

Texas Enterprise Zone Program  
2006 Distressed Counties

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2005* Unemployment Rate	2004 Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate
<b>Anderson</b>	yes	16.5%	35.6%	6.4%	7.1%	8.6%	7.6%	6.1%
Andrews	no	16.4%	32.0%	4.3%	5.0%	5.7%	5.6%	4.3%
<b>Angelina</b>	yes	15.7%	28.8%	5.5%	6.6%	6.6%	6.5%	5.4%
<b>Aransas</b>	yes	19.9%	25.4%	5.3%	8.4%	7.3%	6.9%	6.4%
Archer	no	8.9%	18.9%	3.3%	3.7%	4.1%	3.8%	3.1%
Armstrong	no	10.6%	17.6%	3.8%	4.1%	4.9%	4.5%	3.3%
Atascosa	no	20.2%	34.8%	4.9%	5.6%	6.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Austin	no	12.0%	25.5%	4.4%	5.4%	6.2%	5.3%	3.9%
Bailey	no	16.6%	38.5%	5.4%	5.6%	5.8%	5.4%	4.7%
Bandera	no	10.8%	15.2%	4.1%	4.9%	5.2%	4.9%	3.9%
Bastrop	no	11.6%	23.1%	4.5%	5.5%	6.4%	6.0%	4.5%
Baylor	no	16.1%	29.9%	4.8%	5.3%	5.8%	5.8%	4.7%
<b>Bee</b>	yes	23.9%	26.3%	7.1%	7.9%	8.1%	7.2%	6.1%
Bell	no	12.0%	15.3%	5.1%	5.5%	6.3%	5.9%	4.9%
Bexar	no	15.8%	23.1%	5.0%	5.7%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%
Blanco	no	11.1%	19.4%	3.8%	4.2%	4.6%	4.6%	3.5%
Borden	no	13.9%	16.1%	3.7%	3.7%	4.2%	3.6%	3.1%
Bosque	no	12.6%	24.1%	4.8%	5.5%	6.4%	6.0%	4.7%
Bowie	no	17.6%	22.7%	5.4%	6.1%	6.6%	6.0%	5.1%
Brazoria	no	10.1%	20.5%	5.6%	7.0%	7.2%	6.3%	5.0%
Brazos	no	26.8%	18.7%	3.9%	4.2%	4.6%	4.1%	3.7%
Brewster	no	18.1%	21.4%	3.5%	4.1%	4.4%	4.5%	3.9%
Briscoe	no	15.9%	25.2%	5.6%	6.0%	6.3%	5.0%	3.9%
<b>Brooks</b>	yes	40.1%	50.1%	7.0%	7.9%	8.3%	7.7%	6.0%
Brown	no	17.2%	25.4%	4.5%	5.5%	5.7%	5.7%	4.6%
Burleson	no	17.2%	28.9%	4.0%	5.4%	6.0%	5.2%	3.9%
Burnet	no	10.9%	22.2%	3.8%	4.5%	5.1%	5.0%	4.0%
Caldwell	no	13.0%	28.7%	4.9%	6.3%	7.1%	6.5%	4.9%
<b>Calhoun</b>	yes	16.3%	31.0%	5.9%	7.5%	7.6%	7.7%	6.9%
Callahan	no	12.2%	20.7%	3.9%	4.6%	5.3%	4.9%	4.3%
<b>Cameron</b>	yes	33.0%	44.8%	7.4%	8.7%	9.5%	8.9%	7.8%
<b>Camp</b>	yes	20.8%	30.5%	5.7%	5.6%	6.7%	6.5%	5.4%
Carson	no	7.2%	17.4%	3.9%	4.1%	4.7%	4.4%	3.5%
Cass	no	17.6%	25.0%	6.1%	7.1%	7.9%	7.9%	6.4%
Castro	no	19.0%	34.6%	5.9%	5.4%	5.4%	4.9%	4.1%
Chambers	no	11.0%	23.1%	5.9%	5.8%	6.1%	5.1%	4.5%
<b>Cherokee</b>	yes	17.8%	31.6%	5.4%	5.8%	5.8%	5.7%	5.0%
Childress	no	17.5%	35.0%	5.3%	5.6%	5.8%	5.7%	4.8%
Clay	no	10.3%	19.6%	3.6%	3.9%	4.6%	4.5%	3.3%
<b>Cochran</b>	yes	26.9%	37.3%	6.2%	7.3%	8.3%	7.0%	5.5%
Coke	no	13.0%	25.8%	4.9%	5.4%	5.3%	5.1%	4.1%
Coleman	no	19.8%	29.0%	4.6%	5.7%	6.3%	6.4%	4.8%
Collin	no	4.8%	8.2%	4.2%	4.8%	5.6%	5.8%	4.0%
Collingsworth	no	18.7%	28.7%	4.5%	5.0%	4.8%	4.0%	3.6%
