138$ |
| Vendors | 194 | $\$ 159$ | $\$ 30,856$ |
| Out-of-State Visitors | 450 | $\$ 131$ | $\$ 58,985$ |
| Totals | 10,565 |  | $\$ 1,247,135$ |

Respondents also were asked about expenditures and planned spending on a list of products while they were in Fort Worth for the NCHA Summer Spectacular. These purchases are based on the groups of people who came to the show together, rather than individuals. In other words, if a respondent who came to the show with five other people said someone had bought or planned to buy a truck at the show, this was not multiplicd by the six people in the party, but treated as one truck purchase.
To determine the actual number of household purchasing units among contestants and horse show buyers/sellers, all duplication was eliminated for people with the same last name and the same address. This resulted in 2.021 distinct household purchasing units (excluding 252 households in Texas).

Many of the respondents said they were not sure what they might purchase, especially those who were interviewed early in the 21 -day event. If respondents said they had purchased or were definitely planning to purchase products, they were asked to estimate the amount they might spend on each during the show.
If respondents were not sure what they might buy, no purchases were recorded. At no time did the interviewers prompt responses. Only volunteered responses were recorded.
For major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and barns, if respondents said they might purchase them, they were asked how likely they were to purchase them during the show. If they said less than $50-50$, probably not, just checking prices, etc. no purchase was recorded.

Many of the respondents said they were still negotiating price, trade-ins, etc. on major purchases. It was assumed that only half of them would actually make the purchases during the show, a conservative estimate of actual expenditures. In addition, many of those who planned to purchase horse trailers or trucks undoubtedly would have trade-ins, so it was assumed that trade-ins would be a fourth of the price of the purchases.
As with dining/food, the other expenditures were calculated for each segment contestants, vendors, and out-of-state visitors - and then summed for estimated total expenditures.

Only a portion of the sales tax collected on tractors and buildings/sheds is estimated to be eligible, at a rate of $10 \%$ for tractors and $50 \%$ for buildings and sheds.
Using these parameters, Table 6 on the following page summarizes sales tax expenditures eligible under Sporting Event Trust Fund.

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures

|  | Competitors $\& Y$ Youth | Horse Sale | Vendors | Out-ofState Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units/Groups | 1.056 | 788 | 90 | 87 | 2.021 | 252 |
| Western Wear, Boots, Other Clothing | 76.3\% | 53.1\% | 25.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 35.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 806 | 418 | 23 | 49 | 1,295 | 90 |
| Average Expenditure | \$850 | \$343 | \$500 | \$355 |  | 249 |
| Total Expenditures | \$684.869 | \$143,521 | \$11.250 | \$17,296 | \$856.935 | \$22.401 |
| Jewelry, Belts: Accessonies | 39.0\% | 33.3\% | 37.5\% | 16.0\% |  | 27.7\% |
| Number Purchasing | 412 | 262 | 34 | 14 | 722 | 70 |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,023 | \$164 | \$60 | \$125 |  | 200 |
| Total Expenditures | \$421,312 | \$43,034 | \$2,025 | \$1,740 | \$468,112 | \$13,961 |
| Paints, Crafts | 15.9\% | 19.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% |  | 21.0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 168 | 151 |  | 15 | 334 | 53 |
| Average Expenditure | \$272 | \$200 |  | \$125 |  | \$93.00 |
| Total Expenditures | \$45,670 | \$30,102 |  | \$1,892 | \$77,664 | 54.922 |
| Souvenirs | 23.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32,0\% |  | 21.9\% |
| Number Purchasing | 246 |  |  | 28 | 274 | 55 |
| Average Expenditure | $\$ 326$ |  |  | \$50 |  | \$50.00 |
| Total Expenditures | \$80,212 |  |  | \$1,392 | \$81,604 | \$2.759 |
| Horse Trailers | 10.4\% | 4.4\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.6\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 110 | 35 | 11 |  | 156 | 4 |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  | 50.0\% |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 55 | 17 | 6 |  | 78 | 2 |
| Average Expenditure | \$59,000 | \$18,000 | \$15,000 |  |  | \$20,000 |
| Total Expenditures | \$3,239,808 | \$312,048 | \$84,375 |  | \$3,636,231 | \$40,320 |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |  | 25.0\% |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$2,429,856 | \$234,036 | \$63,281 | \$0 | \$2,727,173 | \$30,240 |
| Trucks, Other Vehicles | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 62 |  | 11 |  | 74 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 31 |  | 6 |  | 37 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$62,273 |  | \$60,000 |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$1,939,928 |  | \$337.500 |  | \$2,277,428 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  | 25.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$1,454,946 |  | \$253,125 | \$0 | \$1,708,071 |  |
| Tractors | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchase | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Average Expenditures | \$40,000 |  |  |  | \$40,000 |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$84,480 |  |  |  | \$4,480 |  |
| Trade-ins | 25.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expendifures | \$63.360 |  |  | \$0 | \$63,360 |  |

(Continued)_

Table 6. Eligible Sales Tax Expenditures (Continued)

|  | Competitors \& Youth | Horse Sale | Vendors | Out-of State Visitors | Totals | Texas Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings or Sheds | 3.3\% | 4.5\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |  | 4.8\% |
| Number Planning Purchasing | 35 | 35 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Didn't Complete Purchases | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Number Actually Purchasing | 17 | 18 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Average Expenditure | \$225,625 | \$30,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Taxable Expenditures | \$3,931,290 | \$531,900 |  |  | \$4.463,190 |  |
| Saddles, Other Tack | 63.0\% | 60.9\% | 37.5\% | 34.8\% |  | 59.1\% |
| Number Purchasing | 665 | 480 | 34 | 30 | 1,209 | 149 |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,993 | \$1,163 | \$3,750 | \$480 |  | 558 |
| Total Expendilures | \$1,325,903 | \$558,114 | \$126,563 | \$14,532 | \$2,025,112 | \$83,104 |
| Photos \& Videos | 74.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 14.3\% |
| Number Purchasing | 784 |  |  | 17 |  | 36 |
| Average Expenditures | \$185 |  |  | \$20 |  | \$200 |
| Total Expenditures | \$144,957 |  |  | \$348 | \$145,305 | \$7,207 |
| Alcohol in Stores | 26.8\% | 40.0\% | 12.5\% | 30.4\% |  | 7.9\% |
| Number purchasing. | 283 | 315 | 11 | 26 | 636 | 20 |
| Average Expenditure | \$224 | \$40 | \$75 | \$50 |  | \$20 |
| Total Expenditures | \$63,394 | \$12,608 | \$844 | \$1,322 | \$78,168 | \$398 |
| Other Taxable Items | 10.9\% | 4.9\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% |  | 6.6\% |
| Number Purchasing | 115 | 39 | 11 |  | 165 | 17 |
| Average Expenditure | \$1,167 | \$150 | \$250 |  |  | \$75 |
| Total Expenditures | \$134,326 | \$5,792 | \$2.813 |  | \$142,931 | \$1,247 |
| Vehicle Rentals | 10.7\% | 4.0\% | 13\% | 20.0\% |  | 0\% |
| Number Purchasing | 113 | 32 | 11 | 17 | 173 |  |
| Average Expendilure | \$1,029 | \$400 | \$1,200 | \$335 |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$116.269 | \$12.608 | \$13,500 | \$5.829 | \$148,206 |  |
| Total All Non-Food/Room Expenditures | \$10,896,364 | \$1,571.715 | \$473.400 | \$44,352 | \$12,985.831 | \$166,240 |

The total eligible Texas taxes generated during the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular are summarized in Table 7 on the following page.

