County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2004* Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate	2000 Unemploymen Rate
Anderson Anderson	yes	16.4%	35.6%	7.1%	8.6%	7.6%	6.1%	6.1%
Andrews	no	16.4%	32.0%	5.0%	5.7%	5.6%	4.3%	4.9%
Angelina		15.7%	28.8%	6.6%	6.6%	6.5%	5.4%	4.7%
	no							
Aransas	yes	19.9%	25.4%	8.4%	7.3%	6.9%	6.4%	5.9%
Archer	no	8.9%	18.9%	3.7%	4.1%	3.8%	3.1%	3.1%
Armstrong	no	10.6%	17.6%	4.1%	4.9%	4.5%	3.3%	3.1%
Atascosa	no	20.2%	34.8%	5.6%	6.5%	5.8%	3.3%	4.1%
Austin	no	12.0%	25.5%	5.4%	6.2%	5.3%	3.9%	3.9%
Bailey	no	16.6%	38.5%	5.6%	5.8%	5.4%	4.7%	4.5%
Bandera	no	10.8%	15.2%	4.9%	5.2%	4.9%	3.9%	3.6%
Bastrop	no	11.6%	23.1%	5.5%	6.4%	6.0%	4.5%	3.4%
Baylor	no	16.1%	29.9%	5.3%	5.8%	5.8%	4.7%	4.3%
Bee	yes	23.9%	26.3%	7.9%	8.1%	7.2%	6.1%	5.9%
Bell		12.0%	15.3%	5.5%	6.3%	5.9%	4.9%	4.2%
	no							
Bexar	no	15.8%	23.1%	5.7%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%	4.0%
lanco	no	11.1%	19.4%	4.2%	4.6%	4.6%	3.5%	3.0%
Borden	no	13.9%	16.1%	3.7%	4.2%	3.6%	3.1%	6.1%
osque	no	12.6%	24.1%	5.5%	6.4%	6.0%	4.7%	3.9%
owie	no	17.6%	22.7%	6.1%	6.6%	6.0%	5.1%	4.9%
razoria	no	10.1%	20.5%	7.0%	7.2%	6.3%	5.0%	4.9%
razona	no	26.8%	18.7%	4.2%	4.6%	4.1%	3.7%	3.7%
rewster	no	18.1%	21.4%	4.1%	4.4%	4.5%	3.9%	3.8%
riscoe	no	15.9%	25.2%	6.0%	6.3%	5.0%	3.9%	3.4%
rooks	yes	40.1%	50.1%	7.9%	8.3%	7.7%	6.0%	6.7%
rown	no	17.2%	25.4%	5.5%	5.7%	5.7%	4.6%	4.3%
urleson	no	17.2%	28.9%	5.4%	6.0%	5.2%	3.9%	4.0%
urnet	no	10.9%	22.2%	4.5%	5.1%	5.0%	4.0%	3.4%
Caldwell	no	13.0%	28.7%	6.3%	7.1%	6.5%	4.9%	4.1%
alhoun	no	16.3%	31.0%	7.5%	7.1%	7.7%	6.9%	4.6%
allahan	no	12.2%	20.7%	4.6%	5.3%	4.9%	4.3%	3.8%
ameron	yes	33.0%	44.8%	8.7%	9.5%	8.9%	7.8%	6.9%
amp	yes	20.8%	30.5%	5.6%	6.7%	6.5%	5.4%	5.0%
arson	no	7.2%	17.4%	4.1%	4.7%	4.4%	3.5%	3.2%
ass	no	17.6%	25.0%	7.1%	7.9%	7.9%	6.4%	5.7%
astro	no	19.0%	34.6%	5.4%	5.4%	4.9%	4.1%	3.6%
hambers	no	11.0%	23.1%	5.8%	6.1%	5.1%	4.5%	4.3%
Cherokee	no	17.8%	31.6%	5.8%	5.8%	5.7%	5.0%	4.5%