Colorado	no	16.2%	30.9%	4.3%	5.0%	5.3%	4.8%	4.1%
Comal	no	8.5%	16.1%	4.1%	5.1%	5.5%	5.5%	4.2%
Comanche	no	17.3%	29.8%	4.6%	4.9%	5.1%	4.5%	3.9%
Concho	no	11.9%	40.7%	5.9%	6.4%	6.5%	6.0%	5.1%
Cooke	no	14.1%	20.8%	4.0%	4.9%	5.5%	5.6%	4.7%
Coryell	no	9.4%	18.9%	6.2%	6.7%	7.5%	7.0%	6.1%
Cottle	no	18.3%	33.9%	5.4%	5.5%	6.0%	5.1%	4.6%
Crane	no	13.4%	31.3%	5.4%	6.4%	7.4%	7.3%	4.9%
Crockett	no	19.3%	37.9%	3.9%	4.1%	4.2%	4.5%	3.5%
<b>Crosby</b>	yes	28.0%	38.2%	5.6%	6.2%	6.7%	5.5%	5.0%
Culberson	no	25.0%	43.9%	4.4%	5.7%	5.8%	6.1%	4.8%
Dallam	no	14.1%	35.0%	3.6%	4.0%	4.2%	3.8%	3.1%
Dallas	no	13.4%	25.0%	5.7%	6.8%	7.6%	7.4%	5.3%
<b>Dawson</b>	yes	19.7%	34.8%	7.0%	7.4%	7.6%	7.1%	5.3%
Deaf Smith	no	20.5%	39.1%	4.9%	5.6%	6.0%	5.9%	4.6%
Delta	no	17.5%	24.5%	5.6%	7.2%	6.8%	6.5%	5.5%
Denton	no	6.6%	10.6%	4.2%	4.4%	5.0%	4.8%	3.4%
DeWitt	no	19.6%	32.1%	4.5%	5.5%	5.5%	5.6%	4.4%
Dickens	no	17.3%	29.4%	5.3%	6.1%	6.1%	5.2%	4.8%
<b>Dimmit</b>	yes	33.2%	45.7%	8.2%	9.1%	9.4%	9.2%	7.7%
Donley	no	15.9%	21.8%	4.3%	5.0%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%
<b>Duval</b>	yes	27.1%	40.3%	6.3%	7.4%	8.7%	9.2%	6.4%
Eastland	no	16.8%	27.4%	4.9%	5.4%	6.2%	5.6%	4.7%
Ector	no	18.7%	32.0%	4.6%	6.0%	6.7%	6.6%	4.9%
Edwards	no	31.5%	32.9%	4.3%	4.2%	4.3%	5.2%	4.5%
<b>El Paso</b>	yes	23.8%	34.2%	7.1%	7.8%	8.9%	8.2%	7.3%
Ellis	no	8.6%	22.2%	5.0%	5.6%	6.2%	5.6%	4.3%
Erath	no	16.0%	22.9%	3.9%	4.1%	4.6%	4.4%	3.7%
<b>Falls</b>	yes	22.6%	33.8%	6.2%	6.4%	7.1%	6.2%	5.5%
Fannin	no	13.9%	27.5%	6.0%	6.9%	7.2%	7.6%	6.4%

Texas Enterprise Zone Program  
2006 Distressed Counties

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2005* Unemployment Rate	2004 Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate
Fayette	no	11.4%	28.7%	3.6%	3.9%	4.4%	4.1%	3.3%
Fisher	no	17.4%	26.7%	4.2%	5.0%	5.4%	5.3%	3.7%
<b>Floyd</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Foard	no	14.2%	30.0%	4.8%	6.1%	7.0%	6.6%	3.3%
Fort Bend	no	7.1%	15.7%	4.7%	5.2%	5.7%	4.9%	3.8%
Franklin	no	15.5%	22.6%	4.5%	4.4%	4.9%	4.6%	4.0%
Freestone	no	14.2%	23.2%	4.1%	4.7%	5.8%	5.5%	4.3%
Frio	no	28.9%	23.2%	6.2%	7.4%	8.0%	7.8%	6.5%
Gaines	no	21.7%	43.8%	4.8%	5.4%	5.2%	5.0%	4.6%
Galveston	no	13.2%	19.1%	5.6%	6.9%	7.1%	6.5%	5.2%
Garza	no	22.3%	29.9%	4.4%	5.3%	5.7%	5.2%	3.5%
Gillespie	no	10.1%	19.9%	2.8%	3.3%	3.8%	3.7%	3.2%
Glasscock	no	14.7%	30.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	3.7%	2.9%
Goliad	no	16.4%	27.6%	4.8%	5.7%	5.6%	5.1%	4.1%
Gonzales	no	18.5%	38.0%	4.1%	4.6%	4.9%	4.8%	3.6%