Table 7. Total Eligible Direct Expenditures

|  | Projected Direct Expenditures | Texas Tax Rate | Fort Worth Fund Tax Rate | Eligible Texas State Taxes Generated | Fort Worth <br> Taxes <br> Generated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western wear, boots, other clothing | \$856,935 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$53,558 | \$8,569 |
| Jewelry, belts, accessories | \$468,112 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$29,257 | ,681 |
| Paintings and crafts | \$77,664 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$29,257 | \$777 |
| Souvenirs | \$81,604 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$5,854 | 816 |
| Buildings, sheds (50\% of \$4,463,190) | \$2,231,595 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$5,100 |  |
| Saddles, other tack | \$2,025,112 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% |  |  |
| Food/meals (excluding drinks) | \$3,921,394 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% |  |  |
| Alcoholic drinks with meals | \$512,010 | 11.00\% | 1.50\% |  | 39,214 |
| Alcoholic beverages at stores | \$78,168 |  |  | \$56,321 | \$7,680 |
| NCHA lodging expenses |  |  |  | \$4,886 | \$782 |
|  |  | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$2,820 | \$4,230 |
| NCHA food/meal expenses | \$9,481 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$593 | \$95 |
| NCHA other taxable expenses | \$133,113 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$8,320 | \$1,331 |
| Horse trailers | \$2,727,173 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$170,448 | \$27,272 |
| Trucks and other vehicles | \$1,708,071 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$106,754 | \$17,081 |
| Tractors (10\% of 63,360) | \$6,336 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$396 | \$17,081 |
| Hotel/motel room expenditures | \$1,247,135 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$77,946 | \$12,471 |
| Photos/videos of rides | \$145,305 | 6.00\% | 9.00\% | \$8,718 | \$12,471 |
| Vehicle rentals | \$148,206 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | 9,263 |  |
| Other expenditures subject to sales tax | \$142,931 | 6.25\% | 1.00\% | \$8, | 1 |
| Total eligible direct expenditures | \$16,567,350 |  |  | \$8, | 1,429 |
| Eligible Texas Tax generated | \$1,059,299 |  |  | 1,059,299 | \$183,618 |
| Fort Worth City Tax generated | \$183,618 |  |  |  |  |

The importance of the NCHA Summer Spectacular to the Fort Worth economy is reflected in the finding that more than four out of five respondents at the show live outside of the city and about half of them live outside of Texas. (Table 8)

Table 8. Respondent ZIP Codes

|  | Contestants | Sale Participants | Vendors | Visitors |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live in Fort Worth | $20.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| Live in Other Texas | $42.5 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| Live Outside Texas | $35.1 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| Live in Other Countries | $2.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |

The 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular is indeed an international event. The survey included respondents from six countries - Australia, Brazil, Canada, Italy, Venezuela, and the United States.

The event primarily draws people who belong to the National Cutting Horse Association and/or own horses. (Table 9).

Table 9. NCHA Membership and Horse Ownership

|  | Contestants | Horse Sales | Vendors | Visitors |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCHA member | $93.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| Own horses | $94.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ |

## Additional Economic Benefits

The expenditures in this report do not include $\$ 301,011$ by visitors from Texas. In addition, the City of Fort Worth General Fund received $\$ 183,618$ eligible expenditures Other economic impact data are not measured in the survey, such as horse operations by hundreds of people who live in North Texas because of the National Cutting Horse Association Triple Crown shows and other equestrian events.
People who came to the 2009 Summer Spectacular visit Texas an average of 5.56 times a year, and an average of 5.32 of those visits are because of NCHA activities.

## Conservative Assumptions Used for Estimates and Projections

Four hundred random interviews were conducted during the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth. This resulted in a maximum sampling error margin of plus or minus $4.9 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
The survey was designed and supervised by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, president of Grotta Marketing Research and Professor Emeritus at Texas Christian University where he continues to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in research theory and methodology for the Schieffer School of Journalism.

The following procedures demonstrate the conservative basis for the findings:

* Respondents were randomly selected to represent all of the people at the show, based on observation. They included men and women, young adults to senior citizens, various ethnic groups, etc. Only one person was interviewed from any group attending the show.
* All interviews were included in the analysis, even if the respondent said he or she was just there for the day and did not purchase anything.
* Interviews were conducted in various areas of the Will Rogers Memorial Center on every day of the week to represent all types of events and attendees. Interviewing was done during morning, afternoon, and evening time periods. This ensured that all of the people attending the 2009 NCHA Summer Spectacular had a chance of being selected for an interview.
* No interviews were conducted in arcas where vendors were operating, eliminating the possibility of even subconsciously selecting respondents who were making major purchases, such as trucks, horse trailers, barns/sheds, saddles etc.
* For dining/food, the interviewer explained that this was per person per day for all expenditures at the show itself or anywhere else in Fort Worth. In the analysis, it was assumed that these expenditures would include an average gratuity of $20 \%$, which probably is much higher than the actual average. All dining/food expenditures were reduced by this $20 \%$ before the Texas Sales Tax was calculated.
* Only actual or planned purchases were included in the data. Many respondents were not sure what they might purchase or how much they might spend during the show, especially early in the event. If respondents said they did not know what they might purchase or how much they might spend, no purchases were recorded.
* Expenditures subject to sales tax were calculated for each of three categories contestants, vendors, and visitors - and then combined for total expenditures and projected sales tax revenues. This prevented over-representation in the calculations of contestants, who typically spend more at these events than do vendors or visitors.
* On major purchases of horse trailers, tractors, trucks, and buildings, respondents had to say they already had made a purchase or probably would make the purchases. If respondents said the chances of making a major purchase were less than $50-50$, no purchase was recorded. If the respondents said they werc just checking or looking at these items or were comparing prices and probably would not make the purchases during the show, the potential purchases were not recorded on the questionnaire. If respondents said something like, "Yes, I have purchased a truck at the show," the interviewer would ask, "Did you purchase it at the show this year?" Finally, it was assumed that only half of those planning such purchases would actually conclude the deals during the show, so the number of purchases was reduced by $50 \%$.
* Because most people probably had trade-ins for horse trailers and trucks, it was assumed that only three-fourths of the reported purchase price would be subject to sales tax on these major purchases.
* All data reflect only the eligible purchases made by respondents during the show and projected to the total attendance. No multipliers were used in any of the analyses.