Childress	no	17.5%	35.0%	5.6%	5.8%	5.7%	4.8%	4.5%
Clay	no	10.3%	19.6%	3.9%	4.6%	4.5%	3.3%	3.5%
cochran	yes	26.9%	37.3%	7.3%	8.3%	7.0%	5.5%	5.7%
Coke	no	13.0%	25.8%	5.4%	5.3%	5.1%	4.1%	3.9%
Coleman	no	19.8%	29.0%	5.7%	6.3%	6.4%	4.8%	4.5%
Collin	no	4.8%	8.2%	4.8%	5.6%	5.8%	4.0%	2.8%
Collingsworth	no	18.7%	28.7%	5.0%	4.8%	4.0%	3.6%	3.4%
Colorado		16.2%	30.9%	5.0%	5.3%	4.8%	4.1%	3.6%
	no							
omal	no	8.5%	16.1%	5.1%	5.5%	5.5%	4.2%	3.5%
omanche	no	17.3%	29.8%	4.9%	5.1%	4.5%	3.9%	3.7%
oncho	no	11.9%	40.7%	6.4%	6.5%	6.0%	5.1%	5.5%
ooke	no	14.1%	20.8%	4.9%	5.5%	5.6%	4.7%	3.5%
oryell	no	9.4%	18.9%	6.7%	7.5%	7.0%	6.1%	5.4%
ottle	no	18.3%	33.9%	5.5%	6.0%	5.1%	4.6%	4.0%
rane	no	13.4%	31.3%	6.4%	7.4%	7.3%	4.9%	5.3%
			37.9%					3.6%
rockett	no	19.3%		4.1%	4.2%	4.5%	3.5%	
rosby	no	28.0%	38.2%	6.2%	6.7%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%
ulberson	no	25.0%	43.9%	5.7%	5.8%	6.1%	4.8%	5.6%
allam	no	14.1%	35.0%	4.0%	4.2%	3.8%	3.1%	3.3%
allas	no	13.4%	25.0%	6.8%	7.6%	7.4%	5.3%	3.9%
awson	yes	19.7%	34.8%	7.4%	7.6%	7.1%	5.3%	5.2%
eaf Smith	no	20.5%	39.1%	5.6%	6.0%	5.9%	4.6%	4.8%
elta	no	17.5%	24.5%	7.2%	6.8%	6.5%	5.5%	5.1%
enton	no	6.6%	10.6%	4.4%	5.0%	4.8%	3.4%	2.8%
eWitt	no	19.6%	32.1%	5.5%	5.5%	5.6%	4.4%	4.1%
ickens	no	17.3%	29.4%	6.1%	6.1%	5.2%	4.8%	4.8%
immit	yes	33.2%	45.7%	9.1%	9.4%	9.2%	7.7%	8.6%
onley	no	15.9%	21.8%	5.0%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%	3.8%
uval	yes	27.1%	40.3%	7.4%	8.7%	9.2%	6.4%	6.9%
astland			27.4%	5.4%			4.7%	4.6%
	no	16.8%			6.2%	5.6%		
ctor	no	18.7%	32.0%	6.0%	6.7%	6.6%	4.9%	5.4%
dwards	no	31.5%	32.9%	4.2%	4.3%	5.2%	4.5%	4.2%
l Paso	yes	23.8%	34.2%	7.8%	8.9%	8.2%	7.3%	6.8%
llis	no	8.6%	22.2%	5.6%	6.2%	5.6%	4.3%	3.6%
rath	no	16.0%	22.9%	4.1%	4.6%	4.4%	3.7%	3.6%
alls		22.6%	33.8%	6.4%	7.1%	6.2%	5.5%	5.2%
	yes							
annin ayette	no	13.9%	27.5%	6.9%	7.2%	7.6%	6.4%	4.7%
	no	11.4%	28.7%	3.9%	4.4%	4.1%	3.3%	3.2%