Gray	no	13.8%	24.7%	4.3%	5.4%	6.1%	6.1%	4.4%
Grayson	no	11.2%	19.8%	5.2%	6.1%	6.9%	6.5%	5.2%
Gregg	no	15.0%	20.9%	4.8%	6.0%	7.0%	6.9%	5.4%
<b>Grimes</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>32.7%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
Guadalupe	no	9.8%	21.9%	4.3%	4.7%	5.1%	5.1%	3.8%
Hale	no	17.9%	34.1%	5.4%	6.2%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%
<b>Hall</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
Hamilton	no	14.2%	26.2%	4.5%	4.4%	4.7%	4.2%	3.6%
Hansford	no	16.4%	30.1%	3.8%	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%	2.8%
Hardeman	no	17.7%	29.3%	4.6%	5.4%	6.3%	5.3%	3.6%
Hardin	no	11.1%	20.5%	6.5%	7.4%	7.7%	7.2%	6.2%
Harris	no	14.9%	25.4%	5.6%	6.4%	6.8%	6.1%	4.7%
Harrison	no	16.6%	21.7%	5.5%	5.8%	6.6%	6.6%	5.4%
Hartley	no	6.5%	22.7%	4.2%	4.1%	4.3%	3.9%	3.3%
Haskell	no	22.8%	28.9%	3.8%	4.6%	5.5%	5.0%	3.7%
Hays	no	14.2%	15.3%	4.0%	4.8%	5.4%	5.1%	3.8%
Hemphill	no	12.5%	20.1%	2.8%	3.3%	2.9%	3.2%	2.6%
Henderson	no	15.0%	26.5%	5.3%	5.9%	5.9%	5.6%	4.5%
<b>Hidalgo</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>
<b>Hill</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
Hockley	no	18.8%	31.8%	4.5%	4.7%	5.2%	5.0%	3.9%
Hood	no	8.5%	16.5%	4.7%	5.5%	5.9%	5.5%	4.4%
Hopkins	no	14.5%	26.4%	4.5%	5.2%	5.9%	5.3%	4.4%
<b>Houston</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
<b>Howard</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
<b>Hudspeth</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>53.9%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
Hunt	no	12.7%	23.1%	5.3%	6.0%	6.7%	6.2%	4.9%
Hutchinson	no	11.1%	20.4%	5.4%	6.4%	6.7%	6.6%	4.3%
Irion	no	8.4%	21.2%	3.4%	4.2%	4.2%	3.4%	3.2%
Jack	no	12.9%	24.2%	4.5%	4.9%	5.0%	4.6%	4.3%
Jackson	no	14.7%	27.3%	5.00%	5.9%	5.8%	5.9%	4.4%
<b>Jasper</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
Jeff Davis	no	15.0%	25.3%	3.8%	3.5%	3.9%	4.4%	3.5%
Jefferson	no	17.3%	21.5%	7.8%	8.3%	8.7%	7.9%	7.1%
Jim Hogg	no	25.9%	42.0%	4.9%	5.0%	6.2%	6.2%	4.7%
<b>Jim Wells</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
Johnson	no	8.8%	22.4%	4.7%	5.8%	6.3%	5.9%	4.3%
<b>Jones</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
<b>Karnes</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>21.8%</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
Kaufman	no	10.4%	25.5%	5.1%	6.5%	7.5%	7.2%	5.0%
Kendall	no	10.5%	14.6%	3.8%	4.5%	5.0%	4.7%	3.8%
Kenedy	no	15.3%	42.1%	3.2%	4.6%	3.8%	4.6%	3.3%
Kent	no	10.3%	21.9%	4.1%	4.3%	4.4%	3.6%	2.7%