## Projecting Attendance

Two attendance figures were known - the number of contestants and the number of vendors. The unknown figure is the number of visitors. An estimate of the number of visitors was calculated as follows:
Known:
Number of adult+youth contestants, horse sale participants, and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$ \#)
Determined in Survey:
Percent of respondents who are in parties of contestants and vendors ( $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%$ )
Percent of respondents who are visitors (Vi \%)
Calculated:
Number of visitors (Vi \#)
Vi \# : Vi \% :: Co+Sa+Ve \# : Co+Sa+Ve \%
Vi \# times $\mathrm{C} 0+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \%=\mathrm{Vi} \%$ times $\mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve} \#$
Solve for Vi \#
Known: 1,056 Contestants (Co) +788 Sales (Sa) +90 Vendors (Ve) $=1,934 \mathrm{Co}+\mathrm{Sa}+\mathrm{Ve}$
Determined by survey: $75.7 \%$ Contestants + Sales + Vendors and $24.3 \%$ Visitors
Unknown Attendance: Visitors (Vi\#)
Vi\# : 24.3\% :: 1,056 : 75.7\%
Vi \# * $75.7 \%=257$
$\mathrm{Vi} \#=257 \div 75.7 \%$
Vi \# 339 projected visitor respondent units
$25.7 \%$ of visitors are from outside of Texas, or 87 visitors
$74.3 \%$ of visitors are from Texas, or 252 visitors
The projected number of respondents in each segment was multiplied by the average number of people per group for each of the three categories after eliminating visitors who live in Texas. This determined the estimated attendance for each category, which were summed to estimate total attendance for the event.

Expenditures for meals, groceries, and drinks were estimated by multiplying reported average expenditures per person per day by the number of people in each category times the number of days in attendance (or the number of visitor days).
All other expenditures were based on the number of groups attending the show, rather than on the total number of individuals. Average (mean) expenditures were multiplied by the number of groups in each category that make the various types of expenditures and summed for estimated total expenditures. Projected expenditures are consistent with findings from previous economic impact studies for the NCHA and other horse associations holding events at the Will Rogers Memorial Center in Fort Worth.

## NCHA 2009 Summer Spectacular Survey

ID \#
Date: : $\qquad$ Time: Morning-1 Afternoon-2 Evening-- 3
Location: Coliscum-- 1 Amon Carter Exhibits-2 W.R. Watt Arcaa-- 3 John Justin Arena-- 4 Gender: Malc-- 1 Female-2

Hello. We are doing a survey of people at the NCHA Summer Spectacular and I'd like to ask you just a few questions. This will take only a couple of minutes and your answers will be confidential.

1. First, which of the following best describes your reason for being here today? (Read List) Own horse and riding in event ------1 Professional trainer not riding in event---------15 Family member riding in event------2 Trainer riding for horse's owner ---- 3 $\qquad$
Vendor $\qquad$ Friend or employer riding in event - 4
2. How many people, including yourself, came to the Summer Spectacular with you? $\qquad$ people
2a. Will they all be here with you every day you are at the show? Yes-- 1 (Ask 2b) No-- 2 (Skip to 3) 2 b . About how many people will be here with you each day, on average? $\qquad$
3. About how much do you expect will be spent on average for each person in your party each day you are here for food and meals - including breakfast, lunch, dinner, and snacks but excluding drinks -- both here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center and at other places in Fort Worth? \$ $\qquad$ per day
4. How much, if any, will your party spend each day on alcoholic drinks with your meals? $\qquad$ per day
5. Have you or will you or anyone in your group be making any the following purchases -- cither here at the Will Rogers Memorial Center or elsewhere in Fort Worth -- during the Summer Spectacular? (Read List)
[] Western wear, boots, or other clothing $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend? --..-
[] Jewelry, belts, and other accessories $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend? ----

[] Souvenirs -> if "yes," ask About how much do you expect to spend?
[ ] Horse trailers $->$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Tractors $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Trucks or other vehicles -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Buildings or sheds $\rightarrow$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you cxpect to spend?
[] Photos or videos-> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Saddles or other tack -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[ ] Alcoholic beverages at a store -> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
[] Any other purchases with sales tax $->$ if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?----
[] Will you rent a car? >> if "yes," ask: About how much do you expect to spend?
6. How many days will you be attending the Summer Spectacular? $\qquad$ days
7. Will you stay in a Fort Worth hotel or motel? Yes-- 1 (Ask 7a, $7 b$ \& 7c) No-- 2 (Skip to 8)

7a. How many nights will you be staying? $\qquad$ nights
7b. How many rooms will you be using? $\qquad$ rooms
7c. Approximately how much will you be spending each night for hotel or motel rooms? $\qquad$
8. Are you a member of the National Cutting Horsc Association? Yes-1 No-- 2
9. Do you own horses? Yes-- $1 \quad \mathrm{No}-2$
10.What is your ZIP Code? $\qquad$ (If NOT Texas -- 75000-79999, ask 10a)
10a. How many times a year do you come to Texas? $\qquad$ (If more than 1, ask 10b)
10b. How many of those times are because of cutting horse events or activities? $\qquad$
Thank vou verv much for vour time and cooneration.

## Gerald L. Grotta Biographical Sketch

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta has an extensive background in marketing rescarch, including economic impact studies for a wide range of clients. He designed and conducted a project for the equestrian industry in Fort Worth during 2002 and 2003 that included intercept interviewing at seven horse shows at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. He also has done economic impact studies for the Fort Worth Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Fine Line Diversified Investments (Bass), and The Tower, as well as dozens of studies for newspapers and magazines on the relationship between readership and consumer expenditures in local, regional, and national markets. His newspaper research has been audited and certified by the Advertising Research Foundation and his previous economic impact surveys for the National Cutting Horse Association and the American Paint Horse Association have been certified by the State of Texas Comptroller's Office.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division. He conducted many major research and consulting projects for such clients as:

- Time
- Sports Illustrated
- Ladies Home Journal
- Redbook
- Rolling Stone
- New York Magazine
- Wall Street Journal
- Minneapolis Star \& Tribune
- Los Angeles Times-Mirror Newspapers
- New York Post
- J. C. Penney
- A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division
- Young \& Rubicam Public Relations
- W. R. Grace

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He continues to teach graduate and undergraduate courses at TCU in research theory and methodology. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland.