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2004* Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate	2000 Unemployment Rate
Floyd	no	21.4%	36.5%	6.9%	7.2%	6.6%	5.6%	4.6%
Foard	no	14.2%	30.0%	6.1%	7.0%	6.6%	3.3%	3.4%
Fort Bend	no	7.1%	15.7%	5.2%	5.7%	4.9%	3.8%	3.5%
Franklin	no	15.5%	22.6%	4.4%	4.9%	4.6%	4.0%	4.0%
Freestone	no	14.2%	23.2%	4.7%	5.8%	5.5%	4.3%	4.9%
Frio	no	28.9%	23.2%	7.4%	8.0%	7.8%	6.5%	6.1%
Gaines	no	21.7%	43.8%	5.4%	5.2%	5.0%	4.6%	4.3%
Galveston	no	13.2%	19.1%	6.9%	7.1%	6.5%	5.2%	4.9%
Garza	no	22.3% 10.1%	29.9% 19.9%	5.3%	5.7% 3.8%	5.2% 3.7%	3.5% 3.2%	4.2% 3.6%
Gillespie Glasscock	no no	14.7%	30.1%	3.3% 4.1%	3.6% 4.1%	3.7%	3.2% 2.9%	2.8%
Goliad	no	16.4%	27.6%	5.7%	5.6%	5.1% 5.1%	4.1%	3.7%
Gonzales	no	18.5%	38.0%	4.6%	4.9%	4.8%	3.6%	3.3%
Gray	no	13.8%	24.7%	5.4%	6.1%	6.1%	4.4%	4.9%
Grayson	no	11.2%	19.8%	6.1%	6.9%	6.5%	5.2%	3.9%
Gregg	no	15.0%	20.9%	6.0%	7.0%	6.9%	5.4%	5.3%
Grimes	yes	16.6%	32.7%	7.1%	8.0%	7.5%	5.4%	5.3%
Guadalupe	no	9.8%	21.9%	4.7%	5.1%	5.1%	3.8%	3.4%
Hale	no	17.9%	34.1%	6.2%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%	4.4%
Hall	yes	26.3%	38.3%	6.5%	6.2%	5.8%	5.1%	5.0%
Hamilton	no	14.2%	26.2%	4.4%	4.7%	4.2%	3.6%	3.5%
Hansford	no	16.4%	30.1%	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%	2.8%	2.8%
Hardeman	no	17.7%	29.3%	5.4%	6.3%	5.3%	3.6%	3.4%
Hardin	no	11.1%	20.5%	7.4%	7.7%	7.2%	6.2%	5.5%
Harris	no	14.9%	25.4% 21.7%	6.4%	6.8%	6.1% 6.6%	4.7%	4.3%
Harrison Hartley	no	16.6% 6.5%	21.7% 22.7%	5.8% 4.1%	6.6% 4.3%	3.9%	5.4% 3.3%	4.9% 3.1%
Haskell	no no	22.8%	28.9%	4.6%	5.5%	5.0%	3.7%	4.0%
Hays	no	14.2%	15.3%	4.8%	5.4%	5.1%	3.8%	3.2%
Hemphill	no	12.5%	20.1%	3.3%	2.9%	3.2%	2.6%	2.7%
Henderson	no	15.0%	26.5%	5.9%	5.9%	5.6%	4.5%	4.0%
Hidalgo	yes	35.8%	49.5%	9.1%	10.2%	10.3%	9.6%	9.1%
Hill	no	15.6%	28.2%	6.4%	7.3%	6.4%	5.5%	4.4%
Hockley	no	18.8%	31.8%	4.7%	5.2%	5.0%	3.9%	4.0%
Hood	no	8.5%	16.5%	5.5%	5.9%	5.5%	4.4%	3.8%
Hopkins	no	14.5%	26.4%	5.2%	5.9%	5.3%	4.4%	3.9%
Houston	yes	20.9%	30.0%	6.8%	7.0%	6.4%	5.2%	5.0%