Kerr	no	14.4%	18.8%	3.6%	4.4%	4.9%	4.7%	4.0%
Kimble	no	18.7%	27.9%	3.8%	4.2%	4.3%	3.9%	3.1%
King	no	20.6%	21.9%	4.2%	2.6%	3.3%	2.4%	2.5%
<b>Kinney</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>
<b>Kleberg</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
Knox	no	22.9%	33.2%	4.9%	5.4%	5.5%	5.0%	4.2%
<b>La Salle</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>
Lamar	no	16.3%	23.7%	6.1%	6.4%	7.1%	6.8%	6.2%
<b>Lamb</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
Lampasas	no	14.0%	21.2%	3.8%	4.5%	5.2%	5.0%	4.3%
Lavaca	no	13.2%	31.4%	3.5%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	6.9%
Lee	no	11.8%	28.3%	4.1%	4.5%	5.2%	4.8%	4.0%
<b>Leon</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
Liberty	no	14.3%	30.4%	6.6%	8.9%	9.3%	8.2%	6.2%
Limestone	no	17.7%	32.6%	4.9%	5.3%	5.9%	5.5%	4.6%
Lipscomb	no	16.7%	25.5%	3.5%	3.5%	4.4%	4.1%	3.2%
Live Oak	no	16.4%	32.9%	5.1%	5.3%	5.7%	5.3%	4.4%

Texas Enterprise Zone Program  
2006 Distressed Counties

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2005* Unemployment Rate	2004 Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate
Llano	no	10.3%	16.5%	4.4%	5.3%	5.6%	5.1%	4.2%
Loving	no	0.0%	13.7%	11.1%	6.3%	6.2%	4.2%	4.2%
Lubbock	no	17.8%	21.6%	4.0%	4.5%	4.8%	4.3%	3.6%
Lynn	no	22.6%	38.1%	6.1%	6.1%	5.6%	5.0%	4.9%
Madison	no	15.7%	27.2%	5.7%	6.1%	6.5%	5.9%	4.9%
<b>Marion</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
Martin	no	18.7%	34.2%	4.8%	5.3%	4.7%	4.7%	4.0%
Mason	no	13.1%	21.9%	2.7%	3.4%	3.3%	3.3%	2.7%
<b>Matagorda</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Maverick</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>
<b>McCulloch</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
McLennan	no	17.6%	23.4%	4.8%	5.3%	5.9%	5.5%	4.6%
McMullen	no	15.4%	27.8%	5.1%	4.3%	4.3%	3.7%	3.4%
Medina	no	15.4%	27.8%	4.7%	5.5%	5.8%	5.2%	4.4%
Menard	no	25.7%	30.6%	4.6%	5.6%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%
Midland	no	12.9%	20.8%	3.7%	4.5%	5.0%	4.8%	3.8%
Milam	no	15.9%	29.1%	4.9%	5.8%	6.4%	5.9%	4.4%
Mills	no	18.3%	23.3%	3.6%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%	3.3%
<b>Mitchell</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Montague	no	13.9%	27.0%	4.3%	5.2%	6.0%	5.7%	4.5%
Montgomery	no	9.3%	18.4%	4.3%	5.1%	5.3%	4.9%	3.8%
Moore	no	13.4%	37.9%	4.0%	4.6%	4.9%	4.2%	3.4%
Morris	no	10.5%	26.3%	5.9%	7.6%	8.6%	9.3%	6.7%
Motley	no	19.4%	26.5%	4.0%	4.6%	3.8%	3.9%	3.3%
Nacogdoches	no	23.3%	26.3%	5.0%	5.3%	5.7%	5.3%	4.5%