He is in demand as a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Since opening his own marketing research company in Fort Worth in 1983, Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, and national clients, including:

## Retail/Business/Professional:

- Alcon
- Galderna
- Sovegal (France)
- Kelly, Hart \& Hallman
- Sundance Square
- The Tower
- Frito Lay
- Pro-Cuts Family Hair Care Centers
- Pancho's Mexican Buffet (Fort Worth/Dallas, Houston, Denver)
- GTE Directory Services
- Infomart (Dallas)
- Frank Kent Cadillac (Fort Worth)


## Governmental/Associations/Organizations:

- Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Burcau
- Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau
- Better Business Bureau at Fort Worth
- Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
- Fort Worth Zoo
- Mira Vista Golf Club
- The City of Arlington
- American Association of Petroleum Landmen
- National Association for the Self-Employed
- Texas Farm Bureau
- Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
- American Paint Horse Association
- American Angus Association
- Texas Longhorn Association
- National Cutting Horse Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
- American Quarter Horse Junior Association
- National Team Penning Association
- American Arabian Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club


## Media:

- Houston Chronicle
- Fort Worth Star-Telegram
- Dallas Business Journal
- Paint Horse Journal
- The Cattleman
- The Longhorn Journal
- Boca Raton (Florida) Magazine
- Texas Agriculture

Advertising Agencies:

- GCG/Graphic Concepts Group Advertising and Public Relations
- The Balcom Agency
- Kearley \& Company
- Johnson Corporate Communications
- Main Station
- Makovsky \& Company (New York City)

Medical:

- Harris Methodist Fort Worth
- Humana Hospital - Medical City Dallas
- Zale Lipshy University Hospital (Dallas)
- Children's Medical Center (Dallas)
- Arlington Memorial Hospital


## Financial:

- NationsCredit
- Central Bank \& Trust/Norwest Banks Texas/Wells Fargo, Fort Worth
- OmniAmerican Credit Union
- American Airlines Employees Federal Credit Union
- NASA Federal Credit Union
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
- Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
- Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
- Educational Employees Credit Union (Fort Worth)
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
- Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employces Federal Credit Union
- Austin Area Teachers Federal Credit Union

Grotta Marketing Research, LLC (817) 308-2850 or (817) 917-5595 jerry@gmr-research.com angie@gmr-research.com gmr-research.com

## Attendance Report

Date: March 1, 2014
To: Jim Bret Campbell
From: Angie Highland
Project: 2013 NCHA World Championship Futurity \& World Finals Attendance Report

In accordance with Section 2.103 of the "Proposed Revised Rules" governing the Major Events Trust Fund, an attendance certification is required post event and must include:

1. The actual number of attendees at the approved event that are not residents of Texas;
2. Total attendance at the event; and
3. The source for such numbers

This report is designed to satisfy this request related to the following event: 2013 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) World Championship Futurity

All of the attendance numbers in this report are based on "hard data," as follows:

* 835 unique contestants (NCHA records)
* 1,077 unique horse buyers/sellers (Bloodstock Horse Sale records)
* 26 judges and monitors and days at the show (NCHA records)
- 48 show staff and NCHA officials and days at the show (NCHA records)
* 79 sales staff and days at the show (Bloodstock Horse Sale records)
* 167 vendor booths (NCHA records)
* 11,209 spectator tickets sold, an average of 1,401 for each of 8 ticketed events (NCHA records)

The following numbers were calculated from interviews conducted among the 546 randomly selected people at the show.

* Average number of people attending the show with participants and vendors
* Average number of days participants and vendors attended the show
* Number of groups of ticketed spectators attending the show
\% The percentage of spectators from outside of Texas vs. those from Texas
- Contestants and horse buyers and sellers were grouped together as "Total Participants"


## Results

A projected 12,708 different individuals attended the 2013 NCHA Futurity.
Table 1. People Attending 2013 NCHA Futurity and World Finals

|  | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Total <br> People |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Participants | 1,912 | 1.98 | 3,791 |
| Vendors | 167 | 2.45 | 409 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 199 | 2.09 | 416 |
| Total eligible | 2,278 |  | 4,616 |
| Texas spectators | 3,764 | 2.15 | 8,093 |
| Grand totals | 6,042 |  | 12,708 |

This projects to 77,630 visitor days.

Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2013 NCHA Futurity and World Finals

|  |  | Average <br> Days at <br> Show | Total <br> Visitor <br> Days |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Participants | 3,791 | 14.98 | 56,785 |
| Vendors | 409 | 23.55 | 9,635 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 416 | 7.49 | 3,116 |
| Total eligible | 4,616 |  | 69,537 |
| Texas spectators | 8,093 | 1.00 | 8,093 |
| Grand totals | 12,708 |  | 77,630 |

## Comparing 2012 to 2013

When comparing the results from 2012 to 2013 show, there is a negligible decrease in the "Total Participants" category from 2,163 in 2012 to 1,912 in 2013 (11.6\%). In regards to actual entries, in 2012 there were a total of 2,549 compared to 2,666 in 2013, meaning that 2013 show participation was up over 2012. Additionally, "Visitor Days" for the 2013 show were up 17\% over 2012. The City of Fort Worth and the National Cutting Horse Association view the results of the 2013 event as comparable with the success of this event over the past 3 years.

A detailed analysis of spending will be provided in a forthcoming report.

## Grotta Marketing Research, LLC

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, CEO, has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact analyses, product market potential, competitive analysis, membership surveys, focus group sessions, and marketing plans. He has designed and conducted hundreds of marketing research projects in the past 40 years and his company marked its $30^{\text {th }}$ anniversary in 2013.

From 1969 to 1972 Dr. Grotta was a consultant to the National Academy of Sciences Computer Science and Engineering Board for the Project on Computer Data Banks. This resulted in a book, Data Banks in a Free Society: Computers, Record Keeping and Privacy, by Alan F. Westin et. al., which was a factor in passage of the Federal Privacy Act of 1974.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division.
From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in journalism with emphasis on economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark in 1976 and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland in 1984. He has been a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.
Angie Highland, COO, was on the staff of the City of Fort Worth for ten years before joining Grotta Marketing Research, LLC as partner and Chief Operating Officer in 2014. She is a
recognized authority on the Texas Events Fund programs. She has a Master's Degree in Public Administration from The University of North Texas and is working toward her Ph.D. degree in marketing research.

Grotta Marketing Research, LLC is a member of the American Marketing Association and an accredited member of the Better Business Bureau.

Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a variety of local, state, national and international clients, including:

A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division<br>$>$ Alcon<br>American Airlines Employee Credit Union<br>$>$ American Angus Association<br>$>$ American Arabian Horse Association<br>$\Rightarrow$ American Brahman Breeders Association<br>$>$ American Miniature Horse Association<br>$\Rightarrow$ American Paint Horse Association<br>- American Quarter Horse Association<br>> Appaloosa Horse Club<br>$>$ Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union<br>> Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ Better Business Bureau of Tarrant County<br>$>$ Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce<br>$>$ Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau<br>$>$ Fort Worth Star-Telegram<br>$>$ Fort Worth Stock Show and Rodeo<br>$>$ Fort Worth Zoo<br>$>$ Frito Lay<br>$>$ Galderma<br>$>$ Houston Chronicle<br>$>$ IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Credit Union<br>$>$ Infomart (Dallas)<br>$>$ J.C. Penney<br>> Kansas State University Student Media<br>$>$ Kelly, Hart \& Hallman Law Firm<br>$>$ Lost Oak Winery<br>> Martinsville DuPont Credit Union<br>$>$ Minneapolis Star-Tribune<br>> Montreal Star (Canada)<br>$>$ NASA Federal Credit Union<br>> National Cutting Horse Association<br>$>$ NationsCredit<br>$>$ Rolling Stone<br>$>$ Sovegal (France)<br>$>$ Sports Illustrated