Howard	yes	18.5%	29.4%	6.0%	6.5%	6.3%	5.0%	5.0%
Hudspeth	no	35.7%	53.9%	7.0%	8.0%	7.7%	5.3%	4.4%
Hunt Hutchinson	no	12.7%	23.1%	6.0%	6.7%	6.2%	4.9%	4.2% 4.7%
Irion	no no	11.1% 8.4%	20.4% 21.2%	6.4% 4.2%	6.7% 4.2%	6.6% 3.4%	4.3% 3.2%	4.7% 3.1%
Jack	no	12.9%	24.2%	4.2%	5.0%	4.6%	4.3%	4.1%
Jackson	no	14.7%	27.3%	5.9%	5.8%	5.9%	4.4%	3.6%
Jasper	yes	18.0%	27.0%	9.0%	9.6%	9.3%	8.5%	7.1%
Jeff Davis	no	15.0%	25.3%	3.5%	3.9%	4.4%	3.5%	3.6%
Jefferson	no	17.3%	21.5%	8.3%	8.7%	7.9%	7.1%	6.5%
Jim Hogg	no	25.9%	42.0%	5.0%	6.2%	6.2%	4.7%	5.9%
Jim Wells	yes	24.1%	35.2%	6.5%	7.0%	7.1%	5.5%	5.9%
Johnson	no	8.8%	22.4%	5.8%	6.3%	5.9%	4.3%	3.8%
Jones	yes	16.7%	35.7%	6.1%	6.6%	6.2%	5.2%	5.1%
Karnes	yes	21.8%	40.9%	6.9%	7.3%	6.9%	5.5%	5.2%
Kaufman	no	10.4%	25.5%	6.5%	7.5%	7.2%	5.0%	4.0%
Kendall	no	10.5%	14.6%	4.5%	5.0%	4.7%	3.8%	3.3%
Kenedy Kent	no no	15.3% 10.3%	42.1% 21.9%	4.6% 4.3%	3.8% 4.4%	4.6% 3.6%	3.3% 2.7%	3.3% 3.1%
Kerr	no	14.4%	18.8%	4.4%	4.4%	4.7%	4.0%	3.5%
Kimble	no	18.7%	27.9%	4.4%	4.3%	3.9%	3.1%	3.2%
King	no	20.6%	21.9%	2.6%	3.3%	2.4%	2.5%	2.3%
Kinney	yes	24.0%	33.1%	6.3%	7.4%	7.6%	6.6%	7.1%
Kleberg	yes	26.7%	31.8%	5.8%	6.1%	6.3%	5.0%	5.0%
Knox	no	22.9%	33.2%	5.4%	5.5%	5.0%	4.2%	4.3%
La Salle	yes	29.7%	49.9%	6.9%	7.8%	8.4%	6.9%	7.0%
Lamar	no	16.3%	23.7%	6.4%	7.1%	6.8%	6.2%	4.8%
Lamb	no	20.9%	36.3%	5.9%	5.8%	5.4%	5.3%	4.8%
Lampasas	no	14.0%	21.2%	4.5%	5.2%	5.0%	4.3%	3.8%
Lavaca	no	13.2%	31.4%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	6.9%	2.9%
Lee	no	11.8%	28.3%	4.5%	5.2%	4.8%	4.0%	3.4%
Leon	no	15.5%	26.2%	6.0%	7.3%	6.6%	5.0%	4.9%
Liberty	no	14.3%	30.4%	8.9%	9.3%	8.2%	6.2%	5.9%
Linestone	no	17.7% 16.7%	32.6% 25.5%	5.3% 3.5%	5.9% 4.4%	5.5% 4.1%	4.6%	4.6% 3.2%
Lipscomb Live Oak	no no	16.7% 16.4%	25.5% 32.9%	3.5% 5.3%	4.4% 5.7%	4.1% 5.3%	3.2% 4.4%	3.2% 4.4%
Live Oak Llano	no no	10.3%	32.9% 16.5%	5.3% 5.3%	5.7% 5.6%	5.3% 5.1%	4.4% 4.2%	4.4% 3.8%
Loving	no	0.0%	13.7%	6.3%	6.2%	4.2%	4.2%	6.2%
Lubbock	no	17.8%	21.6%	4.5%	4.8%	4.3%	3.6%	3.5%