<b>Navarro</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
<b>Newton</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>
Nolan	no	21.6%	30.1%	4.9%	5.6%	6.0%	5.5%	5.1%
<b>Nueces</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
Ochiltree	no	12.9%	30.8%	3.3%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	3.0%
Oldham	no	19.7%	19.5%	4.2%	4.5%	5.0%	4.2%	3.2%
Orange	no	13.7%	21.0%	8.0%	8.9%	9.4%	8.6%	8.0%
Palo Pinto	no	15.8%	28.8%	4.5%	5.4%	6.0%	6.0%	4.6%
Panola	no	14.1%	24.1%	4.9%	5.8%	6.8%	6.7%	5.4%
Parker	no	8.2%	19.5%	4.4%	5.0%	5.4%	5.1%	3.9%
Parmer	no	16.9%	39.3%	4.2%	4.7%	4.3%	4.1%	3.7%
<b>Pecos</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<b>Polk</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
Potter	no	19.2%	28.9%	4.5%	5.6%	6.6%	6.3%	5.4%
<b>Presidio</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
Rains	no	14.8%	27.0%	4.7%	5.6%	6.7%	6.1%	4.7%
Randall	no	8.0%	10.5%	3.3%	3.2%	3.2%	2.9%	2.5%
Reagan	no	11.8%	37.0%	2.9%	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	3.4%
Real	no	21.2%	27.0%	5.1%	4.9%	6.0%	6.4%	5.4%
<b>Red River</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>34.3%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
<b>Reeves</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
Refugio	no	17.7%	31.9%	4.9%	5.4%	4.8%	5.0%	4.4%
Roberts	no	7.1%	10.0%	3.0%	3.9%	3.6%	3.2%	2.3%
Robertson	no	20.6%	31.9%	4.9%	5.7%	6.5%	5.7%	4.9%
Rockwall	no	4.6%	13.3%	4.1%	4.8%	5.3%	5.2%	3.9%
Runnels	no	19.1%	31.1%	5.2%	5.1%	5.7%	4.8%	4.4%
Rusk	no	14.6%	25.9%	5.1%	5.9%	6.8%	6.5%	5.1%
<b>Sabine</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>
<b>San Augustine</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
<b>San Jacinto</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<b>San Patricio</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
San Saba	no	16.6%	30.0%	4.4%	4.9%	4.9%	4.7%	4.2%
Schleicher	no	21.5%	39.6%	4.2%	3.9%	4.2%	4.6%	3.1%
Scurry	no	15.9%	27.7%	4.9%	5.4%	5.9%	6.1%	5.2%
Shackelford	no	13.5%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	3.7%	3.8%	3.0%
<b>Shelby</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
Sherman	no	16.1%	26.9%	4.5%	3.8%	3.6%	3.3%	2.7%
Smith	no	13.7%	19.8%	4.7%	5.3%	5.8%	5.4%	4.8%
Somervell	no	8.5%	22.0%	4.9%	5.0%	6.0%	5.4%	4.3%
<b>Starr</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>
Stephens	no	15.6%	27.7%	4.6%	5.2%	7.1%	6.3%	4.1%
Sterling	no	16.8%	29.6%	3.0%	3.3%	3.4%	3.6%	3.4%