> Sundance Square
Texas \& Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
$>$ Texas Farm Bureau
$>$ The City of Fort Worth
$\Rightarrow$ Time
> Texas Christian University Student Media
$>$ University of Pittsburgh Student Media
$>$ Van Cliburn International Piano Competition
$>$ Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
$>$ W.R. Grace
$>$ Wall Street Journal

These expenditures were included in the 2011 Futurity report but were deducted from the amount approved by the Comptroller's office. The expenditures in this report conform to the guidelines. Estimated daily attendance during the 21 -day show, based on the number of entries competing each day of the show, is summarized as follows:

## 2012 NCHA Futurity and World Finals Daily Attendance Estimates

| $\frac{\text { Date }}{\text { Unduplicated }}$ | Dally Entries | \% of Total Entrios | Number of Jufigas and Morltors | Numbar of Show Stat. NCHA Officlals | Unduplicatad Number of Peopla in Compallior Gioups | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sates } \\ & \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | Nat <br> Unduplicatad <br> Peoplo In Horse Sale Groups | Unduplicated People in Vendar Groups |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unduplicated Indlulduals |  |  | 37 | - 8 |  |  |  |  | Spactatars | Tatals |
| Frh, Nav. 23 Bove In |  |  | 0 | 7 |  | 50 | 2,174 | 346 | 1.446 | 6. 989 |
| Sal, Nov. 24 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Sunn Nov. 25 | 112 |  | 1 | 18 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Mon., Nov. 26 |  |  |  |  | 1,973 | 3 | 439 | 287 | 146 | 2.903 |
|  |  | 4.48 | B | 46 | 1.991 | 3 | 443 | 290 | 147 | 2.928 |
| TWe., Mov | 105 | 4.1\% | 8 | 46 | 1.850 | 3 | 41 | 269 |  |  |
| Wed., Nov. 28 | 105 | 4.1\% |  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 137 | 2.725 |
| Thu, Mov 29 |  |  | 8 | 46 | 1,850 | 3 | 412 | 289 | 137 | 2.725 |
| Thu., Nov. 2 L | 105 | 4.1\% | 8 | 46 | 1,850 | 3 | 412 | 269 | 137 |  |
| Frl., Nov. 30 | 120 | 4.7\% | 15 | 46 | 2114 | 3 | 4 |  | 137 | 2.725 |
| Sat, Dec. 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 471 | 308 | 156 | 3.113 |
|  | 150 | 59\% | 15 | 46 | 2,643 | 3 | 589 | 385 | 195 | 3.875 |
|  | 135 | 53\% | 8 | 46 | 2,378 | 3 | 530 | 348 | 17 |  |
| Mon.t Doe, 3 | 121 | 4.7\% | 8 |  |  |  |  | 348 | 176 | 3.487 |
| Tue., Dec. 4 |  |  |  | 46 | 2.132 | 12 | 475 | 310 | 158 | 3.140 |
|  | 135 | 5.3\% | 8 | 48 | 2.378 | 10 | 530 | 346 | 176 | 3.494 |
| Wed., Dac. 5 | 176 | 6.9\% | 15 | 46 | $3.101$ |  |  | 348 | 176 | 3.494 |
| Thur, Dec. 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 681 | 451 | 230 | 4.544 |
| Fri, Dee | 200 | 8.1\% | 20 | 46 | 3,629 | 17 | 808 | 528 | 269 | 5,317 |
| Fromber | 144 | 5.6\% | 18 | 46 | 2.537 | 17 | 565 | 369 | 188 |  |
| Sat, Dec. 8 | 181 | 7.5\% | 20 | 46 |  |  |  |  | 188 | 3.740 |
| Sun., Dec. 9 |  |  |  | 16 | 3.365 | 17 | 749 | 480 | 249 | 4,936 |
|  | 113 | 4.4\% | 8 | 46 | 1,991 | 22 | 443 | 290 | 147 | 2.947 |
| Mon., Decs 10 | 120 | 4.7\% | 8 | 46 | 2.114 | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| Tue., Dec. 11 |  |  |  |  | 2.114 | 56 | 479 | 308 | 158 | 3.159 |
|  | 82 | 3.2\% | 8 | 45 | 1,445 | 56 | 322 | 210 | 107 | 2,194 |
| Ha, Dec. 12 | 146 | 5.7\% | 8 | 46 | 2.572 | 58 | 573 | 374 | 190 |  |
| Thur, Dec. 13 | 64 | 25\% | 8 |  |  |  |  | 374 | 190 | 3.822 |
| Fri., Dec. 14 |  |  | 8 | 46 | 1.127 | 58 | 251 | 184 | 83 | 1.738 |
|  | 76 | 30\% | 8 | 46 | 1.339 | 57 | 298 | 195 | 99 | 2042 |
| Sal, Dac. 13 | 30 | 1.2\% | 8 | 45 | 529 | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| Sun., Dec, 16 Move out |  |  |  |  | 528 | 56 | 118 | 77 | 38 | 872 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 19 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 77 |
| Tolals | 2.549 | 100.0\% | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
|  |  |  | 225 | 1.011 | 44,905 | 482 | 10,002 | 6.536 | 3.324 | 66,485 |

The total number of people each day is higher than the 64,757 reported on the preceding page because the NCHA staff members and Bloodstock Horse Sale staff members were not included in the projections of expenditures during the Futurity.

The projections in this report are based on a survey of 400 randomly selected adults attending the 2012 World Championship Futurity, using assumptions developed to minimize the possibility of overestimating the amount of eligible State Taxes generated during the 21 -day event. Interviewing was done every day of the week and at all locations being used at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. All respondents who were interviewed are included in this analysis, including those who were just at the show for a day or two and did nol make any purchases.
In calculating the economic impact, only expenditures by people competing in events, vendors, and spectators who live outside of Texas were included. This excluded Texas residents who did not compete in events and were not vendors.
A projected 6,864 individuals attended the 2012 NCHA Futurity.
Table 1. People Attending 2012 NCHA Futurity

|  | Groups in <br> Segment | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Tolals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 955 | 3.02 | 2,684 |
| Horse sale participants | 1,208 | 1.80 | 2,174 |
| Vendors | 173 | 2.00 | 346 |
| Out-of-state spectators | 92 | 1.75 | 161 |
| Total eliglble | 2,428 |  | 5,566 |
| Texas spectators | 662 | 1.96 | 1,298 |
| Grand totals | 3,090 |  | 6,864 |