Lynn	no	22.6%	38.1%	6.1%	5.6%	5.0%	4.9%	3.9%
,		,	/ •	.		· v		,-

County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	Adults Without High School Equivalency	2004* Unemployment Rate	2003 Unemployment Rate	2002 Unemployment Rate	2001 Unemployment Rate	2000 Unemployment Rate
Madison	no	15.7%	27.2%	6.1%	6.5%	5.9%	4.9%	4.7%
Marion	yes	22.3%	32.5%	6.2%	7.3%	7.2%	6.3%	6.2%
<i>M</i> artin	no	18.7%	34.2%	5.3%	4.7%	4.7%	4.0%	3.8%
/lason	no	13.1%	21.9%	3.4%	3.3%	3.3%	2.7%	2.6%
/latagorda	yes	18.5%	29.7%	9.6%	10.2%	9.2%	7.7%	6.6%
Maverick	yes	34.8%	57.9%	15.2%	17.7%	19.6%	17.7%	15.0%
/IcCulloch	yes	22.4%	29.5%	6.3%	5.2%	5.5%	5.8%	5.1%
/IcLennan	no	17.6%	23.4%	5.3%	5.9%	5.5%	4.6%	4.1%
/IcMullen	no	20.6%	25.3%	4.3%	4.3%	3.7%	3.4%	3.7%
/ledina	no	15.4%	27.8%	5.5%	5.8%	5.2%	4.4%	3.9%
/lenard	no	25.7%	30.6%	5.6%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%	3.2%
/lidland	no	12.9%	20.8%	4.5%	5.0%	4.8%	3.8%	4.2%
/lilam	no	15.9%	29.1%	5.8%	6.4%	5.9%	4.4%	3.6%
/lills	no	18.3%	23.3%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%	3.3%	3.3%
litchell	yes	17.6%	28.3%	7.1%	7.2%	7.1%	5.6%	5.4%
/lontague	no	13.9%	27.0%	5.2%	6.0%	5.7%	4.5%	4.6%
1ontgomery	no	9.3%	18.4%	5.1%	5.3%	4.9%	3.8%	3.6%
1oore	no	13.4%	37.9%	4.6%	4.9%	4.2%	3.4%	3.2%
1orris	no	10.5%	26.3%	7.6%	8.6%	9.3%	6.7%	6.2%
lotley	no	19.4%	26.5%	4.6%	3.8%	3.9%	3.3%	2.9%
lacogdoches	no	23.3%	26.3%	5.3%	5.7%	5.3%	4.5%	4.3%
lavarro	no	18.1%	28.3%	6.5%	7.4%	6.6%	5.3%	4.9%
lewton	yes	19.0%	31.3%	11.1%	12.5%	11.7%	9.9%	7.9%
lolan	no	21.6%	30.1%	5.6%	6.0%	5.5%	5.1%	4.7%
lueces	yes	18.1%	25.6%	6.3%	6.6%	6.1%	5.3%	5.2%
Ochiltree	no	12.9%	30.8%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Oldham	no	19.7%	19.5%	4.5%	5.0%	4.2%	3.2%	3.1%
)range	no	13.7%	21.0%	8.9%	9.4%	8.6%	8.0%	6.9%
Palo Pinto	no	15.8%	28.8%	5.4%	6.0%	6.0%	4.6%	4.0%
anola	no	14.1%	24.1%	5.8%	6.8%	6.7%	5.4%	5.5%
arker	no	8.2%	19.5%	5.0%	5.4%	5.1%	3.9%	3.6%
armer	no	16.9%	39.3%	4.7%	4.3%	4.1%	3.7%	3.3%