Stonewall	no	19.3%	29.0%	3.7%	4.7%	4.4%	4.2%	4.1%
Sutton	no	17.9%	35.6%	2.8%	3.0%	3.5%	3.8%	3.3%
Swisher	no	17.3%	30.8%	4.9%	5.8%	5.3%	4.8%	4.2%
Tarrant	no	10.5%	18.7%	5.0%	5.6%	6.3%	6.0%	4.4%
Taylor	no	14.5%	18.8%	4.1%	4.7%	5.2%	4.9%	4.4%
Terrell	no	25.2%	29.1%	7.5%	5.6%	7.0%	5.6%	4.6%
<b>Terry</b>	<b>yes</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
Throckmorton	no	13.4%	22.6%	3.6%	4.0%	4.5%	4.1%	2.9%

Texas Enterprise Zone Program  
2006 Distressed Counties

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2005* Unemployment Rate	2004 Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate
Titus	no	18.4%	34.5%	4.7%	5.1%	5.7%	5.5%	4.5%
Tom Green	no	15.2%	23.8%	4.3%	4.8%	5.1%	4.7%	3.8%
Travis	no	12.5%	15.3%	4.4%	5.2%	6.0%	5.9%	4.4%
<b>Trinity</b>	yes	17.6%	26.9%	6.0%	6.8%	6.9%	6.7%	5.7%
<b>Tyler</b>	yes	15.7%	28.1%	7.3%	8.2%	9.3%	9.0%	7.2%
Upshur	no	14.9%	14.9%	4.7%	5.7%	6.3%	6.2%	5.1%
Upton	no	19.9%	32.9%	4.3%	5.1%	5.6%	5.5%	4.2%
<b>Uvalde</b>	yes	24.2%	40.4%	5.9%	7.1%	7.6%	7.2%	6.4%
<b>Val Verde</b>	yes	26.1%	41.3%	6.5%	7.3%	7.9%	7.1%	6.3%
Van Zandt	no	13.2%	28.0%	4.7%	5.3%	5.9%	5.6%	4.4%
Victoria	no	12.9%	23.8%	4.5%	5.4%	5.8%	5.5%	4.2%
<b>Walker</b>	yes	18.3%	26.9%	5.1%	5.7%	6.2%	5.6%	5.2%
Waller	no	16.0%	26.1%	5.5%	6.4%	6.2%	5.9%	4.5%
<b>Ward</b>	yes	17.9%	29.9%	5.7%	6.9%	7.7%	7.8%	5.8%
Washington	no	12.9%	27.9%	4.2%	4.9%	5.0%	4.3%	3.4%
<b>Webb</b>	yes	31.1%	31.1%	5.9%	6.8%	7.3%	7.2%	6.6%
Wharton	no	16.5%	30.2%	5.1%	5.8%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%
Wheeler	no	12.9%	28.0%	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%	4.4%	3.7%
Wichita	no	13.1%	20.1%	4.7%	5.3%	6.0%	5.9%	4.5%
Wilbarger	no	13.0%	27.8%	3.9%	5.3%	4.9%	4.6%	3.7%
<b>Willacy</b>	yes	33.2%	51.3%	9.6%	11.8%	12.0%	12.9%	11.0%
Williamson	no	4.7%	11.2%	4.0%	4.3%	5.2%	5.3%	3.9%
Wilson	no	11.3%	11.3%	4.4%	4.9%	5.5%	5.1%	4.1%
<b>Winkler</b>	yes	18.6%	39.7%	5.2%	6.6%	7.7%	8.8%	5.6%
Wise	no	9.8%	23.9%	4.4%	5.0%	5.6%	5.2%	4.0%
Wood	no	14.3%	23.7%	5.0%	5.7%	6.2%	6.1%	5.3%
Yoakum	no	19.5%	40.6%	4.8%	5.3%	5.6%	5.5%	5.2%
Young	no	15.6%	27.9%	4.2%	5.1%	5.8%	5.7%	4.8%
<b>Zapata</b>	yes	35.8%	46.9%	6.5%	7.2%	8.7%	8.5%	7.2%
<b>Zavala</b>	yes	41.7%	56.6%	11.4%	12.8%	13.9%	14.4%	13.3%

\*Use this unemployment figure on the self-evaluation section of the application

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau for Countywide Poverty Rate and Adults Without High School Equivalency. Texas Workforce Commission for Unemployment Figures.