This translates into 64,757 visitor days. (Table 2)
Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2012 NCHA Futurity

|  | People in <br> Segmeni | Average <br> Days al <br> Show | Tolals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contestants | 2,884 | 15.57 | 44,905 |
| Horse sale participants | 2,174 | 4.60 | 10,002 |
| Vendors | 346 | 18.89 | 6,536 |
| Out-of-stale spectalors | 161 | 2.92 | 470 |
| Total eligible | 6,668 |  | 61,914 |
| Texas spectators | 1,298 | 2.19 | 2,843 |
| Grand totals | 6,864 |  | 64,757 |
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Form to be COMPLETED by request letter slgnatory for the below mentioned
ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown op Cutting . PUTURTTY |
| :---: | :---: |
| You submitted $n$ Request letter for mn event: , | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptrolter malled a fund approval letter to yoll on: | October 14, $2013{ }^{\circ}$ |
| This affidavil is to bo returned to the Complroller no Iater than foutteon (14) days after the end of this evant: | December 28, 2013 |

[^17]
яルス
Gerald L. Grotta
President/CEO
 December 31, 2013
The 2013 National Cutting Horse Association Futurity and World
Finals show was held November 24-Decenber 15 at the Will Rogers
Memorial Center in Fort Worth. The data in the attached Microsoft
Excel files were analyzed by Dr. Gerald L. Grotta.
The procedure involved the use of actual numbers of unique
participants and vendors, provided by the NCHA.
The number of spectators was calculated on the basis of NCHA files
and the results from a survey of 545 people who attended the event.


| Basis for attendance counts |
| :--- |
| Number of Groups： |
| 1．Number of judges and monitors－NCHA Records |
| 2．Number of show staff，NCHA officials－NCHA Records |
| 3．Unduplicated contestants－NCHA Records |
| 4．Sales staff－NCHA Records |
| 5．Net unduplicated buyers and sellers－NCHA Records |
| 6．Number of Vendors－NCHA Vendors |
| 7．Number of Spectators－Actual NCHA Ticket Sales |
| （Groups 1－6 all received passes to the ticketed event so the number of |
| tickets sold reflect people not involved with the Futurity in any capacity） |
| Number of People： |
| The number of people in Groups 3 and 5 were computed from averages in |
| the survey of 400 people at the Futurity． |
| The number of spectators was based on ticket sales at eight ticketed |
| events and the percentage of specators the survey who live outside of |
| Texas and in Texas．Clearly there were other spectators who visited the |
| non－ticketed Futurity events but it was not possible to document this from |
| the survey． |
| It also assumed that people who participated in the horse sales were |
| primarily at the Futurity during the sales，although many of them may |
| have attended other non－ticketed events． |
| It was assumed that people in Groups 1,2 and 4 who were working at the |
| Futurity were there alone．In addition，these people will not be included in |
| the economic impact projections． |
| Because of the methodology used，we feel that the data presented here |
| are very conservative estimates of actual attendance at the Futurity． |

2013 NCHA Futurity（and World Finals）Daily Attendance Estimates
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# Form to be COMPLETED by request letter signatory for the below mentioned Major Events Trust Fund or Events Trust Fund 

## ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust <br> Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting - SUPER <br> STAKES |
| :--- | :--- |
| You submitted a Request letter for an event: | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptroller mailed a fund approval letter to <br> you on: | October 14, 2013 |
| This affidavit is to be returned to the Comptroller no <br> later than fourteen (14) days after the end of this <br> event: | May 3, 2014 |

The TOTAL attendance at the PRIMARY EVENT was: $\quad \uparrow, 940$
The ACTUAL attendees NOT residents of this state for this PRIMARY EVENT was: 222
Sources) and Methodology feel free to attach support information:
Sou attached attendance report

- I understand that noncompliance with reporting requirements could be troated as a yiolatoll of the statute and/or program rules resulting in the possible withholding of disbursement funding.
- I understand that il is a felony offense under Sccilon 37.10. Texas Penal Code, to knowingly make a false entry in, or false alteration of, a governmental record, or to make, present, or use a govemmental record with knowledge of its falsity, when the actor has the intent to tarim or defraud another.
- I understand my obligation to provide information about event expectations and performance that are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability, I also understand ny obligation to immediately report any known or suspected waste, limed, and abuse of finds received under the Act to the Texas Slate Auditor's Office ill 1-800-892-8348.

Requestor:


Date:


[^18]Grota Marketing Research, LLC
(817) 308-2850 or (817) 917-5595 jerry@gmr-research.com angie@gmr-research,com gnir-research.com

## Attendance Report

Date: April 22, 2014
To: Jim Bret Campbell
From: Angie Highland
Project: 2014 NCHA Super Stakes \& Super Stakes Classic Attendance Report

In accordance with Section 2.103 of the "Projosed Revised Rules" governing the Major Events Trust Fund, an attendance certification is required post event and must include;

1. The actual number of attendees at the approved event that are not residents of Texas;
2. Total attendance at the event; and
3. The source for such numbers

This report is designed to satisfy this request related to the following event: 2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Super Stakes \& Super Stakes Classic

All of the attendance numbers in this report are based on "hard data," as follows:

* 716 unique contestants (NCHA records)
* 627 unique horse buyers/sellers (Western Bloodstock Horse Sale records)
* 14 judges and monitors and days at the show (NCHA records)
* 49 show staff and NCHA officials and days at the show (NCHA records)
* 76 sales staff and days at the show (Western Bloodstock Horse Sale records)
- Totaling 1,482 Eligible Participants
* 83 vendor booths (NCHA records)
* 959 spectator tickets sold for the finals performance (Extreme Tix records)

The following numbers were calculated from interviews conducted among the 400 randomly selected people at the show.

* Average number of people attending the show with participants and vendors
* Average number of days participants and vendors attended the show
* Number of groups of ticketed spectators attending the show
* The percentage of spectators from outside of Texas vs. those from Texas
* Contestants and horse buyers and sellers were grouped together as "Total Participants"


## Results

A projected 7,940 different individuals attended the 2014 NCHA Super Stakes.
Table 1. People Altending 2014 NCHA Super Stakes \& Super Stakes Classic

|  |  | Average <br> Poople in <br> Group | Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parlicipants | 1,482 | 4.60 | 6,817 |
| Vendors | 83 | 1.98 | 164 |
| Out-of-state visitors | 56 | 4.00 | 222 |
| Total ellgilble | 1,621 |  | 7,203 |
| Texas visltors | 398 | 1,85 | 737 |
| Grand totals | 2,019 |  | 7,940 |

This projects to 93,887 visitor days.

Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2014 NCHA Super Stakes \& Super Stakes Classic

|  |  | Averags <br> Days ai <br> Show | Tolals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particlpants | 6,817 | 12.70 | 86,676 |
| Vendors | 164 | 23.00 | 3,772 |
| Out-of-state visilors | 222 | 1.50 | 333 |
| Total eligible | 7,203 |  | 90,681 |
| Texas visitors | 737 | 4.35 | 3,206 |
| Grand totals | 7,940 |  | 93,887 |

## Comparing 2013 to 2014

When comparing the attendance results from the 2013 to 2014 show, it is quite apparent that the 2014 show far exceeded expectations. Individuals in the "Total Eligible Participants" category grew from 4,948 in 2013 to 7,203 in 2014 (31.3\%). In regards to unduplicated contestants, in 2013 there were a total of 698 compared to 716 in 2014, meaning that participation at the 2014 show was up over 2013. The City of Fort Worth and the National Cutting Horse Association view the results of the 2014 event as extremely successful.