ecos	yes	20.4%	37.5%	6.1%	6.3%	6.4%	5.1%	5.0%
olk	yes	17.3%	30.0%	6.9%	7.6%	7.4%	6.0%	5.7%
otter	yes	19.2%	28.9%	5.6%	6.6%	6.3%	5.4%	5.3%
residio	yes	36.3%	55.3%	14.4%	15.5%	15.6%	15.4%	16.5%
ains	no	14.8%	27.0%	5.6%	6.7%	6.1%	4.7%	3.7%
tandall	no	8.0%	10.5%	3.2%	3.2%	2.9%	2.5%	2.5%
Reagan	no	11.8%	37.0%	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	3.4%	3.3%
Real	no	21.2%	27.0%	4.9%	6.0%	6.4%	5.4%	4.1%
Red River	no	17.3%	34.3%	6.8%	7.4%	7.8%	8.1%	4.7%
Reeves	yes	28.8%	53.4%	9.3%	11.7%	14.2%	7.4%	8.7%
Refugio	no	17.7%	31.9%	5.4%	4.8%	5.0%	4.4%	4.1%
Roberts	no	7.1%	10.0%	3.9%	3.6%	3.2%	2.3%	2.3%
Robertson	no	20.6%	31.9%	5.7%	6.5%	5.7%	4.9%	4.7%
Rockwall	no	4.6%	13.3%	4.8%	5.3%	5.2%	3.9%	3.0%
Runnels	no	19.1%	31.1%	5.1%	5.7%	4.8%	4.4%	4.5%
Rusk	no	14.6%	25.9%	5.9%	6.8%	6.5%	5.1%	4.9%
abine	yes	15.9%	27.5%	11.0%	12.6%	12.5%	10.1%	8.1%
an Augustine	yes	21.1%	30.1%	7.0%	6.7%	6.9%	5.5%	5.0%
an Jacinto	no	18.8%	27.4%	6.5%	6.8%	6.3%	5.1%	4.7%
an Patricio	yes	18.0%	28.6%	7.9%	7.2%	6.8%	6.0%	5.9%
an Saba	no	16.6%	30.0%	4.9%	4.9%	4.7%	4.2%	3.9%
chleicher	no	21.5%	39.6%	3.9%	4.22%	4.6%	3.1%	3.5%
curry	no	15.9%	27.7%	5.4%	5.9%	6.1%	5.2%	3.5% 4.7%
hackelford	no	13.5%	20.8%	3.1%	3.7%	3.8%	3.0%	3.2%
helby	yes	19.3%	31.1%	6.2%	6.9%	6.7%	5.4%	5.1%
herman	· ·	19.3%	26.9%	3.8%	3.6%	3.3%	5.4% 2.7%	2.6%
merman mith	no	13.7%	26.9% 19.8%	5.3%	5.8%	5.4%	4.8%	2.6% 4.3%
mitn omervell	no	8.5%	19.8% 22.0%	5.3% 5.0%	5.8% 6.0%	5.4% 5.4%	4.8% 4.3%	4.3% 4.0%
	no		65.3%					
tarr tophons	yes	50.8% 15.6%	65.3% 27.7%	14.5% 5.2%	15.9% 7.1%	16.6%	<mark>15.9%</mark> 4.1%	16.8% 4.1%
tephens	no	15.6%		5.2%	7.1%	6.3%		
terling	no	16.8%	29.6%	3.3%	3.4%	3.6%	3.4%	3.0%
tonewall	no	19.3%	29.0%	4.7%	4.4%	4.2%	4.1%	3.9%
utton	no	17.9%	35.6%	3.0%	3.5%	3.8%	3.3%	3.8%
wisher	no	17.3%	30.8%	5.8%	5.3%	4.8%	4.2%	3.9%
arrant	no	10.5%	18.7%	5.6%	6.3%	6.0%	4.4%	3.6%
aylor 	no	14.5%	18.8%	4.7%	5.2%	4.9%	4.4%	4.0%
errell	no	25.2%	29.1%	5.6%	7.0%	5.6%	4.6%	3.5%
erry	no	23.2%	37.5%	6.6%	6.7%	5.8%	5.4%	4.8%
hrockmorton	no	13.4%	22.6%	4.0%	4.5%	4.1%	2.9%	3.1%
	no	18.4%	34.5%	5.1%	5.7%	5.5%	4.5%	4.3%
itus		4 = 00/	22 00/	4.8%	5.1%	4.7%	3.8%	3.8%
itus om Green	no	15.2%	23.8%					
ītus īom Green īravis	no no	15.2% 12.5%	23.6% 15.3%	5.2%	6.0%	5.9%	4.4%	3.0%
itus om Green								

Texas Enterprise Zone Program 2005 Distressed Counties

	Distrussed	0	Adults Without	2004*	2003	2002	2001	2000
County	Distressed County	Countywide Poverty Rate	High School Equivalency	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate
Upton	no	19.9%	32.9%	5.1%	5.6%	5.5%	4.2%	4.7%
Uvalde	yes	24.2%	40.4%	7.1%	7.6%	7.2%	6.4%	5.6%
Val Verde	yes	26.1%	41.3%	7.3%	7.9%	7.1%	6.3%	6.2%
Van Zandt	no	13.2%	28.0%	5.3%	5.9%	5.6%	4.4%	3.8%
Victoria	no	12.9%	23.8%	5.4%	5.8%	5.5%	4.2%	3.7%
Walker	no	18.3%	26.9%	5.7%	6.2%	5.6%	5.2%	4.7%
Waller	no	16.0%	26.1%	6.4%	6.2%	5.9%	4.5%	4.4%
Ward	yes	17.9%	29.9%	6.9%	7.7%	7.8%	5.8%	6.2%
Washington	no	12.9%	27.9%	4.9%	5.0%	4.3%	3.4%	3.5%
Webb	yes	31.1%	47.0%	6.8%	7.3%	7.2%	6.6%	6.1%
Wharton	no	16.5%	30.2%	5.8%	6.2%	5.7%	4.6%	4.2%
Wheeler	no	12.9%	28.0%	4.0%	4.3%	4.4%	3.7%	3.7%
Wichita	no	13.1%	20.1%	5.3%	6.0%	5.9%	4.5%	4.6%
Wilbarger	no	13.0%	27.8%	5.3%	4.9%	4.6%	3.7%	3.6%
Willacy	yes	33.2%	51.3%	11.8%	12.0%	12.9%	11.0%	9.9%
Williamson	no	4.7%	11.2%	4.3%	5.2%	5.3%	3.9%	2.7%
Wilson	no	11.3%	26.2%	4.9%	5.5%	5.1%	4.1%	3.6%
Winkler	yes	18.6%	39.7%	6.6%	7.7%	8.8%	5.6%	5.6%
Wise	no	9.8%	23.9%	5.0%	5.6%	5.2%	4.0%	3.4%
Wood	no	14.3%	23.7%	5.7%	6.2%	6.1%	5.3%	4.8%
Yoakum	no	19.5%	40.6%	5.3%	5.6%	5.5%	4.3%	5.2%
Young	no	15.6%	27.9%	5.1%	5.8%	5.7%	4.8%	4.8%
Zapata	yes	35.8%	46.9%	7.2%	8.7%	8.5%	7.2%	7.9%
Zavala	yes	41.7%	56.6%	12.8%	13.9%	14.4%	13.3%	12.4%

^{*}Use this unemployment figure on the self-evaluation section of the application

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau for Countywide Poverty Rate and Adults Without High School Equivalency. Texas Workforce Commision for Unemployment Figures.