A detailed analysis of spending will be provided in a forthcoming report.

## Grotta Marlketing Research, LLC

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, CEO, has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact analyses, product market potential, competitive analysis, membership surveys, focus group sessions, and marketing plans. He has designed and conducted hundreds of marketing research projects in the past 40 years and his company marked its $30^{\text {lli }}$ anniversary in 2013.

From 1969 to 1972 Dr. Grotta was a consultant to the National Acadenny of Sclences Computer Science and Engineering Board for the Project on Computer Data Banks. This resulted in a book, Data Banks in a Free Soctety: Computers, Record Keeping and Privacy, by Alan F. Westin et. al., which was a factor in passage of the Federal Privacy Act of 1974.
Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr. Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division.
From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a jounalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy editing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in journalism with emphasis on economics and mass communications research.
Dr. Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark in 1976 and featured speaker' at an advertising conference in Fersinki, Finland in 1984.

He has been a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.
Angie Highland, COO, was on the staff of the City of Fort Worth for ten years before joining Grotta Marketing Research, LLC as partner and Chief Operating Officer in 2014. She is a
recognized authority on the Texas Events Fund programs. She has a Master's Degree in Public Administration from The University of North Texas and is working toward her Ph.D. degree in marketing research.

Grotta Marketing Research, LLC is a member of the American Marketing Association and an accredited member of the Better Business Bureat.

Dr. Grotta has done research and consulting projects for a varicty of local, state, national and international clients, including:

$>$ A.T.\&T. Long Lines Division<br>$>$ Alcon<br>> American Airlines Employce Credit Union<br>$>$ American Angus Association<br>$>$ American Arabian Horse Association<br>$>$ American Bralman Breeders Association<br>$>$ American Miniature Horse Association<br>$>$ American Paint Horse Association<br>$>$ American Quarter Horse Association<br>$>$ Appaloosa Horse Club<br>$>$ Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ Better Business Bureau of Tarrant County<br>$>$ Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce<br>$>$ Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau<br>$>$ Fort Worth Star-Telegram<br>$>$ Fort Worth Stock Show and Radeo<br>$>$ Fort Worth Zoo<br>$>$ Frito Lay<br>$>$ Galderma<br>$>$ Houston Chronicle<br>$>$ IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Credit Union<br>$>$ Infomart (Dallas)<br>$>$ J.C. Penney<br>> Kansas State University Student Media<br>$>$ Kelly, Hart \& Hallman Law Firm<br>$>$ Lost Oak Winery<br>$>$ Martinsville DuPont Credit Union<br>$>$ Minneapolls Star-Tribune<br>$>$ Montreal Star (Canada)<br>$>$ NASA Federal Credit Union<br>$>$ National Cutting Horse Association<br>$>$ NationsCredit<br>$>$ Rolling Stone<br>$>$ Sovegal (France)<br>$>$ Sports Illustrated

$>$ Sundance Square
$>$ Texas \& Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
$>$ Texas Farm Bureau
$>$ The City of Fort Worth
$>$ Time
$>$ Texas Christian University Student Media
$>$ University of Pittsburgh Student Media
$>$ Van Cliburn International Piano Competition
$>$ Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
$>$ W.R. Grace
$>$ Wall Streel Journal

## Form to be COMPLETED by request letter signatory for the below mentioned Major Events Trust Fund or Events Trust Fund

## ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATION

| A request was processed to establish an Event Trust <br> Fund for the event: | 2013-14 Triple Crown of Cutting - SUMMER <br> SPECTACULAR |
| :--- | :--- |
| You submitted a Request letter for an event: | September 23, 2013 |
| The Comptroller mailed a fund approval letter to <br> you on: | October 14, 2013 |
| This affidavit is to be returned to the Comptroller no <br> later than fourteen (14) days after the end of this <br> event: | Allgust 16, 2014 |

The TOTAL attendance at the PRIMARY EVENT' was: $\quad 1639$
The ACTUAL attendees NOT residents of this state for this PRIMARY EVENT was: $\qquad$
Sources) and Methodology feel free to attach support information:
Places se attached report

- I understand that non-compliance with roporthag requirements could be treated as a violation of the stative and/or program rules resulting in the possible withholding of disbursement fund hg.
- I understand that if is a felony offense under Section 37.10, Texas Penal Codon, to knowingly mako a false entry in, or false alteration of a govemmental record, or to make, present, or uso a governmental record with knowledge of is falsity, when
- The actor hos the intent to harm or defraud another.
- 1 understand ny obligation to provide intonation bow event expectations and performance that are trio and accurate to lie best of my knowledge and ability, I also understand my obligation to lamedlacely report any known or suspected waste, fraud, and abuse of finds received under the Aet to the 'Texas Stale Auditor's Office al 1.800-892-8348.

Requestor:


Date: $8 \mid 11) 2014$

Send lo:
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, Economic Development and Analysis Department, P.O. Box 13528, Austin, TX 78711.3528

Grolla Marketing Rescarch, LLC (817) 308-2850 or (817) 917-5595 jerry@gmr-research,com angic@amr-research,com gimr-research.com

# Attendance Report 

Date: August 9, 2014
To: Jim Bret Campbell, National Cutting Horse Association
From: Angie Highland, Grota Marketing Research, LLC
Project: 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular Altendance Report

In accordance with Section 2.103 of the "Proposed Revised Rules" governing the Major Events Trust Fund, an attendance certification is required post event and must include:

1. The actual number of attendees at the approved event that are not residents of Texas;
2. Total attendance at the event; and
3. The source for such numbers

This report is designed to satisfy this request related to the following event: 2014 National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) Summer Spectacular
All of the attendance numbers in this report are based on "hard data," as follows:

* 713 unique contestants (NCHA records)
* 692 unique horse buyers/sellers (Western Bloodsto.ck Horse Sale records)
* 26 judges and monitors and days at the show (NCHA records)
- 47 show staff and NCHA officials and days at the show (NCHA records)
* 81 sales staff and days at the show (Western Bloodstock Horse Sale records)
- Tutaling 1,559 Eligible Participants
* 80 vendor booths (NCHA records)
*. 1,281 spectator tickets sold for the finals performance (Exireme Tix records)

The following numbers were calculated from interviews conducted among the 466 randomly selected people at the show.

* Average number of people attending the show with participants and vendors
- Average number of days participants and vendors attended the show
* Number of groups of ticketed spectators attending the show
* The percentage of spectators from outside of Texas vs, those from Texas
* Contestants and horse buyers and sellers were grouped together as "Total Parlicipants"


## Results

A projected 6,873 different individuals attended the 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular.
Table 1. People Attending 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular

|  | Average <br> People in <br> Group | Totals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particlpanls | 1,569 | 3.42 | 5,332 |
| Vendors | 80 | 3.23 | 258 |
| Out-of-stale spectalors* | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Total eligible | 1,639 |  | 5,590 |
| Texas spectators | 309 | 4.15 | 1,282 |
| Grand tolals | 1,948 |  | 6,873 |

 that was an adequate sample slze to assign population slac or spending assimpitions,

This projects to 85,681 visitor days.

Table 2. Projected Visitor Days, 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular

|  |  | Average Days <br> at Show | Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participanis | 5,332 | 14,65 | 78,114 |
| Vendors | 258 | 22.87 | 5,900 |
| Out-of-state speclators | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Total eliglble | 5,590 |  | 84,014 |
| Texas spectators | 1,282 | 1.30 | 1,667 |
| Grand tolals | 6,872 |  | 85,681 |

## General Comments

The 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular was well attended and met all of the expectations surrounding participation and spending. The City of Fort Worth and the National Cutting Horse Association view the results of the event as extremely successful.

A detailed analysis of spending will be provided in a forthcoming report.

## Grotta Marketing Research, LLC

Dr. Gerald L. Grotta, CEO, has an extensive background in marketing research, including economic impact analyses, product market potential, competitive analysis, membership surveys, focus group sessions, and marketing plans. He has designed and conducted hundreds of marketing research projects in the past 40 years and his company marked its $30^{1 / 1}$ anniversary in 2013.

From 1969 to 1972 Dr. Grotta was a consultant to the National Academy of Sciences Computer Science and Engineering Board for the Project on Computer Data Banks. This resulted in a book, Data Banks in a Free Society: Computers, Record Keeping and Privacy, by Alan F. Westin et. al., which was a factor in passage of the Federal Privacy Act of 1974.

Before moving to Fort Worth in 1980, Dr, Grotta was vice president of a marketing research firm in the New York City area and president of the firm's Media Research Division.

From 1980 until his retirement in July 2000, he was a journalism professor at Texas Christian University where he taught courses in writing; copy cditing; publication design; computer graphics; advertising copy, layout and production; and media analysis and research. He also was a tenured professor at the University of Oklahoma, Southern Illinois University, and Idaho State University. His Ph.D. degree from Southern Illinois University is in journalism with emphasis on economics and mass communications research.

Dis Grotta has been invited twice to keynote major conferences in Europe. He was keynote speaker for the Newspaper Management Programme for the Scandinavian Countries in Aarhus, Denmark in 1976 and featured speaker at an advertising conference in Helsinki, Finland in 1984.

He has been a speaker at conventions, seminars, and workshops throughout the United States and Canada. His articles have been published in leading scholarly and professional journals in the United States and Europe and his work is cited in many university textbooks.

Angie Highland, COO, was on the staff of the City of Fort Worth for ten years before joining Grotta Marketing Research, LLC as partner and Chief Operating Officer in 2014. She is a recognized authority on the Texas Events Fund programs. She has a Master's Degree in Public Administration from The University of North Texas and is working toward her Ph.D. degree in marketing research.

Grotta Marketing Research, LLC is a member of the American Marketing Association and an accredited member of the Better Business Bureau.

Dr. Grolta has done research and consulting projects for a variely of local, state, national and international clients, including:
$>$ A.T,\&T. Long Lines Division
$\Rightarrow$ Alcon
$>$ American Airlines Employee Credit Union
> American Angus Association
> American Arabian Horse Association

- American Brahman Breeders Association
- American Miniature Horse Association
$>$ American Paint Horse Association
$>$ American Quarter Horse Association
- Appaloosa Horse Club
$>$ Atlantic (ARCO) Federal Credit Union
- Baltimore Telephone Federal Credit Union
$>$ Better Business Bureau of Tarrant County
- Chattanooga TVA Federal Credit Union
$>$ Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce
$>$ Fort Worth Convention \& Visitors Bureau
$>$ Fort Worth Star-Telegram
$>$ Fort Worth Stock Show and Rodeo
$>$ Fort Worth Zoo
$>$ Frito Lay
$>$ Galderma
- Houston Chronicle
- IBM Mid-Atlantic Employees Credit Union
$>$ Infomart (Dallas)
$>$ J.C. Penney
$>$ Kansas State University Student Media
> Kelly, Hart \& Hallman Law Firm
$>$ Lost Oak Winery
$>$ Martinsville DuPont Credit Union
$>$ Minneapolis Star-Tribune
> Montreal Slar (Canada)
$>$ NASA Federal Credit Union
> National Cutting Horse Association
$>$ NationsCredit
$>$ Rolling Slone
$>$ Sovegal (France)
$>$ Sports Illustrated
> Sundance Square
$>$ Texas \& Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association
$>$ Texas Farm Bureau
$>$ The City of Fort Worth
$>$ Time
$>$ Texas Clristian University Student Media
$>$ University of Pittsburgh Student Media
$>$ Van Cliburn International Piano Competition
$>$ Vought Heritage (LTV) Community Credit Union
> W.R. Grace
- Wall Streel Journal

October 6, 2014

TJ Costello
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
P.O. Box 13528

Austin, TX 78711-3528

RE: 2014 National Cutting Horse Association Summer Spectacular
Dear Mr. Costello:
In regards to the attendance certification submitted for the 2014 National Cutting Horse Association's Summer Spectacular we recognize that the participants and vendor counts included on the certification might not all be out of State. However, it is our understanding that the participants and vendors are eligible to be reported as presented in the attendance certification report. The City is confident in the economic impact of this event. According to information provided to the City by the National Cutting Horse Association, the event did bring in participants from 38 states and 8 countries.

We appreciate your assistance with this process. The Major Event Trust Fund program has proven to be a great benefit for both the City of Fort Worth and the State of Texas' ability to support the Triple Crown of Cutting events. The trust fund is a very important asset in the City of Fort Worth's ability to retain not only the Triple Crown of Cutting, but many others, and is greatly appreciated. We extend our sincere appreciation to you and your team for all your assistance.


Kirk N. Slaughter Public Events Director
City of Fort Worth

## Attachment



Kirk Slaughter<br>Public Events Director<br>City of Fort Worth<br>1201 Houston St<br>Fort Worth, TX 76102

October 6, 2014

RE: 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular

Dear Mr. Slaughter,

The National Cutting Horse Association (NCHA) is pleased to provide you with a list of states and countries represented during the 2014 NCHA Summer Spectacular held at the Will Rogers Memorial Center. As you know, we are proud of the success of our shows and their ability to attract people from all over the United States and the world. This year, participants traveled from eight countries, including Austria, Brazil, Canada, Monaco, Italy, Sweden, Spain, and Venezuela and 38 states: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Montana, North Carolina, North Dakota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

We sincerely appreciate the continued support from the City of Fort Worth and the State of Texas, and look forward to working with you during our upcoming Futurity.
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